

**COMM 6010: Communication Research and Theory (Introduction to Graduate Studies)**  
(6:15–8:45 pm, Wednesday, Fall 2015, Hellems 77)

Professor: Dr. Larry Frey  
Office: 92 Hellems  
Office Phone: (303) 492-7309  
Home Phone: (303) 955-7692  
Cell Phone: (303) 868-5962  
E-mail: Larry.Frey@Colorado.edu  
Skype: larry.frey.boulder.colorado  
Office Hours: 2:30–3:30 Wednesday and by appointment

**Introduction to the Course**

Welcome to the graduate program in the Department of Communication at the University of Colorado Boulder (CU-Boulder). Being here is an accomplishment of the first degree, as this is a highly selective program and your admission reflects your prior accomplishments and the department's faith in your ability to succeed here. Congratulations!

Communication Research and Theory (CRT; Introduction to Graduate Studies) provides an introduction to: (a) important issues and conversations in the disciplinary study of communication; (b) communication graduate studies—in general and in this department, from the macrolevel of academic philosophies to the microlevel of academic practices (both serious and humorous)—and life in the academy (including contemporary issues as they arise); and (c) department faculty members and areas (discourse & society, organizational communication, and rhetoric). CRT, thus, socializes new MA and PhD students into philosophies, processes, practices, and products associated with the communication discipline, this department, and the academy, and, thereby, aids students to be successful during and after graduate work.

To accomplish course goals, typically, class sessions are divided into three periods/activities: (a) relatively structured discussions of communication disciplinary issues, (b) less structured discussions of (communication) graduate school and academic life issues, and (c) open discussions that create a space for processing day-to-day graduate life experiences. Finally, some class sessions (or portions of them) are devoted to department faculty and staff visits.

**Course Schedule and Required Readings**

American Psychological Association [APA]. (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Please bring the manual to class sessions (see also Citation Machine: <http://www.citationmachine.net>; Penn State University's APA Quick Citation Guide Resources: [https://www.libraries.psu.edu/psul/researchguides/citationstyles/APA\\_citation.html](https://www.libraries.psu.edu/psul/researchguides/citationstyles/APA_citation.html); and Purdue Owl Online Writing Lab: <https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/section/2/10>).

All required readings noted below in the course schedule are posted on the Desire2Learn (D2L) course website, as are virtually all supplementary readings (see handout), except for listed books. Additional readings and weekly assignments will be added as needed. Class sessions need to remain flexible to accommodate department faculty and staff visits.

August 26 Introduction to the Course, Cohort Members, and Professor

A. Assignments

1. Find one source cited that is cited incorrectly (using the sixth edition of the APA publication manual) in this document or in any of the bibliographies that are posted on the course website, and email me (by noon Saturday, August 29) how the citation should be corrected. Send only one correction; if someone already identified it, I will email and ask for another one.
2. PhD Students: E-mail me by noon Saturday, August 29 your choice of the journal article to use for Your Moment of Zen (see assignments).

September 2 Defining Communication  
CU-Boulder's (Communication) Graduate Program

A. Readings

1. Defining Communication
  - Dance, F. E. X. (1970). The "concept" of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 20, 201–210. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1970.tb00877.x
  - Dance, F. E. X., & Larson, C. E. (1976). *The functions of human communication: A theoretical approach*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. (Appendix A: Some definitions of communication)
2. CU-Boulder's (Communication) Graduate Program
  - University of Colorado Boulder, Department of Communication. (2015, September). *2015–2016 handbook of graduate study*. Retrieved from <http://comm.colorado.edu/graduate/graduate-department-handbook>
  - University of Colorado Boulder, Graduate School. (2001). *Graduate school rules*. Retrieved from [http://www.colorado.edu/GraduateSchool/policies/\\_docs/GraduateSchoolRules.pdf](http://www.colorado.edu/GraduateSchool/policies/_docs/GraduateSchoolRules.pdf)

B. Assignments

1. Create and tell during class the ending to the following start of a joke: "A communication graduate student walks into a bar . . ."
2. Choose or construct, and come prepared to share and defend, a definition of "communication."
3. Read the CU-Boulder Department of Communication's *2015–2016 Handbook of Graduate Study* and come prepared to ask questions about specific policies and procedures that apply to your program (MA or PhD).
4. Read the article about Dr. Sara Goldrick-Rab's (University of Wisconsin-Madison) tweets ([http://host.madison.com/news/local/writers/steven\\_elbow/uw-madison-university-committee-no-discipline-for-sara-goldrick-rab/article\\_30505e5c-a65e-5cfc-](http://host.madison.com/news/local/writers/steven_elbow/uw-madison-university-committee-no-discipline-for-sara-goldrick-rab/article_30505e5c-a65e-5cfc-)

be7e-f20f0034ce8a.html) and come prepared to discuss your position regarding political tweets by university professors (e.g., whether there should be any restrictions on them).

September 9            The Communication Discipline (including Associations and Conventions)  
College of Media, Communication and Information

#### A. Readings

- Keith, W. M. (2008). Speech communication, history of. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 10, pp. 4775–4781). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Eadie, W. F. (2011). Stories we tell: Fragmentation and convergence in communication disciplinary history. *Review of Communication, 11*, 161–176.  
doi:10.1080/15358593.2011.57827
- Craig, R. T. (2008). Communication as a field and discipline. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 675–688). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Donsbach, W. (2006). The identity of communication research. *Journal of Communication, 56*, 437–448. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00294.x
- Hickson, M., III. (2006). Why bother attending conferences? *Communication Education, 55*, 464–468. doi:10.1080/03634520600917632
- Schiappa, E. (2009). Professional development during your doctoral education. Washington, DC: National Communication Association. (Chapter 2: Professional academic organizations)

#### B. Assignments

1. Presentation groups (see assignments) should come prepared to compete in a “historical (pre)communication figures” game of *Jeopardy* (see handout).
2. Come prepared to discuss the nature and structure of the communication discipline (including relationships between the study of communication and media), and how/where you situate yourself within it.
3. Look at the other departments in the College of Media, Communication and Information (<http://www.colorado.edu/cmci>), and come prepared to discuss their relationship to communication and potential opportunities that they create for you as a graduate student (e.g., relevant courses to take and faculty who could serve as committee members).
4. PhD students: If you are writing a *Communication Teacher* essay (see assignments), read the examples that are posted on the course website and come prepared to discuss writing such an essay.

#### C. Rebecca Rice’s Moment of Zen: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:

- Cheney, G. (1983). The rhetoric of identification and the study of organizational communication. *Quarterly Journal of Speech, 69*, 143–158.  
doi:10.1080/00335658309383643

September 16      Philosophies/Paradigms/Perspectives and/of Communication  
 (Social) Sciences (Communication) and/vs. Humanities (Rhetoric)  
 Advisors, Committee Members, and Mentoring

#### A. Readings

1. Philosophies/Paradigms/Perspectives and/of Communication; (Social) Sciences (Communication) and/vs. Humanities  
 Anderson, J. A., & Baym, G. (2004). Philosophies and philosophic issues in communication, 1995–2004. *Journal of Communication*, 54, 589–615.  
 doi:10.1093/joc/54.4.589  
 Anderson, J. A., & Middleton, M. K. (2015). Epistemological movements in communication: An analysis of empirical and rhetorical/critical scholarship. In P. J. Gehrke & W. M. Keith (Eds.), *A century of communication studies: The unfinished conversation* (pp. 82–108). New York, NY: Routledge.
2. Advisors, Committee Members, and Mentoring  
 Waldeck, J. H., Orrego, V. O., Plax, T. G., & Kearney, P. (1997). Graduate student/faculty mentoring relationships: Who gets mentored, how it happens, and to what end. *Communication Quarterly*, 45, 93–109.  
 doi:10.1080/01463379709370054  
 Buell, C. (2004). Models of mentoring in communication. *Communication Education*, 53, 56–73. doi:10.1080/0363452032000135779  
 Foss, K. A., & Foss, S. K. (2008). Accomplishing the mission: Creating a partnership with your advisor. In S. Morreale & P. Arneson (Eds.), *Getting the most from your graduate education in communication: A student's handbook* (pp. 59–70). Washington, DC: National Communication Association.

#### B. Assignments

1. Come prepared to discuss and defend how you situate yourself with regard to philosophical issues (e.g., ontology, epistemology, and axiology) and the (social) sciences and/vs. humanities (rhetoric) distinction/debate, in particular.
2. Come prepared to talk about the model(s) of faculty mentoring (see Buell, 2004) that you prefer, as well as best practices in which you intend to engage as a mentee.

#### C. Moments of Zen

1. Blake Hallinan: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:  
 Lazarsfeld, P. (1941). Remarks on administrative and critical communications research. *Studies in Philosophy and Social Science*, 9, 2–16.
2. Nathan Bedsole: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:  
 Biesecker, B. (1989). Rethinking the rhetorical situation from within the thematic of *différence*. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 22, 110–130.

September 23      Communication/Rhetorical Theory and/vs. Application  
 Identity Statements, Plans of Study, and Curriculum Vitae

A. Readings

1. Communication Theory and/vs Application

Nastasia, D. I., & Rakow, L. F. (2010). What is theory? Puzzles and maps as metaphors in communication theory. *TripleC*, 8, 1–17. Retrieved from <http://www.triple-c.at/index.php/tripleC>

Seibold, D. R. (2008). Applied communication research. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 1, pp. 189–194). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.

Ellis, D. G. (1982, March). The shame of speech communication. *Spectra*, 18(3), 1–2.

Frey, L. R., & SunWolf. (2009). Across applied divides: Great debates of applied communication scholarship. In L. R. Frey & K. N. Cissna (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of applied communication research* (pp. 26–54). New York, NY: Routledge.

Keyton, J., Bisel, R. S., & Ozley, R. (2009). Recasting the link between applied and theory research: Using applied findings to advance communication theory development. *Communication Theory*, 19, 146–160. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2009.01339.x

2. Identity Statements, Plans of Study, and Curriculum Vitae

Schiappa, E. (2009). *Professional development during your doctoral education*. Washington, DC: National Communication Association. (Chapter 3: Your research identity and Chapter 4: Putting together your CV)

B. Assignments

1. Come prepared to define the word “theory.”
2. Identify a communication theory that has served you well in life and come prepared to discuss its strengths and weaknesses.
3. Come prepared to discuss the potential applied value of your future communication research in terms of messages that you might promote and audiences that you would want to reach.
4. PhD Students: Look at the identity statements, plans of study, and curriculum vitae posted on the course website, and come prepared to ask questions about that assignment (due October 7).

C. Moments of Zen

1. Elisa Varella: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:

Craig, R. (1999). Communication theory as a field. *Communication Theory*, 9, 119–161. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1999.tb00355.x

2. Tajshen Campbell: Read and come prepared to discuss the essays:

Eadie, W. F. (1990). Being applied: Communication research comes of age [Special issue]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 1–6.

Eadie, W. F. (1994). On having an agenda. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 22, 81–85. doi:10.1080/00909889409365388

September 30 Engaged Communication Activist Scholarship for Social Change and Justice  
Topic Selection (especially for Theses and Dissertations)

A. Readings

- Eschenfelder, B. (2011). Lessons about engaged communication scholarship: I heard it through the grapevine. *Florida Communication Journal*, 34, 37–54.
- Frey, L. R. (2009b). What a difference more difference-making communication scholarship might make: Making a difference from and through communication research. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 37, 205–214. doi:10.1080/00909880902792321
- Frey, L. R. (2009a). Social justice. In S. W. Littlejohn & K. A. Foss (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of communication theory* (Vol. 2, pp. 908–911). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Frey, L. R. (2006). Across the great divides: From nonpartisan criticism to partisan criticism to applied communication activism for promoting social change and social justice. In O. Swartz (Ed.), *Social justice and communication scholarship* (pp. 35–51). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hartnett, S. J. (2010). Communication, social justice, and joyful commitment. *Western Journal of Communication*, 74, 63–93. doi:10.1080/10570310903463778

B. Norell Bond's Moments of Zen: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:

- Campbell, K. K. (1973). The rhetoric of women's liberation: An oxymoron. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 59, 74–86. doi:10.1080/00335637309383155

October 7 Rhetoric Faculty Member Visits

A. Readings

1. (6:15–7:15): Lisa Flores, Phaedra Pezzullo, and Ted Striphas  
 Flores, L. (in press). Choosing to consume: Race, education, and the school voucher debate. In A. T. Demo, J. L. Bordo, & C. H. Krolokke (Eds.), *The motherhood business: Consumption, communication, and privilege*. Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press.  
 Pezzullo, P. C. (2011). Contextualizing boycotts and buycotts: The impure politics of consumer-based advocacy in an age of global ecological crises. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, 8, 124–145. doi:10.1080/14791420.2011.566276  
 Striphas, T. (in press). Culture. In B. Peters (Ed.), *Digital keywords*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
2. (7:30–8:30): John Ackerman, Laurie Gries, and Pete Simonson  
 Ackerman, J. (2010). Rhetorical engagement in the cultural economies of cities. In J. Ackerman & D. J. Coogan (Eds.), *The public work of rhetoric: Citizen-scholars and civic engagement* (pp. 76–97). Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press.  
 Gries, L. E. (2013). Iconographic tracking: A digital research method for visual rhetoric and circulation studies. *Computers and Composition*, 30, 332–348. doi:10.1016/j.compcom.2013.10.006  
 Simonson, P. (2014). Reinventing invention, again. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 44, 299–322. doi:10.1080/02773945.2014.938862

October 14 Discourse & Society Faculty Member Visits

A. Readings

1. 6:15–7:15: David Bormisza-Habashi and Cindy White  
 Boromisza-Habashi, D., & Parks, R. M. (2014). The communal function of social interaction on an online academic newsgroup. *Western Journal of Communication*, 78, 194–212. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.813061  
 White, C. H., & Malkowski, J. (2014). Communicative challenges of bystander intervention: Impact of goals and message design logic on strategies college students use to intervene in drinking situations. *Health Communication*, 29, 93–104. doi:10.1080/10410236.2012.721335
2. 7:30–8:30: Robert Craig, Leah Sprain, and Karen Tracy  
 Craig, R. T. (2013). Communication theory and social change. *Communication & Social Change*, 1, 5–8.  
 Sprain, L., Carcasson, M., & Merolla, A. J. (2014). Utilizing “on tap” experts in deliberative forums: Implications for design. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 42, 150–167. doi:10.1080/00909882.2013.859292  
 Tracy, K. (in press). *Discourse, identity, and social change in the marriage equality debates*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. (Introduction and Chapter 7)

October 21 Group 1 Presentation: Communication Graduate School/Education:  
 Everything You wanted to know but were Afraid to Ask?  
 Communication Education and Teaching

A. Readings

1. Group 1 Presentation: Communication Graduate School/Education  
 Ellis, C. (2011). Jumping on and off the runaway train of success: Stress and committed intensity in an academic life. *Symbolic Interaction*, 34, 158–172. doi:10.1525/si.2011.34.2.158  
 Turman, P. D. (2001). Central States Outstanding Teaching Award winners “learn to play the game:” Recommendations for being successful as a graduate teaching assistant. *Communication Studies*, 52, 266–271. doi: 10.1080/10510970109388562
2. Communication Education and Teaching  
 Morreale, S. P., & Pearson, J. C. (2008). Why communication education is important: The centrality of the discipline in the 21st century. *Communication Education*, 57, 224–240. doi:10.1080/03634520701861713  
 Rawlins, W. K. (2000). Teaching as a mode of friendship. *Communication Theory*, 10, 5–26. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2000.tb00176.x  
 Frey, L. R., & White, A. (2012). Promoting personal, interpersonal, and group growth through positive experiential encounter communication pedagogy. In T. J. Socha & M. J. Pitts (Eds.), *The positive side of interpersonal communication* (pp. 297–312). New York, NY: Peter Lang.  
 Frey, L. R., & Palmer, D. L. (2014). Introduction: Teaching communication activism. In L. R. Frey & D. L. Palmer (Eds.), *Teaching communication activism: Communication education for social justice* (pp. 1–42). New York, NY: Hampton Press.

October 28

Organizational Communication Faculty Member Visits

## A. Readings

1. 6:15–7:15: Karen Ashcraft and Bryan Taylor  
 Ashcraft, K. L. (2013). The glass slipper: “Incorporating” occupational identity in management studies. *Academy of Management Review*, *38*, 6–31.  
 doi:10.5465/amr.10.0219  
 Taylor, B. C., & Eger, E. K. (2015). “*Then what are you?*”: *Articulating ethics and poststructuralist ethics in organizational studies*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
2. 7:30–8:30: Jody John, Matt Koschmann, and Tim Kuhn  
 Jahn, J. L. S., & Myers, K. K. (2014). Vocational socialization of adolescents: Messages, sources, and frameworks that influence interest in STEM careers. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, *42*, 85–106.  
 doi:10.1080/00909882.2013.874568  
 Koschmann, M. A. (2012). The communicative constitution of collective identity in interorganizational collaboration. *Management Communication Quarterly*, *20*, 1–29. doi:10.1177/0893318912449314  
 Kuhn, T., & Burk, N. (2014). Spatial design as sociomaterial practice: A (dis)organizing perspective on communicative constitution. In F. Cooren, E. Vaara, A. Langley, & H. Tsoukas (Eds.), *Language and communication at work: Discourse, narrativity, and organizing* (pp. 149–174). Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press.

November 4

Group 2: Publishing Research: Publish and/or Perish?  
 Communication Research Methods

## A. Readings

1. Group 2: Publishing Research: Publish and/or Perish? (TBD)  
 Alexander, A. (2001). The manuscript submission process. In A. Alexander & W. J. Potter (Eds.), *How to publish your communication research: An insider's guide* (pp. 1–11). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.  
 Blair, C., Brown, J. R., & Baxter, L. A. (1994). Disciplining the feminine. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, *80*, 383–409. doi:10.1080/00335639409384084
2. Readings: Communication Research Methods (TBD)  
 Rubin, R. B., Rubin, A. M., Haridakis, P. M., & Piele, L. J. (2015). *Communication research: Strategies and sources*. Boston, MA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning. (Chapter 10: Designing the communication research project)  
 Chesebro, J. W., & Borisoff, D. J. (2007). What makes qualitative research qualitative? *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, *8*, 3–14.  
 doi:10.1080/17459430701617846



November 11            Group 3: Life in the Academy: So You Want to be a College Professor?  
 Instructor and Staff Visits: Ruth Hickerson, Jeff Motter, and Debbie O'Neil

A. Readings

1. Group 3: Life in the Academy: So You Want to be a Communication Professor? (TBD)  
 Becker, S. L., Galvin, K. M., Houston, M., Friedrich, G. W., Pearson, J. C., Seiler, W. J., & Trent, J. S. (2001). Making good tenure decisions. *Journal of the Association of Communication Administrators*, 30, 95–103.  
 Jolliffe, L. (2009). How to build a strong tenure file. *Journal of Magazine and New Media Research*, 10(2), 1–5.
2. Instructor and Staff Visits  
 Loehwing, M., & Motter, J. (2009). Publics, counterpublics, and the promise of democracy. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 42, 220–241. doi:10.1353/par.0.0037

November 18 & 25    No Class (National Communication Association Convention & Thanksgiving Break)

December 2            The Future of Communication and Academic Life

A. Readings

- Deetz, S. A. (1994). Future of the discipline: The challenges, the research, and the social contribution. In S. A. Deetz (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 17, pp. 565–600). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Trent, J. S. (2000). Prospects for the future: The communication scholar as citizen. *Communication Studies*, 51, 189–194. doi:10.1080/10510970009388518
- Rakow, L. F. (1993). The curriculum is the future. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 154–162. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01316.x
- Wartella, E. (1994). Challenge to the profession. *Communication Education*, 43, 54–62. doi:10.1080/03634529409378961

B. Moments of Zen

1. Sarah Beck: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:  
 Sloop, J. M. (2000). Disciplining the transgendered: Brandon Teena, public representation, and normativity. *Western Journal of Communication*, 64, 165–189. doi:10.1080/10570310009374670
2. Danielle Hodge: Read and come prepared to discuss the essay:  
 Gordon, C. (2006). Reshaping prior text, reshaping identities. *Text and Talk*, 26, 545–571. doi:10.1515/TEXT.2006.022

December 9            Oral Presentations (MA Students)  
 Written Comprehensive Examination (PhD Students)

December 16            Comprehensive Examination Oral Defense (PhD Students)  
 (7:30–10:00 pm)

## Course Assignments (and Percentage of Course Grade)

### A. MA Students

1. *Journal Article Review or Book Review* (10%; 3–5 pages; 2,500 words maximum; due September 30; you must submit the review to the assigned PhD student [see below] by noon Wednesday, September 23, with PhD students providing feedback to you by noon Saturday, September 26):
  - A) *Journal Article Review*: Select an *empirical* communication study published in a journal (preferably, one related to your final paper) and write an essay that summarizes the study and reflects critically on it (identifying its strengths and limitations), similar to a book review published in scholarly journals.
  - B) *Book Review*: Review a recent (2016–2014) communication book, similar to book reviews published in academic journals (see the bibliography and book review examples posted on the course website), that describes, interprets, and critiques the book. (Note: Only one book can be reviewed per person on a first-come, first-serve basis; you must receive my permission for the book that you review; make sure that the book has not been reviewed previously in a journal; and no book by a current CU-Boulder communication faculty member or graduate student can be reviewed.)
2. *Reading Notes* (5%; October 28): Readings are a cornerstone of this, and every other, communication graduate course. One way to better understand course concepts is to reduce the complexity of readings by putting them into your words. To demonstrate that competency, you will submit your notes for the week of October 28, with Collins and Schmitt covering the readings by Ashcraft and by Taylor and Eger, and Watkins Davis covering the readings by Jahn and Meyers, and by Koschmann. Notes should be no more than two single-spaced pages, and should include for that week: (a) a summary of *each* reading, addressing its thesis, main points, and interesting claims; (b) a brief critical evaluation of each reading (addressing both negatives and positives); (c) overarching issues or lessons that emerge *across* the two readings, and (d) at least two questions, relating to those readings that could be asked to facilitate a class discussion.
3. *Department Faculty Member Interviews and Introductions* (15%; 7.5% each): You have been assigned to the following two faculty members and will interview and introduce them when they attend the class: Rachel Schmitt–Ackerman and Gries, Emma Collins–Koschmann and White, and Andi Watkins Davis–Boromisza-Habashi and Jahn. First, read each assigned faculty member’s curriculum vitae (posted on the course website) and several works published by that faculty member. Second, construct a set of interview questions, and, in a face-to-face meeting, interview the faculty member. During the class session that the faculty member attends, you will present a professional 2-minute oral introduction of her or him (working from a written manuscript), with the written introduction and set of interview questions submitted to me immediately after that class session (and evaluated along with the oral introduction). (Note: You must submit the

- introduction to the assigned PhD student 1 week prior to the introduction of the faculty member, with PhD students providing feedback to you by noon Saturday of that week.)
4. *APA Manual Examination and Related Assignments* (7.5%; handed out after class on November 11 and due noon Friday November 13): A take-home examination that covers citation practices (both in the body of essays and in references), paper formatting, and writing practices using the APA manual. Other assignments (including papers) prior to the examination contribute to this grade.
  5. *Group Presentation* (17.5%): The following groups will give a formal 30–45-minute presentation and facilitate a 15–20-minute discussion with class members about the assigned topic: Group 1 (Rebecca Rice, Elisa Varela, and Andi Watkins Davis), Group 2 (Sarah Beck, Norell Bond, Blake Hallinan, and Rachel Schmitt), and Group 3 (Nathan Bedsole, Tajshen Campbell, Emma Collins, and Danielle Hodge).
  6. *Final Paper* (17.5%; due December 9; introduction to and outline of paper, 2.5%; due November 4) and *Oral Presentation* (5%; December 9): Select a communication topic, review scholarly literature on it, and write an essay and give a formal oral presentation (12 minutes maximum) that summarizes (in a comprehensive manner), synthesizes (in a creative and innovative conceptual manner that forwards understanding of the topic), and critiques (with regard to strengths and limitations) research conducted on that topic, and that sets agendas for future research on that topic (e.g., similar to reviews published in *Communication Yearbook*; see examples posted on the course website). (Note: You must submit the introduction and outline of the final paper to the assigned PhD student by noon Wednesday October 28, with PhD students providing feedback to you by noon, Saturday, October 31; you must submit the final paper to the assigned PhD student by noon Wednesday December 2, with PhD students providing feedback to you by noon Saturday, December 5.)
  7. *Participation* (20%): The course demands substantial participation in terms of contributing to class discussions by completing weekly assignments and by offering your opinions and asking and answering questions about the material covered. Participation does not mean talking for the sake of talking; it means being an active contributor to class discussions. Of course, participation requires attendance, so try not to miss any class sessions; however, because things happen, everyone is entitled to one absence without any penalty, with subsequent absences resulting in the lowering of the final course grade by a step (e.g., from an A to an A-). Five percent of the participation grade involves attendance at a department colloquium, at least one area research lunch, and at least one graduate student workshop held this semester, with a 1–2-page paper that examines what was learned from each colloquium and from the research lunch about the communication discipline and/or research, in general; our department, areas, faculty, and/or graduate students; and thoughts about performing in that capacity in the future.

B. PhD Students (see relevant assignment explanations above)

1. *Book Review or Communication Teacher Essay* (10%; 2,500 words maximum; due September 23): Preferably, the book reviewed is related to your general research focus. The *Communication Teacher* essay contributes an original teaching activity for a single class session, a unit, or a semester (see descriptions in the journal and recent examples posted on the course website).
2. *Identity Statement, Plan of Study, and Curriculum Vitae* (10%; due October 7; see guidelines for constructing identity statements and plans of study in the department's *2015–2016 Handbook of Graduate Study*; see examples of them and graduate students' curriculum vita posted on the course website)
3. *Department Faculty Member Interviews and Introductions* (7.5%): You have been assigned to the following faculty member (who also serves as your temporary advisor): Nathan Bedsole–Simonson, Sarah Beck–Flores, Norell Bond–Pezzullo, Tajshen Campbell–Kuhn, Blake Hallinan–Striphas, Danielle Hodge–Tracy, Rebecca Rice–Taylor, and Elisa Varela–Ashcraft.
4. *APA Manual Examination and Related Assignments* (10%)
5. *Group Presentation* (15%)
6. *Written Comprehensive Examination* (15%; December 9) and *Oral Defense* (5%; December 16): On December 9, PhD students will be given a 2-hour comprehensive examination question about a topic covered in this course; write the essay on a laptop computer during that class time period, with no notes permitted; and e-mail me the essay at the end of that time limit. Students defend orally their written answer on December 16.
7. *Participation* (27.5%): In addition to the evaluation criteria described above, the participation evaluation includes the following assignments and percentage of weight:
  - A) *Your Moment of Zen* (3.5%): Select one of the three articles that you submitted as an inspiration and facilitate a 15-minute class discussion of it.
  - B) *Department Moments of Zen* (3.5%): Attendance at a department colloquium, an area research lunch, and a graduate student workshop held this semester, with a 1–2-page paper after each that examines what was learned about the communication discipline and/or research, in general; our department, areas, faculty, and/or graduate students; thoughts about performing in that capacity in the future; and, for the workshop, suggestions about how it could have been more effective.
  - C) *Mentoring MA Students* (3.5%): Aiding the assigned MA student in the manner indicated, with your written feedback on his or her work submitted to me:

- 1) Emma Collins: Nathan Bedsole (journal article/book review and final paper oral presentation), Tajshen Campbell (faculty introductions and final paper introduction/outline), and Danielle Hodge (written final paper)
- 2) Rachel Schmitt: Sarah Beck (faculty introductions and final paper introduction/outline), Norell Bond (journal article/book review and final paper oral presentation), and Blake Hallinan (written final paper)
- 3) Andi Watkins Davis: Rebecca Rice (journal article/book review and final paper oral presentation), and Elisa Varela (faculty introductions and final paper introduction/outline)

### **CU-Boulder Notes** (Arranged alphabetically)

- A. Classroom Behavior: Students and faculty each have responsibility for maintaining an appropriate learning environment. Those who fail to adhere to such behavioral standards may be subject to discipline. Professional courtesy and sensitivity are especially important with respect to individuals and topics dealing with differences of race; color; culture; religion; creed; politics; veterans' status; sexual orientation; gender, gender identity, and gender expression; age; disability; and nationalities. Course rosters are provided to me instructors with the student's legal name. I gladly will honor students' request to address them by an alternate name. I will gladly honor students' request to address them by any alternate name or gender pronoun; please advise me of this preference early in the semester so that I can make appropriate changes to my records. For more information, see policies on classroom behavior (<http://www.colorado.edu/policies/student-classroom-and-course-related-behavior>) and the student conduct code ([http://www.colorado.edu/osc/sites/default/files/attached-files/osc\\_handbook\\_2015-16.pdf](http://www.colorado.edu/osc/sites/default/files/attached-files/osc_handbook_2015-16.pdf)).
- B. Disabilities: If students qualify for accommodations because of a disability, please submit to me a letter from Disability Services in a timely manner (for examination accommodations, provide a letter at least 1 week prior to the examination), so that their needs can be addressed. Disability Services (303-492-8671; [dsinfo@colorado.edu](mailto:dsinfo@colorado.edu)) determines accommodations based on documented disabilities. If students have a temporary medical condition or injury, see Temporary Medical Conditions: Injuries, Surgeries, and Illnesses guidelines Under the Quick Links at the Disability Services website, and discuss those needs with me.
- C. Discrimination and Harassment: CU-Boulder is committed to maintaining a positive learning, working, and living environment. CU-Boulder will not tolerate acts of discrimination or harassment based upon Protected Classes or related retaliation against or by any employee or student. For purposes of this CU-Boulder policy, "Protected Classes" refers to race, color, national origin, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, creed, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, veteran status, and political affiliation or political philosophy. Individuals who believe that they have been discriminated against should contact the Office of Institutional Equity and Compliance (OIEC; 303-492-2127) or the Office of Student Conduct and Conflict Resolution (OSC; 303-492-5550). Information about the ODH, the above-referenced policies, and the campus resources available to assist individuals regarding

discrimination or harassment can be found at the OIEC website (<http://www.colorado.edu/institutionalequity>). The full policy on discrimination and harassment contains additional information.

- D. Honor Code: All CU-Boulder students are responsible for knowing and adhering to the academic integrity policy of this institution. Violations of this policy may include cheating, plagiarism, aid of academic dishonesty, fabrication, lying, bribery, and threatening behavior. All incidents of academic misconduct shall be reported to the Honor Code Council (303-735-2273; [honor@colorado.edu](mailto:honor@colorado.edu)). Students who are found to be in violation of the academic integrity policy will be subject to both academic sanctions from the faculty member and nonacademic sanctions (including, but not limited to, university probation, suspension, or expulsion). Additional information regarding the Honor Code can be found online ([http://www.colorado.edu/osc/sites/default/files/attached-files/osc\\_handbook\\_2015-16.pdf](http://www.colorado.edu/osc/sites/default/files/attached-files/osc_handbook_2015-16.pdf)) and at the Honor Code Office.

Academic dishonesty in the form of cheating or plagiarism in all its forms—from using the ideas, organization, supporting sources, or words of another (including other students) without giving credit to the original author, to allowing or paying another person to write a paper for one's benefit, to purchasing and using a prewritten paper for course credit, as well as using another person's paper available on the Internet—will result in a grade of F for the assignment, an F for the course, and referral to student judiciaries. Papers submitted by students, written in part or in whole by someone other than the student, shall be considered to constitute fraud under the Honor Code, and result in the assignment of a grade of F for the course. Additionally, it is required that the work students complete for all course assignments be original. Unless students have my explicit permission, they are not allowed to submit work that they have completed or are completing for other assignments in this or any other course.

The development of the Internet has provided students with historically unparalleled opportunities for conducting research swiftly and comprehensively. The availability of these materials, however, does not release students from appropriately citing sources or applying standard rules associated with avoiding plagiarism. Specifically, I expect to review papers written by students that draw ideas and information from various sources (cited appropriately), and that are presented in students' words after careful analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. An assembly of huge blocks of other individuals' material, even when cited, does not constitute an appropriate representation of this expectation. Uncited, plagiarized material shall be treated as academically dishonest, and will result in a grade of F for any assignment and for the course. If there is any confusion as to what constitutes plagiarism, review the CU-Boulder Honor Code on this topic and/or see me.

- E. Religious Observances: Campus policy regarding religious observances requires that faculty members make every effort to deal reasonably and fairly with all students who, because of religious obligations, have conflicts with scheduled examinations, assignments, and/or required attendance (see campus policy at [http://www.colorado.edu/policies/fac\\_relig.html](http://www.colorado.edu/policies/fac_relig.html)). In this course, I will make every effort to accommodate students who have such conflicts with scheduled assignments or attending class sessions, provided they inform me well in advance of the scheduled conflict. If an assignment is due on a religious holiday, it can be due on

another day, and class sessions missed to observe religious holidays will not be counted as absences with regard to the participation grade.

## COMM 6010: Supplementary Readings (Fall 2015)

Note: Many of the readings listed below are posted on the D2L course website.

### American Psychological Association (APA) Style

- American Psychological Association. (2009a). *Mastering APA style: Instructor's resource guide* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychological Association. (2009b). *Mastering APA style: Student's workbook and training guide* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Concise rules of APA style* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychological Association (2012). *APA style guide to electronic resources* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Beins, B. C. (2012). *APA style simplified: Writing in psychology, education, nursing, and sociology*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Cooper, H. (2011). *Reporting research in psychology: How to meet journal article reporting standards* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Hacker, D., & Sommers, N. (2015). *A pocket style manual, APA style* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Bedford/St. Martin's Press.
- Lipson, C. (2012). *Cite right—A quick guide to citation styles—MLA, APA, Chicago, the sciences, professions, and more* (2nd ed.). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Perrin, R. (2014). *Pocket guide to APA style* (5th ed.). Stamford, CT: Cengage.
- Schwartz, B. M., Landrum, R. E., & Grunig, R. A. R. (2014). *An easyguide to APA style* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Szuchman, L. T. (2014). *Writing with style: APA style made easy* (6th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage.
- Trimmer, J. (2013). *A guide to APA documentation*. Boston, MA: Wadsworth/Cengage.

### University of Colorado Boulder Theses and Dissertations

- University of Colorado Boulder, Graduate School. (2011). *Specifications for preparation of master's theses and doctoral dissertations* (Rev. ed.). Unpublished manuscript. Retrieved from <http://www.colorado.edu/GraduateSchool/academics/#thesis>
- University of Colorado Boulder, Graduate School. (n.d.). *Electronic thesis and dissertation submission*. Unpublished manuscript. Retrieved from [http://www.colorado.edu/GraduateSchool/academics/thesis\\_sub.html](http://www.colorado.edu/GraduateSchool/academics/thesis_sub.html)

### September 2: Defining Communication

- Arundale, R. B. (2012). On understandings of communication: A response to Wedgwood. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 9, 137–159. doi:10.1515/ip-2012-0010
- Barnlund, D. C. (1970). A transactional model of communication. In K. K. Sereno & C. D. Mortensen (Eds.), *Foundations of communication theory* (pp. 83–102). New York, NY: Harper and Row.
- Brockreide, W. E. (1968). Dimensions of the concept of rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 54, 1–12. doi:10.1080/00335636809382864
- Bryant, D. C. (1953). Rhetoric: Its functions and its scope. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 39, 401–424. doi:10.1080/00335635309381908



- Cartier, F. A., & Hanwood, K. A. (1953). On definitions of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 3, 71–75. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1953.tb01076.x
- Cobley, P. (2008). Communication: Definitions and concepts. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 660–666). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Darnell, D. K. (1971). Toward a reconceptualization of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 21, 5–16. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1971.tb00901.x
- Deutschmann, P. J. (1957). The sign–situation classification of human communication. *Journal of Communication*, 7, 62–73. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1957.tb00259.x
- DeVito, J. A. (1966). What is rhetoric? *Today's Speech*, 14(3), 16–32. doi:10.1080/01463376609368774
- Fisher, W. (1961). Rhetoric: A pedagogic definition. *Western Speech*, 25, 168–170.
- Gerbner, G. (1966). On defining communication: Still another stab. *Journal of Communication*, 16, 99–103. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1966.tb00021.x
- Goyer, R. S. (1970). Communication, communication process, meaning: Toward a unified theory. *Journal of Communication*, 20, 4–16. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1970.tb00860.x
- Hawes, L. (1973). Elements of a model for communication processes. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 59, 11–21. doi:10.1080/00335637309383149
- Klopf, D. W. (1972). The process of speech communication. *Communication*, 1, 33–39.
- Luhmann, N. (1992). What is communication? *Communication Theory*, 2, 251–259. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1992.tb00042.x
- McNally, J. R. (1970). Toward a definition of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 3, 71–81.
- Millar, F. E. (1979). Communication: Discipline, process, event. *Communicator*, 9, 52–68.
- Miller, G. R. (1966). On defining communication: Another stab. *Journal of Communication*, 16, 88–98. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1966.tb00020.x
- Newman, J. B. (1960). Rationale for a definition of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 10, 115–124. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1960.tb00530.x
- Newman, S. J. (2001). Aristotle's definition of rhetoric in the *Rhetoric*: The metaphors and their messages. *Written Communication*, 18, 3–25. doi:10.1177/0741088301018001001
- Nicotera, A. M. (2009). Constitutive view of communication. In S. W. Littlejohn & K. A. Foss (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of communication theory* (Vol. 1 pp. 175–179). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Nilsen, T. R. (1957). On defining communication. *Speech Teacher*, 6, 10–17. doi:10.1080/03634525709376841
- Platt, J. H. (1955). What do we mean—"Communication"? *Journal of Communication*, 5, 21–26. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1955.tb01093.x
- Poulakos, J. (1983). Toward a sophistic definition of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 16, 35–48.
- Rahe, H. E. (1946). What is rhetoric? *Western Speech*, 10(5), 11–13.
- Sapir, E. (1951). Communication. In E. R. A. Seligman & A. Johnson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of the social sciences* (Vol. 3, pp. 78–80). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Scott, R. L. (1973). On not defining "rhetoric." *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 6, 81–96.
- Pomeroy, R. (1986). On not defining "rhetoric." A response to Robert L. Scott. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 16, 3–29. doi:10.1080/02773948609390734
- Smit, D. W. (1997). The uses of defining rhetoric. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 27(2), 39–50. doi:10.1080/02773949709391092

- Stelzner, H. (1971). Textbook definitions of speech as art. *Today's Speech*, 19(3), 3–6.  
doi:10.1080/01463377109368983
- Stevens, S. S. (1950). Introduction: A definition of communication. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 22, 689–690. doi:10.1121/1.1906670
- Thayer, L. (1978). The idea of communication—Looking for a place to stand: Review and commentary. In B. R. Ruben (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 2, pp. 49–56). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Wallace, K. R. (1970). Speech act and unit of communication. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 3, 174–181.
- Weinberg, H. L. (1958). A redefinition of rhetoric. *Today's Speech*, 6(4), 9–11.  
doi:10.1080/01463375809385099

## September 9: The Communication Discipline

### A. Defining/Conceptualizing, Positioning, and Evaluating the Communication Discipline

#### 1. Defining/Conceptualizing the Communication Discipline

- Andersen, K. E. (1984). A perspective on defining the field of communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 48, 16–18.
- Anderson, J. A. (1984). Communication as a field of research. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 48, 22–24.
- Barnett, G. A., & Danowski, J. A. (1992). The structure of communication: A network analysis of the International Communication Association. *Human Communication Research*, 19, 264–285. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1992.tb00302.x
- Bettinghaus, E. P. (1984). Defining the field of communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 48, 8–9.
- Clevenger, T., Jr. (1983). Toward a definition of communication: Speech communication in the context of the field of communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 46, 21–23.
- Cronkhite, G. (1986). On the focus, scope, and coherence of the study of human symbolic activity. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 72, 231–246.  
doi:10.1080/00335638609383771
- Eadie, W. F. (2008). Communication as an academic field: USA and Canada. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 632–638). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Ewbank, H. L., Sr., Baird, A. C., Brigance, W. N., Parrish, W. M., & Weaver, A. T. (1955). What is speech? A symposium. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 41, 145–153.  
doi:10.1080/00335635509382055
- Ferguson, S. (1978). Communication as a discipline. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 5, 1–5. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal>
- Friedrich, G. W., & Boileau, D. (1999). The communication discipline. In A. Vangelisti, J. Daly, & G. Friedrich (Eds.), *Teaching communication: Theory, research, and methods* (2nd ed., pp. 3–13). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Garner, J. T., & Ragland, J. P. (2015). Using a thematic analysis of literature to survey subfields within communication studies. *Communication Teacher*, 20, 159–165.  
doi:10.1080/17404622.2015.1033436
- Gerbner, G. (1984). Defining the field of communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 48, 10–11.

- Gondin, W. R. (1942). The field of speech—A problem in definition. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 28, 91–94. doi:10.1080/00335634209380735
- Gross, L. (Ed.). (2011). Communication as a discipline [Special section]. *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 1442–1497. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc>. Contains the following articles:  
 Gross, L. Introduction to the special section: “Communication as the discipline of the 21st century.” 1497–1498.  
 Gross, L. Plenary communication as a social science (and more). 1479–1496.  
 Livingstone, S. If everything is mediated, what is distinctive about the field of communication? 1472–1475.  
 Peters, J. P. Sweet lemons. 1467–1471.  
 Wang, G. Paradigm shift and the centrality of communication discipline, 1458–1466.  
 Pooley, J. D. Another plea for the university tradition: The institutional roots of intellectual comprise. 1442–1457.
- Hudson, H. H. (1923). The field of rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education*, 9, 167–180. doi:10.1080/00335632309379424
- King, S. S. (Ed.). (1989). *Human communication as a field of study: Selected contemporary views*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Korn, C. J., Morreale, S. P., & Boileau, D. M. (2000). Defining the field: Revisiting the APA 1995 definition of communication studies. *Journal of the Association of Communication Administration*, 29, 40–52.
- Krippendorf, K. (1969). Values, modes and domains of inquiry into communication. *Journal of Communication*, 19, 105–133. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1969.tb00835.x
- Lanigan, R. L., Jr. (2008). Communicology. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 3, pp. 855–857). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- McBath, J. H., & Jeffrey, R. C. (1978). Defining speech communication. *Communication Education*, 27, 181–188. doi:10.1080/03634527809378295
- Powers, J. (1995). On the intellectual structure of the human communication discipline. *Communication Education*, 44, 199–222. doi:10.1080/03634529509379012
- Reid, L. (1967). The discipline of speech. *Speech Teacher*, 16, 1–10. doi:10.1080/03634526709377558
- Rogers, E. M., & Chafee, S. H. (1983). Communication as an academic discipline: A dialogue. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 18–30. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1983.tb02402.x
- SAA Committee on the Nature of the Field of Speech. (1963). The field of speech: Its purposes and scope in education. *Speech Teacher*, 12, 331–335. doi:10.1080/03634526309377322
- Schmidt, P. L. (1975). Speech: A synoptic discipline. *Florida Communication Journal*, 3(2), 1–5.
- Smith, R. M., & Hunt, G. T. (1990). Defining the discipline: Outcome assessment and the prospects for communication programs. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 72, 1–4.
- Toward a definition of the field: Local constraints and national coherence [Special section]. (1983). *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 46. Includes the following articles:

Freshley, S. 5–8  
 Sullivan, J. L. 9–11  
 Clark, E. C. 12–14

Wallace, K. R. (1954). The field of speech, 1953: An overview. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 40, 117–129. doi:10.1080/00335635409381957

Woolbert, C. H. (1923). The teaching of speech as an academic discipline. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education*, 9, 1–18. doi:10.1080/00335632309379407

## 2. Communication Departments

Andersen, K. E. (1989). Commentary: The competent communication department of the 1990s. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 68, 44–47.

Blanchard, R. O. (1986). Why a department of communication? Hanging together or hanging separately. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 57, 6–8.

Braden, W. W. (1954). Whither the department of speech? *Speech Teacher*, 3, 121–126. doi:10.1080/03634525409376676

Brenton, A. L., & Gray, J. (1992). Seeing our discipline through a new lens: Speech communication in a professional college. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 81, 13–18.

Casmir, F. L. (1961). Today's speech department. *Today's Speech*, 9(1), 9–10. doi:10.1080/01463376109385171

Dedmon, D. N. (1986). Speech communication as seen from the top: University presidents look at the discipline [Special section]. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 55, 33–34. Includes the following articles:

Dedmon, D. N. Speech communication as seen from the top: University presidents look at the discipline. 33–34

Zacharias, D. W. Speech communication profession as seen by a president. 35–36  
 Smith, D. Presidents look at the field of speech communication: A commentary. 37–39.

Delia, J. G. (1982). Some thoughts concerning departmental focus in speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 40, 3–5.

Douglas, W. W. (1961). The ideal speech department in the second half of the twentieth century. *Central States Speech Journal*, 12, 182–187. doi:10.1080/10510976109362599

Franklin, W. G. (1975). Proposal for a department of speech communication: The study of speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 13, 31–32.

Friedrich, G. W. (1982). Promoting the department within the university. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 38, 18–20.

Hayworth, D. (1933). The organization of a department of speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 19, 356–363. doi:10.1080/00335633309379959

Hunt, E. L. (1966). An administrator looks at disciplines: Where does rhetoric belong? *Western Speech*, 30, 5–11.

Jurma, W. E. (1980). Speech communication and departmental matters: Implications for disciplinary interests. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 34, 58–60.

- Keltner, J. W., & Henning, J. H. (1963). The unique function of a department of speech in the college and university. *Speech Teacher, 12*, 131–135.  
doi:10.1080/03634526309377287
- Kully, R. D. (1978). Administrators' perceptions and misperceptions about the discipline of speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 24*, 24–27.
- Pace, R. W. (1972). From a speech communication department to the rest of the university: Problems of communication. *Communicator, 3*(2), 14–16.
- Pappas, E. J. (1984). Perspective of a department with units in speech communication, theatre, and mass communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 50*, 8–9.
- Rogers, E. M. (2001). The Department of Communication at Michigan State University as a seed institution for communication study. *Communication Studies, 52*, 234–248. doi:10.1080/10510970109388556
- Ryan, J. P. (1918). Terminology: The department of speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education, 4*, 1–11. doi:10.1080/00335631809360641
- Scheidel, T. M. (1987). Promoting the department within the institution. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 59*, 22–23.
- Theall, D. F. (1981). Communication and knowledge in communication theory: The context of the university and the academy. *Canadian Journal of Communication, 8*, 1–13. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/index>
- Thompson, W. N. (1977). The implications of the classical concept of rhetoric for today's programs in speech communication. *Association of Communication Administration Bulletin, 40*, 11–14.
- Wise, C. M. (1954). Departments of speech—A point of view. *Southern Speech Journal, 20*, 1–6. doi:10.1080/10417945409371332
- Wolvin, A. D. (1983). Saving the speech communication department: A symposium. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 46*, 52–53.
- Woolbert, C. H. (1916). The organization of departments of speech science in universities. *Quarterly Journal of Public Speaking, 2*, 64–77.  
doi:10.1080/00335631609360516

### 3. Communication Disciplinary Status and Value

- Adler, B. J. (1997). A “glimpse” of the status of the discipline: Internal identity of speech communication at a small college. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration, 2*, 110–116.
- Applbaum, R. L. (1976). Will speech communication survive as a discipline? *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 15*, 24–27.
- Applbaum, R. L. (1985). Developing a program justification: Strategies for locating and valuing the discipline. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 53*, 32–34.
- Bochner, A. P., & Eisenberg, E. M. (1985). Legitimizing speech communication: An examination of coherence and cohesion in the development of the discipline. In T. W. Benson (Ed.), *Speech communication in the 20th century* (pp. 299–321). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.

- Bowers, J. W. (Ed.). (1980). The status of the discipline [Special issue]. *Communication Education*, 29(4). Contains the following articles:
- Paulson, S. F. Speech communication and the survival of academic disciplines. 319–323. doi:10.1080/03634528009378434
- Marlier, J. T. What is speech communication, anyway? 324–327. doi:10.1080/03634528009378435
- Dance, F. E. X. (1980). Speech communication as a liberal arts discipline. 328–331. doi:10.1080/03634528009378436
- Hostettler, G. F. Speech as a liberal study II. doi:10.1080/03634528009378437
- Dearin, R. D. Public address history as part of the speech communication discipline. 348–356. doi:10.1080/03634528009378438
- Petelle, J. L. Speech communication: Status, distinctiveness, and the educational hierarchy. 357–360. doi:10.1080/03634528009378439
- McClure, R. P. A Simmelian synthesis for speech communication. 361–363. doi:10.1080/03634528009378440
- Hendricks, B. L. The status of elementary speech communication education. 364–369. doi:10.1080/03634528009378441
- Heath, R. L. Corporate advocacy: An application of speech communication perspectives and skills—and more. 370–377. doi:10.1080/03634528009378442
- Broadus, R. N. (1966). The treatment of the field of speech in three library classifications. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 52, 279–282. doi:10.1080/00335636609382791
- Bryan, M. (1965). A rationale for speech as an academic discipline. *Central States Speech Journal*, 16, 83–90. doi:10.1080/10510976509362802
- Martin, R. C. (1965). A rationale for speech as an academic discipline—A rejoinder. *Central States Speech Journal*, 16, 272–274. doi:10.1080/10510976509362802
- Chesebro, J. W., & Worley, D. W. (2000). The discipline of communication in higher education: Mutually defining and reciprocal relationships. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 29, 26–29.
- Craig, R. T. (2008). Communication in the conversation of disciplines. *Russian Journal of Communication*, 1, 7–23.
- de Burgh, H. (2003). Skills are not enough: The case for journalism as an academic discipline. *Journalism*, 4, 95–112. doi:10.1177/1464884903004001484
- Haslam, J. (2002). Learning the lesson—Speaking up for communication as an academic discipline too important to be sidelined. *Journal of Communication Management*, 7, 14–20. doi:10.1108/13632540310807214
- Kahn, F. R., Zafir, H. A., & Abbasi, A. S. (1998). Mass communication research as a social science discipline: Status, problems, and opportunities. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 8, 111–131. doi:10.1080/01292989809364756
- Macke, F. J. (1991). Communication left speechless: A critical examination of the evolution of speech communication as an academic discipline. *Communication Education*, 40, 125–143. doi:10.1080/03634529109378834
- Nash, C. (2013). Journalism as a research discipline. *Pacific Journalism Review*, 19, 123–135.

- Newsom, D. A. (1985). Journalism/mass comm as an academic discipline. *Journalism Educator*, 40(3), 23–44.
- Shepherd, G. J. (1993). Building a discipline of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 43(3), 83–91. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01279.x
- Streeter, T. (Ed.). (1995). No respect! Disciplinarity and media studies in communication [Special section]. *Communication Theory*, 5, 117–177. Includes the following articles:
- Streeter, T. Introduction: For the study of communication and against the discipline of communication. 117–129. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1995.tb00101.x
- Sholle, D. Resisting disciplines: Repositioning media studies in the university. 130–143. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1995.tb00102.x
- McLaughlin, L. Feminist communication scholarship and “the woman question” in the academy. 144–161. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1995.tb00103.x
- Kellner, D. Media communications vs. cultural studies: Overcoming the divide. 162–177. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1995.tb00104.x

#### 4. *Ferment in the Communication Discipline/Field*

- Adams, T. L., & Kuypers, J. A. Opening remarks of the *American Communication Journal*: Relaying a discipline in the midst of transition. *American Communication Journal*, 1(1). Retrieved from <http://www.ac-journal.org>
- Andersen, K. E. (1987). Assessing the health of the profession: A diagnosis of speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 59, 13–15.
- Auer, J. J. (1995). Internationalizing the discipline: Past, present and future. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 2, 102–109.
- Beniger, J. R. (1993). Communication—Embrace the subject, not the field. *Journal of Communication*, 43(3), 18–25. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01272.x
- Bochner, A. P. (1977). Whither communication theory and research? *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 63, 324–332. doi:10.1080/00335637709383392
- Budd, R. W. (1977). Perspectives on a discipline: Review and commentary. In B. D. Ruben (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 29–36). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Burgoon, M. (1995). A kinder, gentler discipline: Feeling good about being mediocre. In B. R. Burleson (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 18, pp. 464–479). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Burke, K. (1997). Refining windows and frames: Visions toward integration in the discipline(s) of communication. Part I. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 24, 315–332.
- Carisson, U. (2005). Has media and communication research become invisible? *Gazette*, 67, 543–546. doi:10.1177/0016549205057548
- Chen, G. M., & Miike, Y. (2006). The ferment and future of communication studies in Asia: Chinese and Japanese perspectives. *China Media Research*, 2(1), 1–12.
- Clark, E. C. (1986). Speech and mass communication: Together again. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 57, 9–11.

- Davis, D. K. (1990). Finding new models for mass communication research: Notes on surviving ferment in the field. In J. A. Anderson (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 13, pp. 545–553). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Geraghty, C. (2002). “Doing media studies”: Reflections on an unruly discipline. *Art, Design & Communication in Higher Education, 1*, 25–36.
- Gunaratne, S. A. (2010). De-Westernizing communication/social science research: Opportunities and limitations. *Media, Culture & Society, 32*, 473–500. doi:10.1177/0163443709361159
- Hafez, K. (2013). The methodology trap—Why media and communication studies are not really international. *Communications, 38*, 323–329. doi:10.1515/commun2013-0019
- Jensen, K. B. (2000). On the edge: A meta-analysis of the state of media and communication research. *Nordicom Review, 21*(2), 23–30. Retrieved from <http://www.nordicom.gu.se/en/publikationer/nordicom-review>
- Jiménez, L. G., & Guillem, S. M. (2009). Does communication studies have an identity? Setting the bases for contemporary research. *Catalan Journal of Communication & Cultural Studies, 1*, 15–27. doi:10.1386/cjcs.1.1.15/1
- Knutson, T. J. (Ed.). (1972). Criticism of communication research [Special section]. *Central States Speech Journal, 30*, 1–66. Contains the following articles:  
 Knutson, T. J. Criticism of communication research: An introduction to quality control. 1–3. doi:10.1080/10510977909367992  
 Campbell, K. K. The nature of criticism in rhetorical and communicative studies. 4–13. doi:10.1080/10510977909367993  
 Miller, G. R. On rediscovering the apple: Some issues in evaluating the social significance of communication research. 14–24. doi:10.1080/10510977909367994  
 Seibold, D. R. Criticism of communication theory and research: A critical celebration. 25–29. doi:10.1080/10510977909367995  
 Gouran, D. S. Fundamental issues in the criticism of communication theory and research. 40–50. doi:10.1080/10510977909367996  
 Clark, R. A. (1979). Suggestions for the design of empirical communication studies. 51–66. doi:10.1080/10510977909367997
- Lang, A. (2013). Discipline in crisis? The shifting paradigm of mass communication research. *Communication Theory, 23*, 10–24. doi:10.1111/comt.12000
- Lievrouw, L. A. (Ed.). (2014). *Challenging communication research*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- McAlister, J. F. (Ed.). Conversation and commentary: Why women’s studies in communication [Special section]? *Women’s Studies in Communication, 37*, 243–270. Contains the following articles:  
 McAlister, J. F. The past and future of feminist communication scholarship in *WSIC*. 243–245. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955424  
 Wood, J. T. On the eve of *Women’s Studies in Communication’s* 40 year anniversary. 246–248. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955426  
 Projansky, S. Politicized pragmatics. 249–253. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955428  
 Griffin, R. A. Feminist consciousness and “unassimilated” feminisms. 254–258. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955429



- Dow, B. J. The lessons of history: *Women's Studies in Communication* approaches 259–261. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955431
- Chávez, K. R., & Griffin, C. L. Women's studies in communication still matters. 262–265. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955434
- Calafell, B. M. The future of feminist scholarship: Beyond the politics of inclusion. 266–270. doi:10.1080/07491409.2014.955436
- Miller, G. R. (1983). Taking stock of a discipline. *Journal of Communication*, 33, 31–40. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02403.x
- Nelson, P. E. (1995). What is happening to the communication disciplines? *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 25, 132–135.
- Nodenstreng, K. (Ed.). (2007). Discipline or field? Soul-searching in communication research. *Nordicom Review, Jubilee Issue*, 211–222. Retrieved from <http://www.nordicom.gu.se/en/publikationer/nordicom-review>
- Perloff, R. M. (2013). Progress, paradigms, and a discipline engaged: A response to Lang and reflections on media effects research. *Communication Theory*, 23, 317–333. doi:10.1111.comt.12024
- Peterson, V. V. (2008). Against interdisciplinarity. *Women & Language*, 31(2), 42–50.
- Real, M. R. (1984). Debate on critical theory and the study of communications: A commentary on ferment in the field. *Journal of Communication*, 34(4), 72–80. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1984.tb02189.x
- Roberts, C. L. (1987). Building bridges between speech and journalism/mass communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 62, 85–89.
- Rodriguez, A. (2014). *Communication: Colonization and the making of a discipline*. Fayetteville, NY: Public Square Press.
- Roe, K. (2003). Communication science: Where have we been? Where are we now? Where are we going? Or: Media versus communication research? *Communications*, 28, 53–59. doi:10.1515/comm.2003.005
- Shome, R. (2006a). Interdisciplinary research and globalization. *Communication Review*, 9, 1–36. doi:10.1080/10714420500500828
- Sjøvaag, H., & Moe, H. (2009). From fermentation to maturity? Reflections on media and communication studies: An interview with Todd Gitlin, Jostein Gripsrud and Michael Schudson. *International Journal of Communication*, 3, 130–139. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Tunstall, J. (1983). The trouble with U.S. communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 92–95. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02410.x
- Waisbord, S. (2014). United and fragmented: Communication and media studies in Latin America. *Journal of Latin American Communication Research*, 4(1), 55–77. Retrieved from <http://alaic.net/journal/index.php/jlacr/index>
- Wang, G. (Ed.). (2011). *De-Westernizing communication research: Altering questions and changing frameworks*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Whitney, D. C. (1985). Ferment and the field. *Communication Research*, 12, 133–143. doi:10.1177/009365085012001005
- Wiemann, J. M., Hawkins, R. P., & Pingree, S. (1988). Fragmentation in the field—and the movement toward integration in communication science. *Human Communication Research*, 15, 304–310. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1988.tb00186.x

5. *Other*

- Adams, P. C., & Jansson, A. (2012). Communication geography: A bridge between disciplines. *Communication Theory*, 22, 299–318.  
doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2012.01406.x
- Aldoory, L., & Toth, E. L. (2001). The complexities of feminism in communication scholarship today. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 24, pp. 345–361). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Alsina, M. R., & Jiménez, L. G. (2010). Communication theory and research in Spain: A paradigmatic case of a socio-humanistic discipline. *European Journal of Communication*, 25, 273–286. doi:10.1177/0267233110373458
- Ardizzoni, M. (1998). Feminist contributions to communication studies: Past and present. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 22, 293–305.  
doi:10.1177/0196859998022003004
- Benson, T. W. (Ed.). (1985). *Speech communication in the 20th century*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Brand, J. (2000). Advancing the discipline: The role of forensics in the communication field. *Forensic of Pi Kappa Delta*, 86, 1–14.
- Chesebro, J. W. (1993). Scholarship reconsidered: Its impact on the communication discipline. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 24, 14–18.
- Chung, C. J., Lee, S., Barnett, G. A., & Kim, J. H. (2009). A comparative network analysis of the Korean Society of Journalism and Communication Studies (KSJCS) and the International Communication Association (ICA) in the era of hybridization. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 19, 170–191. doi:10.1080/01292980902827003
- Corner, J., & Harthorn, J. (Eds.). (1993). *Communication studies: An introductory reader* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Edward Arnold.
- Craig, R. T. (1989). Communication as a practical discipline. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds.), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 97–102). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- de Melo, J. M. (1975). Evolution of the communication discipline in Brazil. *Gazette*, 21, 34–39.
- Dervin, B. (1987). The potential distribution of feminist scholarship to the field of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 37(4), 108–120.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1987.tb01011.x
- Donsbach, W. (Ed.). (2008). *The international encyclopedia of communication* (12 Vols.). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Dow, B. J., & Condit, C. M. (2005). The state of the art in feminist scholarship in communication. *Journal of Communication*, 55, 448–478.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2005.tb02681.x
- Fiske, J. (1982). *Introduction to communication studies*. New York, NY: Methuen.
- Feng, C.-S. (1998). A brief review of Chinese contributions to communication and media studies. *Javnost*, 5(1), 71–78. Retrieved from <http://javnost-thepublic.org>
- Foss, K. A. (1989). Feminist scholarship in speech communication. *Women’s Studies in Communication*, 12, 1–10.
- Frey, L. R. (2015). Celebrating and challenging Caribbean communication scholarship. *Journal of Human Communication Studies in the Caribbean*, 1(1), 140–155.

- Gaines, R. N., & Gronbeck, B. E. (2008). Rhetorical studies. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 10, pp. 4382–4395). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Gallagher, M. (2010). Feminist scholarship in communication. *Media Development*, 57(4), 6–10.
- Gilchrist, E. S., & Jackson, R. L. (2012). Articulating the heuristic value of African American communication studies. *Review of Communication*, 12, 237–250. doi:10.1080/15358593.2012.666670
- Haiman, F. S. (1983). Speech communication: A radical doctrine? *Central States Speech Journal*, 34, 83–87. doi:10.1080/10510978309368123
- Hanke, B. (2009). Reflections on the academic milieu of media studies. *International Journal of Communication*, 3, 551–577. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Harding, H. F. (1972). Speech communication in 1984. *Today's Speech*, 20(3), 3–7. doi:10.1080/01463377209369044
- Hardt, H. (1992). *Critical communication studies: Communication, history, and theory in America*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Heisey, D. R. (Ed.). (2000). *Chinese perspectives in rhetoric and communication*. Stamford, CT: Ablex.
- Hickson, M., III. (2007). Finding a place in the Southern Communication Association: A phenomenological auto-ethnography of “Where is Waldo?” *Southern Communication Journal*, 72, 71–81. doi:10.1080/10417940601174918
- Huesca, R., & Dervin, B. (1994). Theory and practice in Latin American alternative communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 44(4), 53–73. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1994.tb00699.x
- Isager, C., & Just, S. N. (2005). Rhetoricians identified: A call to interdisciplinary action and how it resonated in the field of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 38, 248–258. doi:10.1353/par.2005.0020
- Jackson, J. L., Jr. (2008). Toward an ethnographic lingua franca: Communication and anthropology. *Journal of Communication*, 58, 664–678. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2008.00407.x
- Jia, W., Lu, X., & Heisey, D. R. (Eds.). (2002). *Chinese communication theory and research: Reflections, new frontiers, and new directions*. Atlanta, GA: Ablex.
- Kibler, R. J., & Barker, L. L. (Eds.). (1969). *Conceptual frontiers in speech communication*. New York, NY: Speech Association of America.
- Knower, F. H. (1963). The communications explosion and the study of speech. *Central States Speech Journal*, 14, 241–246. doi:10.1080/10510976309362704
- Kully, R. D. (1972). Forensics and the speech communication discipline. *Journal of the American Forensics Association*, 8, 192–199.
- Langmia, K., & Haddad Nunez, A. M. (2015). Cultural and rhetorical traditions of communication within African/Black thinking. *International Communication Gazette*, 77, 471–486. doi:10.1177/1748048515586949
- Lee, C.-C. (2003). Perspectives and communication: On dialogue between Chinese media studies and mainstream Western scholarship. *Mass Communication Research*, 77, 1–21.

- Lent, J. A., & Amazeen, M. A. (Eds.). (2015). *Key thinkers in critical communication scholarship: From the pioneers to the next generation*. New York, NY: Pelgrave Macmillan.
- Loeffler, D. L. (1984). Theatre perspectives on the field of communication. *Association for Communication Administration*, 48, 19–21.
- Mailloux, S. (2000). Disciplinary identities: On the rhetorical paths between English and communication studies. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 30, 5–29.  
doi:10.1080/02773940009391173
- Leff, M. (2000). Rhetorical disciplines and rhetorical disciplinarity: A response to Mailloux. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 30(4), 83–80.  
doi:10.1080/02773940009391189
- Malandro, L. A., & Porter, D. T. (1975). Fifty-nine years of publication in the field of speech communication (1915–1973). *Bulletin of the Association of Departments & Administrators in Speech Communication*, 11, 26–38.
- Meyen, M. (2012). International Communication Association Fellows: A collective biography. *International Journal of Communication*, 6, 2378–2396. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Miller, A. N., Kizito, M. N., & Ngula, K. wa. (2010). Research and publication by communication faculty in East Africa: A challenge to the global community of communication scholars. *Journal of International & Intercultural Communication*, 3, 286–303. doi:10.1080/17513057.2010.510608
- Newcomb, H. (1993). Target practice: A Batesonian “field” guide for communication studies. *Journal of Communication*, 43(3), 127–132.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01284.x
- O’Connell, S. E. (1978). Communication: Growth field of the seventies. *Journal of Business Communication*, 15(3), 37–46. doi:10.1177/002194367801500305
- Parrish, W. M. (1947). The tradition of rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 33, 464–467. doi:10.1080/00335634709381334
- Putnis, P. (1986). Communication studies in Australia: Paradigms and contexts. *Media, Culture and Society*, 8, 143–157. doi:10.1177/016344386008002002
- Royster, J. R. (2003). Disciplinary landscaping, or contemporary challenges in the history of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 36, 148–167.  
doi:10.1353/par.2003.0022
- Shome, R. (2006b). Transnational feminism and communication studies. *Communication Review*, 9, 255–267. doi:10.1080/1071442060057266
- Stacks, D. W., Rosenfeld, L. B., & Hickson, M., III. (1989). Perceptions of regional communication associations. *Communication Education*, 38, 144–150.  
doi:10.1080/03634528909378747
- Stephen, T. (2000). Concept analysis of gender, feminist, and women’s studies research in the communication literature. *Communication Monographs*, 67, 193–212.  
doi:10.1080/03637750009376504
- Trent, J. S. (Ed.). (1998). *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Valdivia, A. N. (2004). Latina/o communication and media studies today [Special issue]. *Communication Review*, 7(2). Contains the following articles:

- Valdivia, A. N. Latina/o communication and media studies today: An introduction. 107–112. doi:10.1080/10714420490448660
- Mayer, V. Please pass the pan: Rethorizing the map of panlatinidad in communication research. 113–124. doi:10.1080/10714420490448679
- Rojas, V. The gender of *Latinidad*: Latinas speak about Hispanic television. 125–153. doi:10.1080/10714420490448688
- Martínez, K. Z. *Latina* magazine and the invocation of a panethnic family: Latino identity as it is informed by celebrities and *Papis Chulos*. 155–174. doi:10.1080/10714420490448697
- Calafell, B. M. Disrupting the dichotomy: “You soy Chicana/o?” in the new Latina/o south. 175–204. doi:10.1080/10714420490448705
- Guzmán, I. M., & Valdivia, A. N. Brain, brow, and booty: Latina iconicity in U.S. popular culture. 205–221. doi:10.1080/10714420490448723
- Walwik, T. J. (1979). Communication: An emerging discipline in a changing environment. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 27, 46–47.
- Wildman, S. S. (2008). Communication and economics: Two imperial disciplines and too little collaboration. *Journal of Communication*, 58, 693–706. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00409.x

#### B. *Histories of the Communication Discipline*

- Anderson, J. A., Birkhead, D., Eason, D. L., & Strine, M. S. (1988). The caravan of communication and its multiple histories. In R. P. Hawkins, J. M. Wiemann, & S. Pingree (Eds.), *Advancing communication science: Merging mass and interpersonal processes* (pp. 276–307). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Averbeck, S. (2001). The post-1933 emigration of communication researchers from Germany: The lost works of the Weimar Generation. *European Journal of Communication*, 16, 451–475. doi:10.1177/0267323101016004002
- Averbeck, S. (2008). Comparative history of communication studies: France and Germany. *Open Communication Journal*, 2(1), 1–13. Retrieved from <http://benthamopen.com/tocommj>
- Benson, T. W. (2003). The Cornell school of rhetoric: Idiom and institution. *Communication Quarterly*, 1, 1–56. doi:10.1080/01463370309370139
- Braden, W. W. (Ed.). (1969). The founding of the journals of the Speech Association of America: A symposium. *Speech Teacher*, 18, 144–53. Contains the following articles:  
 Hunt, E. L. The founding of *The Quarterly Journal of Speech*. 144–146  
 Thompson, W. N. The founding of *Speech Monographs*. 147–150  
 Braden, W. W. The founding of *The Speech Teacher*. 151–153.
- Braden, W. W. (1976). The Southern Speech Communication Association: A history, 1955–1975. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 41, 237–265. doi:10.1080/10417947609372312
- Braithwaite, D. O. (2014, March/May). Engaging NCA’s evolving identity. *Spectra*, 50(1–2), 9–15.
- Bryant, J., & Miron, D. (2007). Historical contexts and trends in the development of communication theory. In B. B. Whaley & W. Samter (Eds.), *Explaining communication: Contemporary theories and exemplars* (pp. 401–431). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

- Bryant, J., & Pribanic-Smith, E. J. (2010). A historical overview of research in communication science. In C. R. Berger, M. E. Roloff, & D. R. Roskos-Ewoldsen (Eds.), *The handbook of communication science* (2nd ed., pp. 21–36). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Cohen, H. (1985). The development of research in speech communication: A historical perspective. In T. W. Benson (Ed.), *Speech communication in the 20th century* (pp. 255–281). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Cohen, H. (1994). *The history of speech communication: The emergence of a discipline, 1914–1945*. Annandale, VA: Speech Communication Association.
- Coman, M. (2011). Commentary—Three accounts of the founding heroes of communication research. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 35, pp. 43–48). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Comstock, G. (1983). The legacy of the past. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 42–50. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02404.x
- Corbett, E. P. J. (1985). The Cornell school of rhetoric. *Rhetoric Review*, 4, 4–14.
- Delia, J. C. (1987). Communication research: A history. In C. R. Berger & H. Chaffee (Eds.), *Handbook of communication science* (pp. 20–98). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Dennis, E. E., & Wartella, E. (Eds.). (1996). *American communication research: The remembered history*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Dickey, D. C. (1956a). The Southern Speech Association: Part I. Founding and first two years. *Southern Speech Journal*, 21, 175–188. doi:10.1080/10417945609371405
- Dickey, D. C. (1956b). The Southern Speech Association: Part II. The association, 1932–1946. *Southern Speech Journal*, 22, 1–15. doi:10.1080/10417945609371425
- Dorsten, A.-M. (2012). “Thinking dirty”: Digging up three founding “matriarchs” of communication studies. *Communication Theory*, 22, 25–47. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2011.01398.x
- Eid, M. (2004). Paul Lazarsfeld’s ideational network and contribution to the field of communication research. *Communications*, 29, 199–233.
- Fang, I. (1997). *A history of mass communication: Six information revolutions*. Boston, MA: Focal Press.
- Finnegan, C. (2014). Origin stories and dreams of collaboration: Rethinking histories of the communication course and the relationships between English and speech. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 44, 410–426. doi:10.1080/02773945.2014.957412
- Golden, J. L. (1987). Contemporary trends and historical roots in communication: A personal view. *Central States Speech Journal*, 38, 262–270. doi:10.1080/10510978709368247
- Hale, C. L., & Redmond, M. V. (1995). Speech communication at Iowa State University: A history of broken promises and shifting leadership. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 3, 169–179.
- Hammer, M. (1979). Unity in diversity: The rhetorical vision of the Speech Association of America from 1950 to 1960. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 27, 65–69.
- Harper, N. L. (1979). *Human communication theory: The history of a paradigm*. Rochelle Park, NJ: Hayden.
- Hardt, H., & Brennen, B. (Eds.). (1993). Communication, theory, and history [Special section]. *Communication Theory*, 3, 130–175. Contains the following articles:

- Hardt, H., & Brennen, B. Introduction: Communication and the question of history. 130–136. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1993.tb00062.x
- Jansen, S. C. “The future is not what it used to be”: Gender, history, and communication studies. 136–148. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1993.tb00063.x
- Nerone, J. Theory and history. 148–157. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1993.tb00064.x
- Whalen, S. The dialectic of memory and forgetting in histories of rhetoric. 157–162. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1993.tb00065.x
- Stephen, T., & Harrison, T. M. Interpersonal communication, theory, and history. 163–172. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1993.tb00066.x
- Hauser, G. A. (2007). *Philosophy & Rhetoric: An abbreviated history of an evolving identity*. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 40, 1–14, doi:10.1353/par.2007.0013
- Houchin, T. D. (1969). A history of the Speech Association of the Eastern States 1959–1969. *Today's Speech*, 17, 23–28. doi:10.1080/01463376909368873
- Jeffrey, R. C. (1964). A history of the Speech Association of America, 1914–1964. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 50, 432–444. doi:10.1080/00335636409382690
- Jones, S. (Ed.). (2012). *Communication @ the center*. New York, NY: Hampton Press.
- Keith, W. (2008). On the origins of speech as a discipline: James A. Winans and public speaking as practical democracy. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 38, 239–258. doi:10.1080/0277394Nu801958446
- Klee, B. B. (1969). The New York State Speech Association 1942–1968. *Today's Speech*, 17(2), 29–37. doi:10.1080/01463376909368874
- Kramer, M. (1953). History of the Speech Association of the Eastern States. *Today's Speech*, 1(1), 1–4. doi:10.1080/01463375309389385
- Löblich, M., & Scheu, A. M. (2011). Writing the history of communication studies: A sociology of science approach. *Communication Theory*, 21, 1–22. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2010.01373.x
- Malin, B. J. (2011). Not just your average beauty: Carl Seashore and the history of communication research in the United States. *Communication Theory*, 21, 299–316. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885-2011.01383.x
- Marcham, F. G. (1949). History and speech: Collaborative studies, present and future. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 35, 284–288. doi:10.1080/00335634909381494
- McAnany, E. G. (2012). *Saving the world: A brief history of communication for development and social change*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- McAnany, E. G. (2014). Wilbur Schramm: Beginnings of the “communication” field. *Communication Research Trends*, 33(4), 3–16.
- Meyen, M. (Ed.). (2012). The founding parents of communication: 57 interviews with ICA Fellows [Special section]. *International Journal of Communication*, 6, 1451–1886. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Meyen, M. (2015). Communication research and media studies, history of. In J. D. Wright (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of the social & behavioral sciences* (2nd ed., Vol. 4, pp. 278–283). Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier.
- Moran, T. P. (2010). *Introduction to the history of communication: Evolutions & revolutions*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Muir, J. K. (Ed.). (2009). Celebrating a century of excellence in the communication discipline [Special section]. *Communication Quarterly*, 57, 375–378. doi:10.1080/01463370903320948. Contains the following articles:

- Muir, J. K. Celebrating a century of excellence in the communication discipline, 375  
Kahl, & Costigan. Reflections on the Eastern Communication Association centennial  
convention: The association at 100. 376–378
- Oliver, R. T., & Bauer, M. G. (Eds.). (1959). *Re-establishing the speech profession: The first fifty years*. Mineola, NY: Speech Association of the Eastern States.
- Parcell, L. M. (2008). Communication and media studies, history since 1968. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 757–764). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Pearce, W. B., & Foss, K. A. (1990). The historical context of communication as a science. In G. L. Dahnke, C. Fernandez-Collado, & G. W. Clatterback (Eds.), *Human communication theory and research* (pp. 1–19). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Peck, J., & Stole, I. L. (Eds.). (2011). *A moment of danger: Critical studies in the history of U.S. communication since World War II*. Milwaukee, W: Marquette University Press.
- Peters, J. D. (Ed.). (1996). Intellectual roots of mass communication research [Special section]. *Journal of Communication*, 46(3), 85–173. Contains the following articles:  
Peters, J. Tangled legacies. 85–87. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1996.tb01490.x  
Cmiel, K. On cynicism, evil, and the discovery of communication in the 1940s. 88–107. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1996.tb01491.x  
Peters, J. D. The uncanniness of mass communication in interwar social thought. 108–123. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1996.tb01492.x  
Gary, B. Communication research, the Rockefeller Foundation, and mobilization for the war on words. 1938–1944. 124–147. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1996.tb01493.x  
Simpson, C. Elizabeth Noelle-Neumann’s “spiral of silence” and the historical context of communication theory. 149–171. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1996.tb01494.x  
Peters, J. D. (1999). *Speaking into the air: A history of the idea of communication*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Peters, J. D. (2008). Communication: History of the idea. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 689–693). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Pooley, J. (2008). The new history of mass communication research. In D. Park & J. Pooley (Eds.), *The history of media and communication research: Contested memories* (pp. 43–69). New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Robinson, D. J. (Ed.). (2009). *Communication history in Canada* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Robinson, G. J. (1988). “Here be dragons”: Problems in charting the U.S. history of communication studies. *Communication*, 10, 97–119.
- Robinson, G. J. (2000). Remembering our past: Reconstructing the field of Canadian communication studies. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 25, 105–125. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/index>
- Rogers, E. M. (1993). Looking back, looking forward: A century of communication study. In P. Gaunt (Ed.), *Beyond agendas: New directions for communication research* (pp. 19–39). Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Rogers, E. M. (1994). *A history of communication study: A biographical approach*. New York, NY: Free Press.



- Rooney, D., McKenna, B., & Barker, J. R. (2011). History of ideas in *Management Communication Quarterly*. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 25, 583–611. doi:10.1177/0893318911405623
- Rowland, A. L., & Simonson, P. (2014). The founding mothers of communication research: Toward a history of a gendered assemblage. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 31, 3–26. doi:10.1080/15295036.2013.849355
- Rowland, W. D. (1988). Recreating the past: Dilemmas in rewriting the history of communication research. *Communication*, 10, 121–140.
- Schiller, D. (1996). *Theorizing communication: A history*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Schramm, W. (1980). The beginnings of communication study in the United States. In D. Nimmo (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 4, pp. 73–82). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Schramm, W. (1997). *The beginnings of communication study in America: A personal memoir* (S. H. Chaffee & E. M. Rogers, Eds.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Simonson, P. (2010). *Refiguring mass communication: A history*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Simonson, P., Peck, J., Craig, R. T., & Jackson, J. P., Jr. (Eds.). (2013). *The handbook of communication history*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Simonson, P., & Peters, J. D. (2008). Communication and media studies, history to 1968. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 764–771). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Sloan, W. D. (1991). *Perspectives on mass communication history*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Solomon, W. S., & McChesney, R. W. (Eds.). (1993). *Ruthless criticism: New perspectives in U.S. communication history*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Stevens, J. D., & Garcia, H. D. (1980). *Communication history*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Taylor, J. R. (1988). The twenty-first century in the rear view mirror: A critique of the DOC's 1987 discussion paper. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 13, 63–85. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/index>
- Thompson, G. (1995). A short history of the Florida Speech Association. *Florida Communication Journal*, 23, 55–70.
- Trent, J. D. (1999). Central States Communication Association: History since 1981 and 50 years of communication studies. *Communication Studies*, 50, 116–124. doi:10.1080/10510979909388478
- Vroons, E. (2005). Communication studies in Europe: A sketch of the situation around 1955. *Gazette*, 67, 495–522. doi:10.1177/0016549205057541
- Wahl-Jorgensen, L. (2004). How not to found a field: New evidence on the origins of mass communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 54, 547–565. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2004.tb02644.x
- Weaver, A. T. (1959). Seventeen who made history: The founders of the association. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 45, 195–199. doi:10.1080/00335635909385740
- Weaver, C. (1977). A history of the International Communication Association. In B. Ruben (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 607–618). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction–International Communication Association.

- Wells, E. W. (1950). The Oregon Speech Association: Notes on its history and development. *Western Speech*, 14(2), 23–26.
- Wichelns, H. A. (1969). A history of the Speech Association of the Eastern States, 1909–1959. *Today's Speech*, 17(2), 3–22. doi:10.1080/01463376909368872
- Wilson, K. H. (2015). The national and cosmopolitan dimensions of disciplinarity: Reconsidering the origins of communication studies. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 101, 244–257. doi:10.1080/00335630.2015.995437
- Work, W., & Jeffrey, R. C. (1989). *The past is prologue: A 75th anniversary publication of the Speech Communication Association*. Annandale, VA: Speech Communication Association.
- Zelizer, B. (Ed.). (2008). *Explorations in communication and history*. New York, NY: Routledge.

## September 16

### A. Philosophies/Paradigms/Perspectives and/of Communication

- Adler, M. (1963). Challenges of philosophies in communication. *Journalism Quarterly*, 40, 449–454.
- Anderson, J. A. (1996). *Communication theory: Epistemological foundations*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Angus, I. (1998). The materiality of expression: Harold Innis' communication theory and the discursive turn in the human sciences. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 23, 9–29. Retrieved from <http://cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/index>
- Arneson, P. (Ed.). (2007). *Perspectives on philosophy of communication*. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- Arnett, R. C. (2010). Defining philosophy of communication: Difference and identity. *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, 11, 57–62. doi:10.1080/17459430903581279
- Arnett, R. C. (2014). Philosophy of communication as carrier of meaning: Adieu to W. Barnett Pearce. *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, 14, 1–9. doi:10.1080/17459435.2013.834957
- Arnett, R. C., & Holba, A. M. (2012). *An overture to philosophy of communication: The carrier of meaning*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Banks, S. P., & Riley, P. (1993). Structuration theory as an ontology for communication research. In S. A. Deetz (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 16, pp. 167–196). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Barnlund, D. C. (1962). Toward a meaning-centered philosophy of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 12, 197–211. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1962.tb01547.x
- Beatty, M. J., & McCroskey, J. C. (2000). Theory, scientific evidence, and the communibiological paradigm: Reflections of misguided criticism. *Communication Education*, 49, 36–44. doi:10.1080/03634520009379191
- Bemis, J. L., & Phillips, G. M. (1964). A phenomenological approach to communication theory. *Communication Education*, 13, 262–269. doi:10.1080/03634526409377385
- Bergman, M. (2009). Experience, purpose, and the value of vagueness: On C. S. Pierce's contribution to the philosophy of communication. *Communication Theory*, 19, 248–277. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2009.01343.x

- Bloom, V. L. (1975). Pragmatism: The choice of a critical perspective for communication inquiry. *Western Speech*, 39, 2–12. doi:10.1080/10570317509373841
- Bochner, A. P. (2014). *Coming to narrative: A personal history of paradigm change in the human sciences*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Brockmeier, J., & Harre, R. (1997). Narrative: Problems and promises of an alternative paradigm. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 30, 263–283. doi:10.1207/s15327973rlsi3004\_1
- Catt, I. E. (2014). The two sciences of communication in philosophical context. *Review of Communication*, 14, 201–228. doi:10.1080/15358593.2014.986876
- Chang, B. G., & Butchart, G. C. (Eds.). (2012). *Philosophy of communication*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Christopherson, M. F. (1963). Speech and the “new” philosophies revisited. *Central States Speech Journal*, 14, 5–11. doi:10.1080/10510976309362672
- Cirksena, K. (1987). Politics and differences: Radical feminist epistemological premises for communication studies. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 11, 19–28.
- Cook, M. A., & Holba, A. M. (Eds.). (2008). *Philosophies of communication: Implications for everyday experience*. Bern, Switzerland: Peter Lang.
- Corcoran, F. (1989). Paradigm dialogue in communication theory: Identifying the dividing lines. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds.), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 157–161). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Deetz, S. (1973). Words without things: Toward a social phenomenology of language. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 59, 40–51. doi:10.1080/00335637309383152
- Dervin, B. (2005). The PHILCOM division of the ICA as seen from the margins by a formerly fem-us rebellious ragamuffin: A personal reflection. *Communication Review*, 8, 415–419. doi:10.1080/10714420500297599
- Dervin, B., Grossberg, L., O’Keefe, B. J., & Wartella, E. (Eds.). (1989). *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- de Sola Pool, I., & Schiller, H. I. (1981). Perspectives on communications research: An exchange. *Journal of Communication*, 31, 15–23. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1981.tb00424.x
- Dissanayake, W. (1982). The phenomenology of verbal communication: A classical Indian view. *Semiotica*, 41, 207–220.
- Dresner, E. (2006). Davidson’s philosophy of communication. *Communication Theory*, 16, 155–172. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2006.00266.x
- Evans, W. A. (1990). The interpretive turn in media research: Innovation, iteration, or illusion? *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 7, 147–168. doi:10.1080/15295039009360170
- Fenton, N. (2000). The problematics of postmodernism for feminist media studies. *Media, Culture & Society*, 26, 723–741. doi:10.1177/016344300022006002
- Fisher, W. R. (1970). Philosophic perspective and beginning public speaking texts. *Speech Teacher*, 19, 206–210. doi:10.1080/03634527009377822
- Fisher, W. R. (1984). Narration as a human communication paradigm: The case of public moral argument. *Communication Monographs*, 51, 1–22. doi:10.1080/03637758409390180
- Fisher, W. R. (1985a). The narrative paradigm: In the beginning. *Journal of Communication*, 35, 74–89. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1985.tb02974.x

- Fisher, W. R. (1985b). The narrative paradigm: An elaboration. *Communication Monographs*, 52, 347–367. doi:10.1080/03637758509376117
- Fourie, P. J. (1989). Paradigms in media research: A preliminary overview. *Communicatio*, 15(1), 32–38.
- Frey, L. R. (1994). The naturalistic paradigm: Studying small groups in the postmodern era. *Small Group Research*, 25, 551–577. doi:10.1177/1046496494254008
- Glenn, C. B. (2013). A middle way: Process philosophy and critical communication inquiry. *Empedocles*, 4, 113–131. doi:10.1386/ejpc.4.2.113\_1
- Hall, S. (1989). Ideology and communication theory. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 40–52). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Hannan, J. (Ed.). (2012). *Philosophical profiles in the theory of communication*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Hapuarachchi, A. (2014). Communication model of epistemology. *China Media Research*, 10(2), 1–14.
- Hardt, H. (1986). Critical theory in historical perspective. *Journal of Communication*, 36(3), 144–154. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1986.tb01443.x
- Hardt, H. (1999). Shifting paradigms: Decentering the discourse of mass communication research. *Mass Communication and Society*, 1, 175–183. doi:10.1080/15205436.1999.9677871
- Hauser, G. A., & Cushman, D. P. (1973). McKeon’s philosophy of communication: The architectonic and interdisciplinary arts. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 6, 211–234.
- Hawes, L. C. (1977). Toward a hermeneutic phenomenology of communication. *Communication Quarterly*, 25(3), 30–41. doi:10.1080/01463377709369261
- Felts, A. A. (1978). Hermeneutic phenomenology: A critique of Leonard Hawes’ conception. *Communication Quarterly*, 26, 58–64. doi:10.1080/01463377809369315
- Hyde, M. J. (Eds.). (1982). *Communication philosophy and the technological age*. Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press.
- Jensen, K. B. (2008). Communication theory and philosophy. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 3, pp. 839–852). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Jensen, K. B., & Neuman, W. R. (2013). Evolving paradigms of communication research. *International Journal of Communication*, 7, 230–238. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Johannessen, R. L. (1983). Conflicting philosophies of rhetoric/communication: Richard M. Weaver versus S. I. Hayakawa. *Communication*, 7, 289–315.
- Kaplan, H. (1987). Human communication and contemporary evolutionary theory. *Research on language and Social Interaction*, 20, 79–139. doi:10.1080/08351818709389276
- Kelly, J. C. (1981). *A philosophy of communication: Explorations for a systematic model*. London, England: Centre for the Study of Communications and Culture.
- Krippendorff, K. (1984). An epistemological foundation for communication. *Journal of Communication*, 34(3), 21–36. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1984.tb02171.x

- Langsdorf, L., & Smith, A. R. (Eds.). (1995). *Recovering pragmatism's voice: The classical tradition, Rorty, and the philosophy of communication*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Lanigan, R. L. (1980). Communication models in philosophy: Review and commentary. In D. Nimmo (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 3, pp. 29–49). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Lanigan, R. L. (1982). Introduction: Two philosophies of communication. *Semiotica*, 41, 1–3. doi:10.1515/semi.1982.41.1-4.1
- Lanigan, R. L. (2005). Paradigm shifts: Recalling the early ICA and the later PHILCOM. *Communication Review*, 8, 377–382. doi:10.1080/10714420500297508
- Lăzăroiu, G. L. (2012). *Processes of social construction in the philosophy of communication*. New York, NY: Addleton Academic.
- Lillywhite, H. (1952). Toward a philosophy of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 2, 29–32. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1952.tb00175.x
- MacDaniels, J. (1983). Differing perspectives on human communication. *Communication*, 12, 13–16.
- McQuail, D. (2013). Reflections on paradigm change in communication theory and research. *International Journal of Communication*, 7, 216–229. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Miller, T. (2013). A conversation about blow-ups: Communication studies, critical studies, cultural studies & the current crisis of the humanities: James Hay interviews Toby Miller. *Communication & Critical/Cultural Studies*, 10, 183–210. doi:10.1080/14791420.2013.817175
- Mouton, J. (1986). Recent development in the philosophy of science: Its relevance for the social sciences. *Communicatio*, 12(2), 9–22.
- Mumby, D. K. (1977). Modernism, postmodernism, and communication studies: A rereading of an ongoing debate. *Communication Theory*, 7, 1–28. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.1997.tb00140.x
- Olivier, B. (2009). *Philosophy and communication: Collected essays*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Pearce, W. B. (1989). *Communication and the human condition*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Pearce, W. B., & Cronen, V. E. (1980). *Communication, action, and meaning: The creation of social realities*. New York, NY: Praeger.
- Pelias, R. J., & Van Oosting, J. (1987). A paradigm for performance studies. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 73, 219–231. doi:10.1080/00335638709383804
- Pilotta, J. (2005). A critical background of PHILCOM: Phenomenology and Marxism. *Communication Review*, 8, 405–414. doi:10.1080/10714420500297565
- Potter, W. J., Cooper, R., & Dupagne, M. (1993). The three paradigms of mass media research in mainstream communication journals. *Communication Theory*, 3, 317–335. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1993.tb00077.x
- Puckett, T. F. N. (1995). Reclaiming the person in communication: Lanigan's semiotic phenomenology of communicology. *Semiotica*, 107, 171–178.
- Radford, G. P. (2005). *On the philosophy of communication*. Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.

- Ramsey, R. E. (1998). *The long path to nearness: A contribution to a corporeal philosophy of communication and the groundwork for an ethics of relief*. Atlantic Highlands, NJ: Humanities Press.
- Ramsey, R. E., & Miller, D. J. (Eds.). (2003). *Experiences between philosophy and communication: Engaging the philosophical contributions of Calvin O. Schrag*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Reeve, F. (1952). Toward a philosophy of communication. *Education*, 72, 445–455.
- Roberts, K. G. (2004). Texturing the narrative paradigm: Folklore and communication. *Communication Quarterly*, 52, 129–142. doi:10.1080/01463370409370186
- Robillard, J. (2005). Philosophy of communication: What does it have to do with philosophy of social sciences. *Cosmos and History*, 1(2). Retrieved from <http://cosmosandhistory.org/index.php/journal/index>
- Rogers, E. M. (1982). The empirical and the critical schools of communication research. In M. Burgoon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 5, pp. 125–144). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Rosengren, K. E. (1983). Communication research: One paradigm, or four? *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 185–207. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02420.x
- Rowland, R. C. (1987). Narrative: Mode of discourse or paradigm? *Communication Monographs*, 54, 264–275. doi:10.1080/03637758709390232
- Rowland, R. C. (1989). On limiting the narrative paradigm: Three case studies. *Communication Monographs*, 56, 39–54. doi:10.1080/03637758909390248
- Fisher, W. R.; (1989). Clarifying the narrative paradigm. *Communication Monographs*, 56, 55–58. doi:10.1080/03637758909390249
- Sanders, R. E. (1973). The question of a paradigm for the study of speech-using behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 59, 1–10. doi:10.1080/00335637309383148
- Sayre, W. W. (1948). Communication as a first principle of philosophy. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 34, 128–136. doi:10.1080/00335634809381374
- Schrag, C. O. (2003). *Communicative praxis and the space of subjectivity*. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- Sholle, D. J. (1998). Critical studies: From the theory of ideology to power/knowledge. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 5, 16–41. doi:10.1080/15295038809366683
- Shome, R., & Hegde, R. S. (2002). Postcolonial approach to communication: Charting the terrain, engaging the intersections. *Communication Theory*, 12, 249–270. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2002.tb00269.x
- Slack, J. D., & Allor, M. (1983). The political and epistemological constituents of critical communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 208–218. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02421.x
- Smith, D. R. (1971). From id to information: A biological view of communication. *Today's Speech*, 19, 11–16. doi:10.1080/01463377109368959
- Smith, W. S. (1962). Toward a philosophy of speech. *Southern Speech Journal*, 28, 1–5. doi:10.1080/10417946209371664
- Swanson, D. L. (1977). A reflective view of the epistemology of critical inquiry. *Communication Monographs*, 44, 207–219. doi:10.1080/03637757709390132
- Vladutescu, S. (2013). What kind of communication is philosophy? *Jökull Journal*, 63, 301–318.

- Wander, P. C. (Ed.). (1993). Ideology and communication [Special issue]. *Western Journal of Communication*, 57(2). Contains the following articles:
- Wander, P. C. Introduction: Special issue on ideology. 105–110.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374435
- Rodden, J. Field of dreams. 111–138. doi:10.1080/10570319309374436
- Morris, R. Modernity's Prometheus. 139–146. doi:10.1080/10570319309374437
- Farrell, T. B. On the disappearance of the rhetorical aura. 147–158.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374438
- Rushing, J. H. Power, other, and spirit in cultural texts. 159–168.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374439
- Owen, A. S., & Ehrenhaus, P. Animating a critical rhetoric: On the feeding habits of American empire. 169–110. doi:10.1080/10570319309374440
- Condit, C. M. The critic as empath: Moving away from totalizing theory. 178–190.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374441
- Rigsby, E. D. African American rhetoric and the “profession.” 191–199.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374442
- Allen, M. Critical and tradition science: Implications for communication research. 200–208. doi:10.1080/10570319309374443
- West, J. T. Ethnography and ideology: The politics of cultural representation. 209–220. doi:10.1080/10570319309374444
- Lee, W. S. Social scientists as ideological critics. 221–232.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374445
- Geist, P., & Dreyer, J. The demise of dialogue: A critique of medical encounter ideology. 233–246. doi:10.1080/10570319309374446
- Anderson, P. A. Beyond criticism: The activist turn in the ideological debate. 247–256. doi:10.1080/10570319309374447
- Delgado, F. P., Deluca, K., & Janas, M. Confronting the millennium: Criticism, labor, and the transition to postmodernism. 257–256. doi:10.1080/10570319309374448
- Wilson, H., Flores, L. A., & Hasian, M. A., Jr. “The taken for granted?” 261–265.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374449
- Smith, C. A. Finding the spiritual dimension in rhetoric. 266–271.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374450
- Hollihan, T. A., & Riley, P. Forthcoming: Rediscovering ideology. 272–277.  
doi:10.1080/10570319309374451
- Wood, J. T., & Cox, R. Rethinking critical voice: Materiality and situated knowledges. 278–287. doi:10.1080/10570319309374452
- Warnick, B. (1987). The narrative paradigm: Another story. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 73, 172–182. doi:10.1080/00335638709383801
- Whaley, S., & Cheney, G. (1991). Contemporary social theory and its implications for rhetorical and communication theory. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 77, 467–479.  
doi:10.1080/00335639109383974
- Wieman, H. N. (1961). The philosophical significance of speech. *Central States Speech Journal*, 12, 170–175. doi:10.1080/10510976109362597
- Wilder, C. (1978). From the interactional view: A conversation with Paul Watzlawick. *Journal of Communication*, 28, 35–45. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1978.tb01654.x

Wilder, C. (1979). The Palo Alto group: Difficulties and directions of the interactional view for human communication research. *Human Communication Research*, 5, 171–186. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1979.tb00632.x

*B. Rhetoric and/as/of Philosophy*

- Angus, I., & Langsdorf, L. (Eds.). (1993). *The critical turn: Rhetoric and philosophy in postmodern discourse*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Aune, J. A. (2003). An historical materialist theory of rhetoric. *American Communication Journal*, 6(4). Retrieved from <http://www.ac-journal.org>
- Baird, A. C. (1965). *Rhetoric: A philosophical inquiry*. New York, NY: Ronald Press.
- Brinton, A. (1982). William James and the epistemic view of rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 68, 158–169. doi:10.1080/00335638209383601
- Brummett, B. (1976). Some implications of “process” or “intersubjectivity”: Postmodern rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 9, 21–51.
- Campbell, G. (1988). *The philosophy of rhetoric* (L. F. Bitzer, Ed.). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Campbell, K. K. (1970). The ontological foundations of rhetorical theory. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 2, 97–108.
- Cascardi, A. J. (1983). The place of language in philosophy; or, the uses of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 16, 217–227.
- Cherwitz, R. A. (Ed.). (1990). *Rhetoric and philosophy*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cherwitz, R. A., & Hikins, J. W. (1982). Toward a rhetorical epistemology. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 47, 135–162. doi:10.1080/10417948209372523
- Crick, N. (2006). Rhetoric, philosophy, and the public intellectual. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 39, 127–139. doi:10.1353/par.2006.0012
- Cushman, D. G., & Prelli, L. J. (1981). Rhetoric and epistemology from an action theory perspective. *Central States Speech Journal*, 32, 273–278. doi:10.1080/10510978109368106
- Del Caro, A. (2004). Nietzsche’s rhetoric on the grounds of philology and hermeneutics. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 37, 101–122. doi:10.1353/par.2004.0015
- Douglas, D. G. (Ed.). (1973). *Philosophers on rhetoric: Traditional and emerging views*. Skokie, IL: National Textbook.
- Erickson, K. V. (1977). Plato’s philosophy of rhetoric: A research guide. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 7, 78–93. doi:10.1080/02773947709390474
- Eubanks, R. T., & Baker, V. L. (1962). Toward an axiology of rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 48, 157–168. doi:10.1080/00335636209382532
- Farrell, T. B. (1983). The tradition of rhetoric and the philosophy of communication. *Communication*, 7, 151–180.
- Farrell, T. B. (1995). Philosophy against *Rhetoric* in Aristotle. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 28, 181–198.
- Florescu, V. (1970). Rhetoric and its rehabilitation in contemporary philosophy. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 3, 193–224.
- Frogel, S. (2004). Philosophical argumentation: Logic and rhetoric. *Argumentation*, 18, 171–188.
- Garver, E. (1986). Aristotle’s *Rhetoric* as a work of philosophy. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 19, 1–22.



- Grassi, E. (1976). Rhetoric and philosophy. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 9, 200–216.
- Grassi, E. (1978). Can rhetoric provide a new basis for philosophizing? The humanist tradition. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 11, 1–18.
- Grassi, E. (1987). Why rhetoric is philosophy (K. O'Malley, Trans.). *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 20, 68–78.
- Haines, V. Y. (1996). Rhetoric and existence. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 29, 103–121.
- Harpine, W. D. (2005). “Analyzing how rhetoric is epistemic”: A reply to Steve Fuller. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 38, 82–88.
- Harvey, I. E. (1985). Contemporary French thought and the art of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 18, 199–215.
- Hauser, G. A. (2001). Henry W. Johnstone, Jr.: Reviving the dialogue of philosophy and rhetoric. *Review of Communication*, 1, 1–25.
- Hauser, G. (2004). Teaching rhetoric: Or why rhetoric isn't just another kind of philosophy or literary criticism. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 34(3), 39–53.  
doi:10.1080/02773940409391289
- Hauser, G. A. (Ed.). (2007). *Philosophy and rhetoric in dialogue: Redrawing their intellectual landscape*. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Hikins, J. W., & Zagacki, K. S. (1988). Rhetoric, philosophy, and objectivism: An attenuation of the claims of the rhetoric of inquiry. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 74, 201–228. doi:10.1080/00335638809383837
- Hyde, M. J., & Smith, C. R. (1979). Hermeneutics and rhetoric: A seen but unobserved relationship. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 65, 347–363.  
doi:10.1080/00335637909383487
- Johnstone, H. W., Jr. (1966). The relevance of rhetoric to philosophy and of philosophy to rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 52, 41–46. doi:10.1080/00335636609382756
- Johnstone, H. W., Jr. (1973). Rationality and rhetoric in philosophy. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 59, 381–389. doi:10.1080/00335637309383188
- Johnstone, H. W., Jr. (2007). The philosophical basis of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 40, 15–26. doi:10.1353/par.2007.0014
- Katz, S. R. (1996). The epistemology of the Kabbalah: Toward a Jewish philosophy of rhetoric. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 25, 107–122. doi:10.1080/02773949509391035
- Kauffman, C. (1982). The axiological foundations of Plato's theory of rhetoric. *Central States Speech Journal*, 33, 345–366. doi:10.1080/10510978209388441
- Keith, W. (Ed.). (1993). Rhetoric in the rhetoric of science [Special section]. *Southern Communication Journal*, 58, 255–327. Contains the following articles:  
Keith, W. Rhetorical criticism and the rhetoric of science: An introduction. 255–257.  
doi:10.1080/10417949309372908  
Gaonkar, D. P. The idea of rhetoric in the rhetoric of science. 258–295.  
doi:10.1080/10417949309372909  
Leff, M. The idea of rhetoric as interpretive practice: A humanist's response to Gaonkar. 296–300. doi:10.1080/10417949309372910
- Gross, A. G. What if we're not producing knowledge? Critical reflections on the rhetorical criticism of science. 301–305. doi:10.1080/10417949309372911  
Fuller, S. “Rhetoric of science”: A doubly vexed expression. 306–311.  
doi:10.1080/10417949309372912

- Campbell, J. A. Reply to Gaonkar and Fuller. 312–318.  
doi:10.1080/10417949309372913
- Prelli, L. J. Rhetorical perspective and the limits of critique. 319–327.  
doi:10.1080/10417949309372914
- Kennedy, G. A. (1980). Later Greek philosophy and rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 13, 181–197.
- Kluback, W. (1980). The new rhetoric as a philosophical system. *Journal of the American Forensic Association*, 17, 73–79.
- Lloyd, K. (2007). Rethinking rhetoric from an Indian perspective: Implications in the “Nyaya Sutra.” *Rhetoric Review*, 26, 365–384. doi:10.1080/07350190701577892
- Lunsford, A. M. (1995). Philosophical bases of rhetoric and composition Ph.D. programs. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 25, 247–248. doi:10.1080/02773949509391048
- Marassi, M. (1986). The hermeneutics of rhetoric in Heidegger. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 19, 79–88.
- McGee, M. C. (1980). The “ideograph”: A link between rhetoric and ideology. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 66, 1–16. doi:10.1080/00335638009383499
- Merriam, A. H. (1974). Rhetoric and the Islamic tradition. *Today's Speech*, 22(1), 43–49. doi:10.1080/01463377409369129
- Metcalf, R. (2004). The philosophical rhetoric of Socrates' mission. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 37, 143–166.
- Miller, D. (2012). Rhetoric in light of Plato's epistemological criticisms. *Rhetorica*, 30, 109–133. doi:10.1525/RH.2012.30.5.109
- Muckelbauer, J. (Ed.). (2002). Intensifying philosophy and rhetoric [Special issue]. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 35(3).
- Perelman, C. (1968). Rhetoric and philosophy. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 1, 15–24.
- Rickman, H. P. (1981). Rhetoric and hermeneutics. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 14, 100–111.
- Schrag, C. O. (1985). Rhetoric situated at the end of philosophy. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 71, 164–172. doi:10.1080/00335638509383726
- Scott, R. L. (1964). Some implications of existentialism for rhetoric. *Central States Speech Journal*, 15, 267–278. doi:10.1080/10510976409362757
- Scott, R. L. (1967). On viewing rhetoric as epistemic. *Central States Speech Journal*, 18, 9–17. doi:10.1080/10510976709362856
- Scott, R. L. (1976). On viewing rhetoric as epistemic: Ten years later. *Central States Speech Journal*, 27, 258–266. doi:10.1080/10510977609367902
- Scult, A. (1999). Aristotle's *Rhetoric* as ontology: A Heideggerian reading. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 32, 146–159.
- Smith, A. L. (1971). Markings of an African concept of rhetoric. *Today's Speech*, 19(2), 13–18. doi:10.1080/01463377109368973
- Stroud, S. R. (2005). Ontological orientation and the practice of rhetoric: A perspective from the *Bhagavad Gita*. *Southern Communication Journal*, 70, 146–160. doi:10.1080/10417940509373320
- Tucker, R. E. (2001). Figure, ground, and presence: A phenomenology of meaning in rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 87, 396–414. doi:10.1080/00335630109384348
- Verene, D. P. (2007). Philosophical rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 40, 27–35. doi:10.1353/par.2007/0017

- Warnick, B. (1979). Structuralism vs. phenomenology: Implications for rhetorical criticism. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 65, 250–261.  
doi:10.1080/00335637909383477
- Wertheimer, M. M. (Ed.). (2000). On feminizing the philosophy of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 33(3).
- Zaner, R. M. (1968). Philosophy and rhetoric: A critical discussion. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 1, 61–77.
- C. (Social) Sciences (Communication) and/vs. Humanities (Rhetoric)
- Allen, M. (1999). The role of meta-analysis for connecting critical and scientific approaches: The need to develop a sense of collaboration. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 16, 373–379. doi:10.1080/15295039909367102
- Aly, B. (1936). The scientist's debt to rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 22, 584–590.  
doi: 10.1080/00335633609380238
- Anderson, R. L. (1970). Rhetoric and science journalism. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 56, 358–368. doi:10.1080/00335637009383023
- Arnold, C. C. (1972). Rhetorical and communication studies: Two worlds or one? *Western Speech*, 36, 75–81. doi:10.1080/10570317209373732
- Berger, C. R., & Chafee, S. H. (Eds.). (1987). *Handbook of communication science*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Berger, C. R., Chafee, S. H., & Roskos-Ewoldsen, D. R. (Eds.). (2010). *The handbook of communication science* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Berger, C. R., Roloff, M. E., & Roskos-Ewoldsen, D. R. (2010). What is communication science? In C. R. Berger, M. E. Roloff, & D. R. Roskos-Ewoldsen (Eds.), *The handbook of communication science* (2nd ed., pp. 3–20). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Becker, S. (1967). Symposium: Developing the empirical scholar. *Western Speech*, 31(2), 70–76.
- Clevenger, T., Jr. (1967). Developing the empirical scholar—A devil's advocate view. *Western Speech*, 31(2), 77–84.
- Black, E. (Ed.). (1975). Colloquy [Special section]. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 61, 195–219. Contains the following articles:
- Grossberg, L., & O'Keefe, D. J. Presuppositions, conceptual foundations, and communication theory: On Hawes' approach to communication. 195–208.  
doi:10.1080/00335637509383284
- Hawes, L. C. A response to Grossberg and O'Keefe: Building a human science of communication. 209–219. doi:10.1080/00335637509383285
- Bokeno, R. M. (1987). The rhetorical understanding of science: An explication and critical commentary. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 52, 285–311.  
doi:10.1080/10417948709372695
- Boster, F. J. (2002). On making progress in communication science. *Human Communication Research*, 28, 473–490. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2002.tb00818.x
- Bostrom, R. N. (2004). Empiricism, paradigms, and data. *Communication Monographs*, 71, 343–351. doi:10.1080/0363452042000288319
- Bostrom, R., & Donohew, L. (1992). The case for empiricism: Clarifying fundamental issues in communication theory. *Communication Monographs*, 59, 109–129.  
doi:10.1080/03637759209376256

- Bowers, J. W. (1968). The pre-scientific function of rhetorical criticism. In T. R. Nilsen (Ed.), *Essays on rhetorical criticism* (pp. 127–143). New York, NY: Random House.
- Bowers, J. W. (1999). Scientizing rhetoric. *Communication Studies*, 50, 45–53. doi:10.1080/10510979909388470
- Brockreide, W. (1971). Trends in the study of rhetoric: Toward a blending of criticism and science. In L. F. Bitzer & E. Black (Eds.), *The prospect of rhetoric* (pp. 123–129). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Brockreide, W. E., & Loreau, M. (1965). Rhetoric as the logic of the behavioral sciences. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 51, 455–463. doi:10.1080/00335636509382746
- Brown, W. R., & Schaefermeyer, M. J. (1980). Progress in communication as a social science. In D. Nimmo (Ed), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 4, pp. 37–47). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Book.
- Bryant, D. C. (1956). Whither the humanities? *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 42, 363–366. doi:10.1080/00335635609382188
- Bryant, J., & Pribanic-Smith, E. J. (2010) A historical overview of research in communication science. In C. R. Berger, M. E. Roloff, & D. R. Roskos-Ewoldsen (Eds.), *The handbook of communication science* (pp. 21–36). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Burgoon, J. B. (Ed.). (1990). Are rhetoric and science incompatible? [Special section] *Communication Monographs*, 57, 309–332. Contains the following articles:  
 Craig, R. T. The speech tradition. 310–314. doi:10.1080/03637759009376205  
 Prelli, L. J. Rhetorical logic and the integration of rhetoric and science. 315–322. doi:10.1080/03637759009376206  
 Condit, C. M. The birth of understanding: Chaste science and the harlot of the arts. 323–327. doi:10.1080/03637759009376207  
 Cushman, D. P. A window of opportunity argument. 328–332. doi:10.1080/03637759009376208
- Cahn, D., & Hanford, J. T. (1984). Perspectives on human communication research: Behaviorism, phenomenology, and an integrated view. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 48, 277–292. doi:10.1080/10570318409374162
- Calhoun, C. (2011). Communication as social science (and more). *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 1479–1496. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc>
- Campbell, P. N. (1973). Poetic-rhetorical, philosophical, and scientific discourse. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 6, 1–29.
- Carragee, K. M. (1990). Interpretive media study and interpretive social science. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 7, 81–95. doi:10.1080/15295039009360166
- Ceccarelli, L. (2001a). Rhetorical criticism and the rhetoric of science. *Western Journal of Communication*, 65, 314–329. doi:10.1080/10570310109374708
- Ceccarelli, L. (2001b). *Shaping science with rhetoric: The cases of Dobzhansky, Schrodinger, and Wilson*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Clevenger, T., Jr. (1965). The interaction of descriptive and experimental research in the development of rhetorical theory. *Central States Speech Journal*, 16, 7–12. doi:10.1080/10510976509362764
- Compere, M. (1945). Speech: Science and/or art. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 31, 465–470. doi:10.1080/00335634509381136

- C. T. 621. (1971). Criticism of empirical research in communication. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 57, 402–409. doi:10.1080/00335637109383085
- Daniels, T. D., & Frandsen, K. D. (1984). Conventional social science inquiry in human communication: Theory and practice. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 70, 223–240. doi:10.1080/00335638409383693
- Deetz, S. (1973). An understanding of science and a hermeneutic science of understanding. *Journal of Communication*, 23, 139–159. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1973.tb00939.x
- de Sola Pool, I. (1983). What ferment?: A challenge for empirical research. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 258–261. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02426.x
- Emanuel, R. (2007). Communication: Humanities' core discipline. *American Communication Journal*, 9(2), 1–13. Retrieved from <http://www.ac-journal.org>
- Eubanks, R. T. (1982). On living in the house of humanities. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 48, 1–10. doi:10.1080/10417948209372548
- Ewan, S. (1983). The implications of empiricism. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 219–225. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02422.x
- Fahnestock, J. (1986). Accommodating science: The rhetorical life of scientific facts. *Written Communication*, 3, 275–296. doi:10.1177/0741088386003003001
- Fahnestock, J. (2005). Rhetoric of science: Enriching the discipline. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 14, 277–286. doi:10.1207/s15427625tcq1403\_5
- Farrell, T. B. (1987). Beyond science: Humanities contribution to communication theory. In C. R. Berger & S. H. Chaffee (Eds.), *Handbook of communication science* (pp. 123–139). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Fink, E. J., & Gantz, W. (1996). A content analysis of three mass communication research traditions: Social science, interpretive studies, and critical analysis. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 73, 114–134. doi:10.1177/107769909607300111
- Geiger, D. (1958). Rhetoric and science: Notes for a distinction. *Speech Teacher*, 7, 54–60. doi:10.1080/03634525809376922
- German, K. (1982). Charles Henry Woolbert: The link between speech art and speech science. *Communication Education*, 31, 333–338. doi:10.1080/03634528209384701
- Goldberg, A. (1983). Approaching speech communication from a social scientific perspective. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 46, 18–20.
- Golden, J. L. (1970). The influence of rhetoric on the social science theories of Giambattista Vico and David Hume. *Western Speech*, 34, 170–180. doi:10.1080/10570317009373653
- Goodall, H. L., Jr., & Phillips, G. M. (1981). Assumption of the burden: Science or criticism? *Communication Quarterly*, 29, 283–296. doi:10.1080/01463378109369417
- Goodnight, G. T. (2015). Rhetoric and communication: Alternative worlds of inquiry. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 101, 145–150. doi:10.1080/00335630.2015.999982
- Graves, H. B. (1995). Rhetoric and reality in the process of scientific inquiry. *Rhetoric Review*, 14, 106–125. doi:10.1080/07350199509389055
- Graves, H. B. (2005). *Rhetoric in(to) science: Style as invention in inquiry*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Gross, A. G. (1990a). *The rhetoric of science*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gross, A. G. (1990b). Rhetoric of science “is” epistemic rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 76, 304–306. doi:10.1080/00335639009383922

- Gross, A. G. (2006). *Starring the text: The place of rhetoric in science studies*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Gross, A. G., & Keith, W. M. (Eds.). (1997). *Rhetorical hermeneutics: Invention and interpretation in the age of science*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Harris, R. A. (1990). Assent, dissent, and rhetoric in science. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 20, 13–37. doi:10.1080/02773949009390867
- Harris, R. A. (2013). The rhetoric of science meets the science of rhetoric. *Poroi*, 9, 2–12. doi:10.13008/2151-2957.1158
- Hearn, G. (1999). Deconstructing modes of communication enquiry: Towards a discourse of doing. *Australian Journal of Communication*, 26(2), 47–57.
- Hefferline, R. F. (1955). Communication theory: I. Integrator of the arts and sciences. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 41, 223–233. doi:10.1080/00335635509382071
- Hillbruner, A. (1970). Rhetoric, region and social science. *Central States Speech Journal*, 21, 167–174. doi:10.1080/10510977009363018
- Hirsch, P. (1978). The relevance of humanistic models to communication research. *Communication Research*, 5, 235–239. doi:10.1177/009365027800500301
- Hunt, E. (1955). Rhetoric as a humane study. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 41, 114–117. doi:10.1080/00335635509382047
- Hunt, E. L. (1958). Herbert A. Wichelns and the Cornell tradition of rhetoric as a humane study. In D. C. Bryant (Ed.), *The rhetorical idiom: Essays in rhetoric, oratory, language and drama* (pp. 1–4). New York, NY: Russell and Russell.
- Jeffrey, R. C. (1976). Speech and the humanities: Departmental philosophy. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 41, 158–164. doi:10.1080/10417947609372304
- Jensen, K. B. (2002). The humanities in media and communication research. In K. B. Jensen (Ed.), *A handbook of media and communication research: Qualitative and quantitative methodologies* (pp. 254–272). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Johnstone, H. (1970). Rhetoric and communication in philosophy. In H. E. Kiefer & M. K. Munitz (Eds.), *Perspectives in education, religion, and the arts* (pp. 351–364). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Jowett, G. S. (1992). Social science as a weapon: The origin of the Payne Fund studies, 1926–1929. *Communication*, 13, 211–225.
- Kelso, J. A. (1980). Science and the rhetoric of reality. *Central States Speech Journal*, 31, 17–29. doi:10.1080/10510978009368036
- Kerszberg, P. (Ed). (1999). The rhetoric of science and the history of science [Special issue]. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 32(3).
- Kreps, G. L. (1982). Analysis of the interdisciplinary credibility of communication as a social science. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 42, 40–43.
- Krips, H., McGuire, J. E., & Melia, T. (1996). *Science, reason, and rhetoric*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Lang, K. (1979). The critical functions of empirical communication research: Observations on German–American influences. *Media, Culture and Society*, 1, 83–96. doi:10.1177/016344377900100107
- Law, J. D. (1993). *The rhetoric of empiricism: Language and perception: From Locke to I. A. Richards*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

- Lessl, T. M. (2007). The culture of science and the rhetoric of scientism: From Francis Bacon to the Darwin fish. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 93, 123–149. doi:10.1080/00335630701426785
- Lowrey, S. (1953). Speech science or art? *Speech Teacher*, 2, 12–16. doi:10.1080/03634525309376585
- Lunceford, B. (2007). The science of orality: Implications for rhetorical theory. *Review of Communication*, 7, 83–102. doi:10.1080/15358590701211142
- Lupton, D. (1993). The humanities and health communication: Never the twain shall meet? *Australian Journal of Communication*, 20, 71–83.
- Macoby, N. (1973). The new “scientific” rhetoric. In W. Schramm (Ed.), *The science of human communication* (pp. 41–53). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- McClish, G. (1993). Humanist and empiricist rhetorics: Some reflections on rhetorical sensitivity, message design logics, and multiple goal structures. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 23(3–4), 27–45. doi:10.1080/02773949409390995
- McLuskie, E. (2001). Ambivalence in the “new positivism” for the philosophy of communication: The problem of communication and communicating subjects. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 24, pp. 255–269). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Merriam, A. H. (1990). Words and numbers: Mathematical dimensions of rhetoric. *Southern Communication Journal*, 55, 337–354. doi:10.1080/10417949009372802
- Millar, F. E. (1983). Science as criticism: The burden of assumptions. *Communication Quarterly*, 31, 224–232. doi:10.1080/01463378309369508
- Miller, G. R. (1975). Humanistic and scientific approaches to speech communication inquiry: Rivalry, redundancy, or rapprochement. *Western Speech Communication*, 39, 230–239. doi:10.1080/10570317509373872
- Shields, D. C., & Cragan, J. F. (1976). Miller’s humanistic/scientific dichotomy of speech communication inquiry: A help or hindrance? *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 40, 278–283. doi:10.1080/10570317609373912
- Miller, G. R., & Berger, C. R. (1978). On keeping the faith in matters scientific. *Western Journal of Communication*, 42, 44–57. doi:10.1080/10570317809373921
- Pearce, W. B. (1978). The “ecumenical spirit”: A reply to Miller and Berger. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 42, 276–281. doi:10.1080/10570317809373947
- Moore, P. (1996). Instrumental discourse is as humanistic as rhetoric. *Journal of Business & Technical Communication*, 10, 100–118. doi:10.1177/1050651996010001005
- O’Keefe, D. J. (1975). Logical empiricism and the study of human communication. *Speech Monographs*, 42, 169–183. doi:10.1080/03637757509375892
- Overington, M. A. (1977). The scientific community as audience: Toward a rhetorical analysis of science. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 10, 143–164.
- Paisley, W. (1984). Communication in the communication sciences. In B. Dervin & M. J. Voigt (Eds.), *Progress in communication sciences* (Vol. 5, pp. 1–43). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Pavitt, C. (1999). The third way: Scientific realism and communication theory. *Communication Theory*, 9, 162–188. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1999.tb00167.x

- Pavitt, C. (2000a). Answering questions requesting scientific explanation for communication. *Communication Theory*, 10, 379–404.  
doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2000.tb00199.x
- Pavitt, C. (2000b). *Philosophy of science and communication theory*. Huntington, NY: Nova Science.
- Pearce, W. B. (1985). Scientific research methods in communication studies and their implications for theory and research. In T. W. Benson (Ed.), *Speech communication in the 20th century* (pp. 255–281). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Perra, M. (1994). *The discourses of science*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Phillips, G. M. (1981). Science and the study of human communication: An inquiry from the other side of the two cultures. *Human Communication Research*, 7, 361–370.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1981.tb00582.x
- Pilotta, J. J. & Mickunas, A. (1990). *Science of communication: Its phenomenological foundation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Poulakos, J., & Crick, N. (2012). There is beauty here, too: Aristotle's rhetoric for science. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 45, 295–311. doi:10.5325/philtrhet.45.3.0295
- Prelli, L. J. (1989). *A rhetoric of science: Inventing scientific discourse*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press.
- Prelli, L. J. (1990). Rhetorical logic and the integration of rhetoric and science. *Communication Monographs*, 57, 315–322. doi:10.1080/03637759009376206
- Real, M. (1984). The debate on critical theory and the study of communications. *Journal of Communication*, 34(4), 72–80. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1984.tb02189.x
- Schollmeier, P. (1984). A classical rhetoric of modern science. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 17, 209–220.
- Schramm, W. (1964). *The science of human communication*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Sherry, J. L. (2010). The value of communication science. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 38, 302–306. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490847
- Sillars, M. O. (1989). When science comes to rhetoric's house. *Text & Performance Quarterly*, 9, 229–336. doi:10.1080/10462938909365935
- Simon, C. T. (1951). Speech as a science. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 37, 281–298.  
doi:10.1080/00335635109381669
- Simons, H. W. (1978). The rhetoric of science and the science of rhetoric. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 42, 37–43. doi:10.1080/10570317809373920
- Simons, H. W. (1980). Are scientists rhetors in disguise? An analysis of discursive processes within scientific communities. In E. E. White (Ed.), *Rhetorics in transition: Studies in the nature and uses of rhetoric* (pp. 115–130). University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Skouen, T. (2001). Science versus rhetoric? Sprat's history of the Royal Society reconsidered. *Rhetorica*, 29, 23–52. doi:10.1525/RH.2011.29.1.23
- Sless, D. (1983). Communication studies as science and semiosis. *Australian Journal of Communication*, 3, 13–16.
- Smith, D. R., & Kearney, L. (1973). Organismic concepts in the unification of rhetoric and communication. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 59, 30–39.  
doi:10.1080/00335637309383151
- Smith, R. G. (1964). Rhetoric, experimental research, and men of good will. *Southern Speech Journal*, 30, 8–14. doi:10.1080/10417946409371755



- Strine, M. S. (1983). The cultural relevance of speech communication: Approaching the field from a humanistic perspective. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 46, 15–17.
- Supa, D. W. (2009). The origins of empirical versus critical epistemology in American communication. *American Communication Journal*, 11(3), 1–11. Retrieved from <http://www.ac-journal.org>
- Taylor, C. A. (1996). *Defining science: A rhetoric of demarcation*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Taylor, C. A. (1996). Theorizing practice and practicing theory: Toward a constructive analysis of scientific rhetorics. *Communication Theory*, 6, 374–387. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1996.tb00137.x
- Thompson, E. C., Jr. (1972). Speech and science. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 58, 460–469. doi:10.1080/00335637209383143
- Upton, A. (1975). Communication “science” and the holy holistic principle. *Communication*, 2, 107–114.
- Verdicchio, M. (1986). The rhetoric of epistemology in Vico’s *New Science*. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 19, 178–193.
- Vernon, J. (2014). Leveraging rhetoric for improved communication of science: A scientist’s perspective. *Poroi*, 10, 1–6. doi:10.13008/2151-2957.1181
- Walsh, L. (2013). *Scientists as prophets: A rhetorical genealogy*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Walter, O. M. (1955). Rhetoric as a liberal art. *Southern Speech Journal*, 20, 309–315. doi:10.1080/10417945509371374
- Wander, P. C. (1976). The rhetoric of science. *Western Speech Communication*, 40, 226–235. doi:10.1080/10570317609373907
- Weimer, W. B. (1977). Science as a rhetorical transaction: Toward a nonjustificational conception of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 10, 1–29.
- Wickman, C. (2012). Rhetoric, technê, and the art of scientific inquiry. *Rhetoric Review*, 31, 21–40. doi:10.1080/07350198.2012.630953
- Wigston, D. (1988). Empirical or critical communication research? *Communicatio*, 14(1), 32–47. doi:10.1080/02500168808537680
- Willard, C. A. (1999). Notes on Simons, Gaonkar, and the rhetoric of science. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 85, 104–106. doi:10.1080/00335639909384245
- Williams, K. R. (1970). Speech communication research: One world or two? *Central States Speech Journal*, 21, 175–180. doi:10.1080/10510977009363019
- Williams, K. R. (1973). Reflections on a human science of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 23, 239–250. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1973.tb00946.x
- Williams, R. (1974). Communications as cultural science. *Journal of Communication*, 24(3), 17–25. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1974.tb00385.x
- Zagacki, K. S., & Keith, W. (1992). Rhetoric, topoi, and scientific revolutions. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 25, 59–77.

#### D. Advisors, Committee Members, and Mentoring

- Carpenter, S., Makhadmeh, N., & Thornton, L.-J. (2015). Mentorship on the doctoral level: An examination of communication faculty mentors’ traits and functions. *Communication Education*, 64, 366–384. doi:10.1080/03634523.2015.1041997

- Dysthe, O. (2002). Professors as mediators of academic text cultures: An interview study with advisors and master's degree students in three disciplines in a Norwegian university. *Written Communication, 19*, 493–544. doi:10.1177/074108802238010
- Goulden, N. R. (1991). Report of the perceptions of communication and relationships during the dissertation process by speech communication doctoral advisors and advisees. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 76*, 39–48.
- Kogler Hill, S. E., Bahniuk, M. H., & Dobos, J. (1989). The impact of mentoring and collegial support on faculty success: An analysis of support behavior, information adequacy, and communication apprehension. *Communication Education, 38*, 15–33. doi:10.1080/03634528909378737
- Krase, E. (2007). "Maybe the communication between us was not enough": Inside a dysfunctional advisor/L2 advisee relationship. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 6*, 55–70. doi:10.1016/j.jeap.2006.12.001
- Mansson, D. H., & Myers, S. A. (2012). Using mentoring enactment theory to explore the doctoral student–advisor mentoring relationship. *Communication Education, 61*, 309–334. doi:10.1080/03634523.2012.708424
- McCuen, R. H., Akar, G., Gifford, I. A., & Srikantaiah, D. (2009). Recommendations for improving graduate adviser–advisee communication. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education & Practice, 135*, 153–160. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)10523928(2009)135:4(153)
- Stanulis, R. N., & Russell, D. (2000). "Jumping in": Trust and communication in mentoring student teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 16*, 65–80. doi:10.1016/S0742-051X(00)00041-4
- Svinhufvud, K., & Vehviläinen, S. (2013). Papers, documents, and the opening of an academic supervision encounter. *Text & Talk, 33*, 139–166. doi:10.1515/text-20130007
- Wrench, J. S., & Punyanunt, N. M. (2004). Advisor–advisee communication: An exploratory study examining interpersonal communication variables in the graduate advisee–advisor relationship. *Communication Quarterly, 52*, 224–236. doi:10.1080/01463370409370194
- Wrench, J. S., & Punyanunt-Carter, N. M. (2005). Advisor–advisee communication two: The influence of verbal aggression and humor assessment on advisee perceptions of advisor credibility and affective learning. *Communication Research Reports, 22*, 303–313. doi:10.1080/000368105000317599

## September 23

### A. Communication Theory

- Adhikary, N. M. (2014). Re-orientation, ferment and prospects of communication theory in South Asia. *China Media Research, 10*(2), 24–28.
- Adler, K. (1978). On the falsification of rules theories. *Quarterly Journal of Speech, 64*, 427–438. doi:10.1080/00335637809383448
- Alexander, A., & Reynard, L. (2008). Are you the next communication idol? Performing communication theories. *Communication Teacher, 22*, 14–17. doi:10.1080/17404620801914483

- Ascencio, C. L., & Mariño, M. V. (2010). University teaching of communication theory in Europe and Latin America. *Revista Latina de Comunicación Social*, 13, 1–11. doi:10.4185/RLCS-65-2010-898-255-265-EN
- Ayish, M. (2003). Beyond Western-oriented communication theories: A normative Arab–Islamic perspective. *Javnost*, 10(2), 79–92. Retrieved from <http://javnost-thepublic.org>
- Aylor, B. A. (2000). “Three theorists who walked into a bar . . .”: Humanizing communication theory. *Communication Teacher*, 14, 13–14.
- Babrow, A. S. (1993). The advent of multiple-process theories of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 43(3), 110–118. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01282.x
- Babrow, A. S. (1998). Developing multiple-process theories of communication. *Human Communication Research*, 25, 152–155. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1998.tb00440.x
- Babrow, A. S. (2005). Point, counterpoint, to the side of the point, and other points of interest in the latest debate about communibiological theory: A response to Nelson, McCroskey, and Beatty. *Communication Theory*, 15, 475–484. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2005.tb00346.x
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory of mass communication. *Media Psychology*, 3, 265–299. doi:10.1207/S1532785XMEP0303\_03
- Baym, N., Campbell, S. W., Horst, H., Kalyanaraman, S., Oliver, M. B., Rothenbuhler, E., . . . Miller, K. (2012). Communication theory and research in the age of new media: A conversation from the CM Café. *Communication Monographs*, 79, 256–267. doi:10.1080/03637751.2012.673753
- Beniger, J. R. (1990). Who are the most important theorists of communication? *Communication Research*, 17, 698–715. doi:10.1177/009365090017005006
- Benson, T. W., & Pearce, W. B. (Eds.). (1977). Alternative theoretical bases for the study of human communication: A symposium [Special issue]. *Communication Quarterly*, 25(1). Contains the following articles:
- Pearce, W. B. Preface. 1–2. doi:10.1080/01463377709369241
- Pearce, W. B. Metatheoretical concerns in communication. 3–6. doi:10.1080/01463377709369242
- Berger, C. R. The covering law perspective as a theoretical basis for the study of human communication. 7–18. doi:10.1080/01463377709369243
- Monge, P. R. The systems perspective as a theoretical basis for the study of human communication. 19–29. doi:10.1080/01463377709369244
- Cushman, D. P. The rules perspective as a theoretical basis for the study of human communication. 30–45. doi:10.1080/01463377709369245
- Delia, J. G. Alternative perspectives for the study of human communication: Critique and response. 46–62. doi:10.1080/01463377709369246
- Hawes, L. C. Alternative theoretical bases: Toward a presuppositional critique. 63–68. doi:10.1080/01463377709369247
- Rositer, C. M. Models of paradigmatic change. 69–73. doi:10.1080/01463377709369248
- Berger, C. R. (1991). Communication theories and other curios. *Communication Monographs*, 58, 101–113. doi:10.1080/03637759109376216
- Burgoon, J. (Ed.). (1992). A reprise of “Why are there so few communication theories?” [Special section]. *Communication Monographs*, 59, 79–107. Contains the following articles:

- Burleson, B. Taking communication seriously. 79–86.  
doi:10.1080/03637759209376250
- Redding, C. Response to Professor Berger's essay: Its meaning for organizational communication. 87–93. doi:10.1080/03637759209376251 Purcell, B. Are there so few communication theories? 94–97. doi:10.1080/03637759209376252
- Proctor, R., II. Preserving the tie that binds: A response to Berger's essay. 98–100.  
doi:10.1080/03637759209376253
- Berger, C. R. Curiouser and curiouser curios. 101–107.  
doi:10.1080/03637759209376254
- Berger, C. R. (1998). Big questions and communication theory: Finding the cure for communication. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 3–7). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Borden, G. A. (1977). Constructs for a theory of human communication. In B. D. Ruben (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 89–97). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Bostrom, R. N. (2003). Theories, data, and communication research. *Communication Monographs*, 70, 275–294. doi:10.1080/0363775032000179106
- Bowers, J. W. (1979). An essay on communication theory. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 28, 44–45.
- Bowers, J. W., & Bradac, J. J. (1982). Issues in communication theory: A metatheoretical analysis. In M. Burgoon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 5, pp. 1–27). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Brown, S. R. (1979). Perspective, transfiguration, and equivalence in communication theory: Review and commentary. In D. Nimmo (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 3, pp. 51–65). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Bruck, P. (1985). Theoretical practice and intellectual work: Teaching critical communication theory. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 11, 75–86. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/index>
- Carleton, W. M. (1975). Theory transformation in communication: The case of Henry Johnstone. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 61, 76–88. doi:10.1080/00335637509383271
- Casimir, F. L. (Ed.). *Building communication theories: A socio/cultural approach*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Chaffee, S. H. (1996). Thinking about theory. In M. B. Salwen & D. W. Stacks (Eds.), *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (pp. 15–32). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Christians, C., & Nordenstreng, K. (Eds.). (2014). *Communication theories in a multicultural world*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Chung, C. J., Barnett, G. A., Kim, K., & Lackaff, D. (2013). An analysis of communication theory and discipline. *Scientometrics*, 95, 985–1002.  
doi:10.1007/s11192-012-0869-4
- Cooren, F. (2012). Communication theory at the center: Ventriloquism and the communicative construction of reality. *Journal of Communication*, 62, 1–20.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01622.x
- Craig, R. T. (1993). Why are there so many communication theories? *Journal of Communication*, 43, 26–33. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01273.x

- Craig, R. T. (2007a). Pragmatism in the field of communication theory. *Communication Theory*, 17, 125–145. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2007.00292.x
- Craig, R. T. (Ed.). (2007b). Theorizing communication problems [Special section]. *Communication Monographs*, 74, 256–285. Contains the following articles:  
 Craig, R. T. Issue forum introduction, 103–105. doi:10.1080/03637750701196839  
 Tracy, S. J. Taking the plunge: A contextual approach to problem-based research. 106–111. doi:10.1080/03637750701196862  
 Aakhus, M. Communication as design. 112–117. doi:10.1080/03637750701196383  
 Baxter, L. Problematizing the problem in communication: A dialogic approach. 118–124. doi:10.1080/03637750701196847  
 Russell, C. Communication problems in a pragmatist perspective. 125–130. doi:10.1080/03637750701196854
- Craig, R. T. (2005). How we talk about how we talk: Communication theory in the public interest. *Journal of Communication*, 55, 659–667. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2005.tb03015.x
- Craig, R. T., & Muller, H. (Eds.). (2007). *Theorizing communication: Readings across traditions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Cronen, V. E. (1998). Communication theory for the twenty-first century: Cleaning up the wreckage of the psychology project. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 8–38). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Crowley, D., & Mitchell, D. (Eds.). (1994). *Communication theory today*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Cushman, D. P. (1998). Visions of order in human communication theory. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 8–12). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Cushman, D. P., & Kovačić, B. (Eds.). *Watershed research traditions in human communication theory*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Cushman, D. P., & Pearce, W. B. (1977). Generality and necessity in three types of theory about human communication, with special attention to rules theory. *Human Communication Research*, 3, 344–353. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1977.tb00537.x
- Cushman, D. P., & Sanders, R. E. (1982). Rules theories of human communication processes: The structural and functional perspectives. In B. J. Dervin & M. J. Voight (Ed.), *Progress in communication sciences* (Vol. 3, pp. 49–83). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Cushman, D., & Whiting, G. C. (1972). An approach to communication theory: Toward consensus on rules. *Journal of Communication*, 22, 217–238. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1972.tb00149.x
- Dance, F. E. X. (1978). Human communication theory: A highly selective review and two commentaries. In B. D. Ruben (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 2, pp. 7–22). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Dance, F. E. X. (Ed.). (1982a). *Human communication theory: Comparative essays*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Dance, F. E. X. (1982b). A speech theory of human communication: Implications and applications. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 10, 1–8. doi:10.1080/00909888209365207

- Day, D. G. (1964). Learning and communication theory. *Central States Speech Journal*, 15, 84–89. doi:10.1080/10510976409362728
- Dissanayake, W. (1988). *Communication theory: The Asian perspective*. Singapore: Asian Mass Communication Research and Information Center.
- Dissanayake, W. (2009). The production of Asian theories of communication: Contexts and challenges. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 19, 453–468. doi:10.1080/01292980903293411
- Docan-Morgan, T. (2010). “You’re a grounded theorist for the day”: Teaching students the grounded theory approach. *Communication Teacher*, 24, 203–207. doi:10.1080/1740622.2010.514276
- Dutta, M., & Pal, M. (2010). Dialog theory in marginalized settings: A subaltern studies approach. *Communication Theory*, 20, 363–386. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2010.01367.x
- Eastman, H. A. (1972). A communication theory bibliography speaks out-softly. *Journal of Communication*, 22, 306–310. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1972.tb00156.x
- Edwards, A., & Shepherd, G. J. (2004). Theories of communication, human nature, and the world: Associations and implications. *Communication Studies*, 55, 197–208. doi:10.1080/10510970409388614
- Ellis, D. G. (1995). Fixing communicative meaning: A coherentist theory. *Communication Research*, 22, 515–544. doi:10.1177/009365095022005001
- Foss, S. K., & Ray, E. B. (1996). Theorizing communication from marginalized perspectives [Special issue]. *Communication Studies*, 47(4). Contains the following articles:
- Foss, S. K., & Ray, E. B. (Eds.). Introduction: Theorizing communication from marginalized perspectives. 253–256. doi:10.1080/10510979609368481
- Allen, B. J. Feminist standpoint theory: A Black woman’s (re)view of organizational socialization. 257–271. doi:10.1080/10510979609368482
- Griffin, C. L. A web of reasons: Mary Wollstonecraft’s *A Vindication of the Rights of Women* and the re-weaving of form. 272–288. doi:10.1080/10510979609368483
- Brooks, D. E., & Jacobs, W. R. Black men in the margins: *Space Traders* and the interpositional strategy against b(l)acklash. 289–302. doi:10.1080/10510979609368484
- Hedge, R. S. Narratives of silence: Rethinking gender, agency, and power from the communication experiences of battered women in South India. 303–317. doi:10.1080/10510979609368485
- Reeder, H. M. A critical look at gender difference in communication research. 318–330. doi:10.1080/10510979609368486
- Fuchs, C. (2009). A contribution to the theoretical foundations of critical media and communication studies. *Javnost*, 16(2), 5–24. Retrieved from <http://javnostthepublic.org>
- Goldie, C. M., & Pinch, R. G. E. (1992). *Communication theory*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Golding, P., & Murdock, G. (1978). Theories of communication and theories of society. *Communication Research*, 5, 339–357. doi:10.1177/009365027800500308

- Gordon, R. D. (2007). Beyond the failures of Western communication theory. *Multicultural Discourses*, 2, 89–107. doi:10.2167/md090.0
- Grossberg, L. (1979). Interpreting the “crisis” of culture in communication theory. *Journal of Communication*, 29, 56–68. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1979.tb01682.x
- Hagen, S., Frey, F., & Koch, S. (2015). Theoriebildung in der kommunikationswissenschaft [Theory building in communication science]. *Publizistik*, 60, 123–146. doi:10.1007/s11616-015-0229-5
- Hannan, J. (2013). Alasdair MacIntyre’s contributions to communication theory. *Empedocles*, 4, 183–198. doi:10.1386/ejpc.4.2.183\_1
- Hawes, L. C. (1975). *Pragmatics of analoguing: Theory and model construction in communication*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Heisler, J. M., & Discenna, T. (2005). Teaching metatheoretical beliefs in communication theory. *Communication Teacher*, 19, 44–47. doi:10.1080/14704620500123083
- Hickson, M., III, & Stacks, D. W. (1993). Teaching the introductory communication theory course to undergraduates. *Communication Quarterly*, 41, 261–268. doi:10.1080/01463379309369887
- Huang, Y.-H. (2010). Theorizing Chinese communication research: A holistic framework for comparative studies. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, 3, 95–113. doi:10.1080/17544750903528880
- Irwin, H. (1985). Territoriality, boundary spanning, and the development of communication theory. *Australian Journal of Communication*, 7, 1–12.
- Ito, Y. (1990). Mass communication theories from a Japanese perspective. *Media, Culture and Society*, 12, 423–464. doi:10.1177/016344390012004002
- Jones, E., & Viechnicki, G. B. (Ed.). (1997). The importance of theory in discourse analysis [Special issue]. *Language and Communication*, 17(2). Contains the following articles:
- Jones, E., & Viechnicki, G. B. Special issue on the importance of theory in discourse analysis. 71–74.
- Schiffrin, D. Theory and method in discourse analysis: What context for what unit? 75–92.
- Hopper, P. J. Discourse and the category “verb” in English. 93–102.
- Viechnicki, G. B. T An empirical analysis of participant intentions: Discourse in a graduate seminar. 103–131.
- Bimer, B. J. The linguistic realization of inferable information. 133–147.
- Duncan, S., Jr. Early parent–child interaction grammar prior to language acquisition. 149–164.
- Yerian, K. From stereotypes of gender differences to stereotypes of theory: A response to Hayley Davis’ review of Deborah Tannen’s *Gender and Discourse*. 166–176.
- Kalbfleisch, P. J. (Ed.). (2002). Communication-based theory development [Special issue]. *Communication Theory*, 12(1). Contains the following articles:
- Kalbfleisch, P. J. An introduction—Communication-based theory development: Building theories for communication research. 5–7. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2002.tb00256.x

- Albada, K. F., Knapp, M. L., & Theune, K. E. Interaction appearance theory: Changing perceptions of physical attractiveness through social interaction. 8–40. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2002.tb00257.x
- Duck, S. Hypertext in the key of g: Three types of “history” as influences on conversational structure and flow. 41–62. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2002.tb00258.x
- Kalbfleisch, P. J. Communicating in mentoring relationships: A theory for enactment. 63–69. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2002.tb00259.x
- Koerner, A. F., & Fitzpatrick, M. A. Toward a theory of family communication. 70–91. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2002.tb00260.x
- Acitelli, L. K. Relationship awareness: Crossing the bridge between cognition and communication. 92–112. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2002.tb00261.x
- Kincaid, L. (Ed.). (1987). *Communication theory: Eastern and Western perspectives*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Kovačić, B. (Ed.). (1997). *Emerging theories of human communication*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Kuo, E. C. Y., & Chew, H. E. (2009). Beyond ethnocentrism in communication theory: Toward a culture-centric approach. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 19, 422–437. doi:10.1080/01292980903293361
- Lang, K., & Lang, G. E. (2011). On the development of communication theory: Some reflections. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 35, pp. 13–28). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Littlejohn, S. W. (1982). An overview of contributions to human communication theory from other disciplines. In F. E. X. Dance (Ed.), *Human communication theory: Comparative essays* (pp. 243–285). New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Littlejohn, S. W., & Foss, K. A. (Eds.). (2009). *Encyclopedia of communication theory*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Littlejohn, S. W., & Foss, K. A. (2011). *Theories of human communication* (10th ed.). Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press.
- Lustig, M. W. (1986). Theorizing about human communication. *Communication Quarterly*, 34, 451–459. doi:10.1080/1463378609369662
- McDermott, V. (1975). The literature on classical theory construction. *Human Communication Research*, 2, 83–103. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1975.tb00472.x
- McQuail, D. (2000). Some reflections on the Western bias of media theory. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 10(2), 1–13. doi:10.1080/01292980009364781
- Meyers, E. A. (2014). Theory, technology, and creative practice: Using Pixton comics to teach communication theory. *Communication Teacher*, 28, 32–38. doi:10.1080/17404622.2013.839051
- Miike, Y. (2007a). An Asiacentric reflection on Eurocentric bias in communication theory. *Communication Monographs*, 74, 272–278. doi:10.1080/03637750701390093
- Miike, Y. (Ed.). (2007b). Asian contributions to communication theory [Special issue]. *China Media Research*, 3(4). Contains the following articles:  
Miike, Y. Asian contributions to communication theory: An introduction. 1–6.



- Starosta, W. J., & Shi, L. Alternative perspectives on Gandhian communication ethics. 7–14.
- Yum, J. O. Confucianism and communication: Jen, Li, and Ubuntu. 15–22.
- Mowlana, H. Theoretical perspectives on Islam and communication. 23–33.
- Dissanayake, W. Nagarjuna and modern communication theory. 34–41.
- Gordon, R. D. The Asian communication scholar for the 21st century. 42–49.
- Gunaratne, S. A. Let many journalisms bloom: Cosmology, Orientalism, and freedom. 50–59.
- Okabe, R. The concept of rhetorical competence and sensitivity revisited: From Western and Eastern perspectives. 60–73.
- Pfahl, M., Chomngam, P., & Hale, C. L. Understanding friendship from a Thai point of view: Negotiating the expectations involved in work and non-work relationships. 74–90.
- Lee, E. L. The Chinese Malaysian's selfish mentality and behaviors: Rationalizing from the native perspectives. 91–100.
- Chen, G.-M. The impact of Feng Shui on Chinese communication. 101–109.
- Miike, Y. (2008). Toward an alternative metatheory of human communication: An Asiatic vision. In M. K. Asante, Y. Miike, & J. Yin (Eds.), *The global intercultural communication reader* (pp. 57–72). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Miike, Y. (Ed.). (2009). New frontiers in Asian communication theory [Special issue]. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 4(1). Contains the following articles:
- Miike, Y. New frontiers in Asian communication theory: An introduction. 1–5.  
doi:10.1080/17447140802663145
- Dissanayake, W. The desire to excavate Asian theories of communication: One strand of the history. 7–27. doi:10.1080/17447140802651629
- Shi-xu. Reconstructing Eastern paradigms of discourse studies. 29–48.  
doi:10.1080/17447140802651637
- Ishii, S. Conceptualising Asian communication ethics: A Buddhist perspective. 49–60.  
doi:10.1080/17447140802651645
- Xiao, X., & Chen, G.-M. Communication competence and moral competence: A Confucian perspective. 61–74. doi:10.1080/17447140802651652
- Yin, J. Negotiating the centre: Towards an Asiatic feminist communication theory. 75–88. doi:10.1080/17447140802651660
- Miike, Y. (2011). De-Westernizing communication theory and research: An Asiatic bibliography. *China Media Research*, 7, 111–121.
- Miller, G. R. (1964). Theory in quantitative speech research. *Western Speech*, 28, 15–22.
- Miller, G. R. (1971). Readings in communication theory: Suggestions and an occasional caveat. *Today's Speech*, 19, 5–10. doi:10.1080/01463377109368958
- Monge, P. (1973). Theory construction in the study of communication: The system paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 23, 5–16.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1973.tb00928.x
- Murphy, G. (1961). Toward a field theory of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 11, 196–201. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1961.tb00004

- Myers, D. (2001). A pox on all compromises: Reply to Craig (1999). *Communication Theory*, 11, 218–230. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2001.tb00240.x
- Craig, R. (2001). Minding my metamodel, mending Myers. *Communication Theory*, 11, 231–240. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2001.tb00241.x
- Nöth, W. (2014). Human communication from the semiotic perspective. In F. Ibekwe-SanJuan & T. M. Dousa (Eds.), *Theories of information, communication and knowledge: A multidisciplinary approach* (pp. 97–119). New York, NY: Springer.
- Obonyo, L. (2011). Towards a theory of communication for Africa: The challenges for emerging democracies. *Communicatio*, 37, 1–20. doi:10.1080/02500167.2011.563822
- Ochs, E., Taylor, C., Rudolph, D., & Smith, R. (1992). Storytelling as a theory-building activity. *Discourse Processes*, 15, 37–72. doi:10.1080/01638539209544801
- O’Keefe, D. J. (1989). Communication theory and practical knowledge: What communicators don’t know that can help them. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds.), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 197–199). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- O’Keefe, B. (1993). Against theory. *Journal of Communication*, 43(3), 75–82. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01278.x
- Orbe, M. P. (1996). Laying the foundation for co-cultural theory: An inductive approach to studying “non-dominant” communication strategies and the factors that influence them. *Communication Studies*, 47, 157–176. doi:10.1080/10510979609368473
- Park, D. W. (2014). *Pierre Bourdieu: A critical introduction to media and communication theory*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Pavitt, C. (1982). Preliminaries to a theory of communication: A system for the cognitive representation of person and object based information. In M. Burgoon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 5, pp. 211–232). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Pavitt, C. (2010). Alternative approaches to theorizing in communication science. In C. R. Berger, M. E. Roloff, & D. R. Roskos-Ewoldsen (Eds.), *The handbook of communication science* (2nd ed., pp. 37–54). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pearce, W. B. (1989). On the potential for eloquence in communication among theorists. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds.), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 200–203). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Peters, J. D. (2003). Space, time, and communication theory. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 28, 397–411. Retrieved from <http://www.cjconline.ca/index.php/journal>
- Philipsen, G., & Albrecht, T. (Eds.). (1997). *Developing communication theories*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Planalp, S., & Hewes, D. (1982). A cognitive approach to communication theory: Cogito ergo dico? In M. Burgoon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 5, pp. 497–517). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Plessis, D. du (Ed.). (2012). African communication/media theory [Special issue]. *Communicatio*, 38(2). Contains the following articles:  
 Plessis, D. du. Introduction: African communication/media theory. 123–126. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717342

- Asante, M. K. *Maat* and human communication: Supporting identity, culture and history without global domination. 127–134. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717343
- Berger, G. Theorising African communications: The bad news signalled by broadcast digital migration policy. 135–146. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717344
- Mutere, M. Towards an Africa-centered and pan-African theory of communication: Ubuntu and the oral-aesthetic perspective. 147–163. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717345
- Ngomba, T. Circumnavigating de-Westernisation: Theoretical reflexivities in researching political communication in Africa. 164–180. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717346
- Uwah, I. Identity and culture in theorising African perspectives of communication: The case of an African cinematic model. 181–194. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717347
- Wachanga, D. N. Participatory culture in an emerging information ecosystem: Lessons from Ushahidi. 195–212. doi:10.1080/02500167.2012.717348
- Rakow, L. F., & Wackwitz, L. A. (Eds.). (2004). *Feminist communication theory: Selections in context*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Rogers, R. A. (1998). Overcoming the objectification of nature in constitutive theories: Toward a transhuman, materialist theory of communication. *Western Journal of Communication*, 62, 244–272. doi:10.1080/10570319809374610
- Schrader, S. (2005). Performing theory: Singing theory in a communication course. *Communication Teacher*, 19, 57–61. doi:10.1080/14704620500123
- Sereno, K., & Mortensen, C. D. (1970). *Foundations of communication theory*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27, 379–423, 623–656.
- Shepherd, G. J., St. John, J., & Striplhas, T. (Eds.). (2006). *Communication as . . . : Perspectives on theory*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Smeltzer, L. R., & Suchan, J. E. (1991). Theory building and relevance. *Journal of Business Communication*, 28, 181–186. doi:10.1177/002194369102800301
- Smith, R. R. (1980). Semiotics and communication theory. *Journal of Communication*, 30, 205–210. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1980.tb01788.x
- Smith, T. J., III. (1988). Diversity and order in communication theory: The uses of philosophical analysis. *Communication Quarterly*, 36, 28–40. doi:10.1080/01463378809369705
- Sondel, B. (1956). Toward a field theory of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 6, 147–152. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1956.tb02486.x
- Sprague, J. (2000). Theory building in communication courses. *Communication Teacher*, 14, 12–13.
- Stacks, D. W., & Salwen, M. B. (Eds.). (2009). *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Steeves, H. L. (1987). Feminist theories and media studies. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, 4, 95–135. doi:10.1080/15295038709360121

- Tate, E. D. (1985). The communication theorist as pirate and argonaut: Eugen Rosenstock Huessy and communication theory. *Canadian Journal of Communication, 11*, 287–307. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal/index>
- Tate, G. (1983). Thinking about theory. *Rhetoric Review, 1*, 162–165.  
doi:10.1080/07350198309359049
- Thayer, L. O. (1963). On theory-building in communication: Some conceptual problems. *Journal of Communication, 13*, 217–235. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1963.tb02110.x
- Thayer, L. (1979). On the limits of Western communication theory. *Communication, 4*, 9–14.
- Thayer, L. (1982). What would a theory of communication be for? *Journal of Applied Communication Research, 10*, 21–28. doi:10.1080/00909888209365209
- Tomaselli, K. G. (1982). Some introductory notes on theory and its role and function in the study of media. *Communicatio, 8*(2), 18–22. doi:10.1080/02500168208537702
- Treichler, P. A., & Wartella, E. (1986). Interventions: Feminist theory and communication studies. *Communication, 9*, 1–18.
- Vocate, D. R. (1997). Teaching communication theory in the professional school. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator, 52*, 4–14.
- Waisbord, S., & Mellado, C. (Eds.). (2014). De-Westernizing communication studies: A reassessment [Special issue]. *Communication Theory, 24*(4). Contains the following articles:
- Waisbord, S., & Mellado, C. De-Westernizing communication studies: A reassessment. 361–372. doi:10.1111/comt.12044
- Wang, G. Culture, paradigm, and communication theory: A matter of boundary or commensurability? 373–393. doi:10.1111/comt.12045
- Brüggemann, M., & Wessler, H. Transnational communication as deliberation, ritual, and strategy. 393–414. doi:10.1111/comt.12046
- Willems, W. Provincializing hegemonic histories of media and communication studies: Toward a genealogy of epistemic resistance in Africa. 415–434. doi:10.1111/comt.12043
- Al-Ghazzi, O. “Citizen journalism” in the Syrian uprising: Problematizing Western Narratives in a local context. 435–454. doi:10.1111/comt.12047
- Wander, P. C. (1989). Communication theory for the twenty-first century. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 223–227). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Wang, G., & Shen, V. (2000). East, West, communication, and theory: Searching for the meaning of searching for Asian communication theories. *Asian Journal of Communication, 10*(2), 14–32. doi:10.1080/01292980009364782
- Wang, G., Shen, V., & Lo, V.-h. (2002). Chinese communication theory construction: Mission impossible? *Mass Communication Research, 70*, 1–15.
- Webb, L. M., & Thompson-Hayes, M. E. (2002). Do popular collegiate textbooks in interpersonal communication reflect a common theory base: A telling content analysis. *Communication Education, 51*, 210–224. doi:10.1080/03634520216504
- Winkin, Y., & Leeds-Hurwitz, W. (2013). *Erving Goffman: A critical introduction to communication theory*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.

### B. Rhetorical Theory

- Arnold, C. C., & Bowers, J. W. (Eds.). (1984). *Handbook of rhetorical and communication theory*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Blair, C., & Kahl, M. L. (1990). Introduction: Revising the history of rhetorical theory. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 54, 148–159.  
doi:10.1080/10570319009374332
- Bybee, M. D. (1991). Abduction and rhetorical theory. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 24, 281–300.
- Campbell, J. A. (1998). Rhetorical theory in the twenty-first century: A neo-classical perspective. *Southern Speech Journal*, 63, 291–308. doi:10.1080/10417949809373103
- Craig, R. T. (1983). Galilean rhetoric and practical theory. *Communication Monographs*, 50, 395–412. doi:10.1080/03637758309390177
- Cushman, D. P., & Tompkins, P. K. (1980). A theory of rhetoric for contemporary society. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 13, 43–67.
- De Kerckhove, D. (1983). Classical rhetoric and communication theory. *Communication*, 7, 181–200.
- Donawerth, J. (2000). Poaching on men's philosophies of rhetoric: Eighteenth- and nineteenth-century rhetorical theory by women. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 33, 243–258.  
doi:10.1353/par.2000.0017
- Donawerth, J. (Ed.). (2002). *Rhetorical theory by women before 1900: An anthology*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Donawerth, J., Alvarez, M. L., Baden, A. G., Caulfield, J., Coleman, G., Dove, L., . . . Schwenk, M. (1994). An annotated bibliography of the history of non-Western rhetorical theory before 1900. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 24(3–4), 167–180.  
doi:10.1080/02773949409391025
- Eadie, W. F. (Ed.). (2013). The role of theory in critical rhetoric [Special section]. *Western Journal of Communication*, 77, 507–558. Contains the following articles:
- Renegar, V. R. Critical/cultural scholarship and the responsibility for building theory: Enduring criticism revisited. 509–513. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.804194
- Shome, R.. The obligation of critical (rhetorical) studies to build theory. 514–517.  
doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.799286
- Biesecker, B. A.. The obligation to theorize, today. 518–522.  
doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.805240
- Hartnett, S. J. On postmodern intellectuals, implied obligations, and political constituencies. 523–528. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.809476
- Foss, K. A., & Foss, S. K. A tale of two travelers: The divergent journeys of critical scholars and rhetorical theorists. 529–532. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.788202
- Rand, E. J. Queer critical rhetoric bites back. 533–537.  
doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.799285
- West, I. Queer generosity. 538–541. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.784351
- Kroløkke, C. What is the obligation to build theory? From Lego blocks to critical scholarship. 542–545. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.810768

- McKerrow, R. E. Criticism is as criticism does. 546–549.  
doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.799284
- Condit, C. M. How ought critical communication scholars judge, here, now? 550–558.  
doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.801507
- Enos, R. L. (1978). The structuring of rhetorical theories: The center of a central tradition. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 8, 2–7. doi:10.1080/02773947809390483
- Farrell, T. B. (2008). Rhetoric in history as theory and praxis: A blast from the past. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 41, 323–336. doi:10.1353/par.0.0017
- Foss, K. A., Foss, S. K., & Griffin, C. L. (1999). *Feminist rhetorical theories*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Foss, K. A., Foss, S. K., & Griffin, C. L. (Eds.). (2004). *Readings in feminist rhetorical theory*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Foss, S. K., & Griffin, C. L. (1992). A feminist perspective on rhetorical theory: Toward a clarification of boundaries. *Western Journal of Communication*, 56, 330–349.  
doi:10.1080/10570319209374422
- Guthrie, W. (1946). The development of rhetorical theory in America. *Speech Monographs*, 13, 14–22. doi:10.1080/03637754609374896
- Guthrie, W. (1947). The development of rhetorical theory in America, 1635–1850. *Speech Monographs*, 14, 38–54. doi:10.1080/03637754809374924
- Guthrie, W. (1948). The development of rhetorical theory in America, 1635–1850. *Speech Monographs*, 15, 61–71. doi:10.1080/03637754809374944
- Guthrie, W. (1949). The development of rhetorical theory in America: 1635–1850. *Speech Monographs*, 16, 98–113. doi:10.1080/03637754909374966
- Guthrie, W. (1951). The development of rhetorical theory in America 1635–1850—V: The elocution movement—England. *Speech Monographs*, 18, 17–30.  
doi:10.1080/03637755109375022
- Halloran, S. M. (1976). Tradition and theory in rhetoric. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 62, 234–241. doi:10.1080/00335637609383337
- Hamod, H. S. (1963). Arabs and Moslem rhetorical theory and practice. *Central States Speech Journal*, 14, 97–102. doi:10.1080/10510976309362686
- Hariman, R. (1991). Critical rhetoric and postmodern theory. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 77, 67–70. doi:10.1080/00335639109383943
- Hariman, R. (1994). Norms of rhetorical theory. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 80, 329–332. doi:10.1080/00335639409384076
- Hart, R. P. (1976). Theory-building and rhetoric criticism: An informal statement of opinion. *Central States Speech Journal*, 27, 70–77. doi:10.1080/10510977609367869
- Hauser, G., Eberly, R., Cargill, M. A., Doxtader, E., Greene, C., Hasian, M., Jr., . . . Salazar, P.-J. (2003). What does rhetorical theory do? And is that a stupid question. *Review of Communication*, 3, 311–347. doi:10.1080/1835859032000101043
- Kneupper, C. W. (1974). Direction for contemporary rhetorical theory. *Today's Speech*, 22(3), 31–38. doi:10.1080/01463377409369148
- Leff, M. C. (1978). In search of Ariadne's thread: A review of the recent literature on rhetorical theory. *Central States Speech Journal*, 29, 73–91.  
doi:10.1080/10510977809367961

- Lucaites, J. L., Condit, C. M., & Caudill, S. (Eds.). (1999). *Contemporary rhetorical theory: A reader*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- McKerrow, R. E. (1989). Critical rhetoric: Theory and praxis. *Communication Monographs*, 56, 91–111. doi:10.1080/03637758909390253
- Oravec, C. (1982). Where theory and criticism meet: A look at contemporary rhetorical theory. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 46, 56–71. doi:10.1080/10570318209374065
- Osborn, M. (1979). Main currents in rhetorical theory, 1977. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 28, 39–43.
- Patton, J. H. (1979). Permanence and change in rhetorical theory. *Central States Speech Journal*, 30, 134–143. doi:10.1080/10510977909368006
- Schraver, K. A. (1989). Theory building in rhetoric and composition: The role of empirical scholarship. *Rhetoric Review*, 7, 272–288. doi:10.1080/07350198909388861
- Swartz, O. (1995). Interdisciplinary and pedagogical implications of rhetorical theory. *Communication Studies*, 46, 130–139. doi:10.1080/10510979509368444
- Wander, P. C. (1984). The third persona: An ideological turn in rhetorical theory. *Central States Speech Journal*, 35, 195–216. doi:10.1080/10510978409368190
- Wilkerson, K. E. (1970). On evaluating theories of rhetoric. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 3, 82–96.

### C. Applied Communication Research

- Ahern, L. (2011). The current environment of the theory–practice divide. *Science Communication*, 33, 120–129. doi:10.1177/1075547011401039
- Anaporté, J. (1993). Out of balance: Theory and practice in academia. *Written Communication*, 10, 445–456. doi:10.1177/0741088393010003008
- Argyris, C. (1995). Knowledge when used in practice tests theory: The case of applied communication research. In K. N. Cissna (Ed.), *Applied communication in the 21st century* (pp. 1–19). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Barge, J. K. (Ed.). (2001). Practical theory [Special issue]. *Communication Theory*, 11(1).  
Include the following articles:
- Barge, J. K. Practical theory as mapping, engaged reflection, and transformative practices. 5–13. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2001.tb00230.x
- Cronen, V. E. (2001). Practical theory, practical art, and the pragmatic-systemic account of inquiry. 14–35. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2001.tb00231.x
- McComas, K. A. Theory and practice of public meetings. 36–55. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2001.tb00232.x
- Foot, K. A. Cultural–historical activity theory as practice theory: Illuminating the development of conflict-monitoring network. 56–83. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2001.tb00233.x
- Tracy, K., & Muller, H. Diagnosing a school’s board’s interactional trouble: Theorizing problem formulating. 84–104. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2001.tb00234.x
- Pearce, K. A., & Pearce, W. B. The Public Dialogue Consortium’s school-wide dialogue process: A communicative approach to develop citizenship skills and enhance school climate. 105–123. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2001.tb00235.x

- Barge, J. K., & Craig, R. T. (2009). Practical theory in applied communication scholarship. In L. R. Frey & K. N. Cissna (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of applied communication research* (pp. 55–78). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Berger, C. R. (2011). From explanation to application. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 39, 214–222. doi:10.1080/00909882.2011.556141
- Berry, K., & Patti, C. J. (2015). Lost In narration: Applying autoethnography. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 43, 263–268. doi:10.1080/00909882.2015.1019548
- Buddenbaum, J., & Novak, K. B. (2001). *Applied communication research*. Ames: Iowa State University Press.
- Cissna, K. N. (Ed.). (1982a). Application of communication theory to communication practice [Special issue]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 10(1).
- Cissna, K. N. (1982b). Editor's note: What is applied communication? *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 10, iii–v. doi:10.1080/0090988209365216
- Cissna, K. N. (Ed.). (1995). *Applied communication in the 21st century*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cissna, K. N., Eadie, W. F., & Hickson, M., III. (2009). The development of applied communication research. In L. R. Frey & K. N. Cissna (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of applied communication research* (pp. 3–25). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Cragan, J. F., & Shields, D. C. (1981). *Applied communication research: A dramatistic approach*. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.
- Cragan, J. F., & Shields, D. C. (1995). *Symbolic theories in applied communication research: Bormann, Burke, and Fisher*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Craig, R. T. (1995). Applied communication research in a practical discipline. In K. N. Cissna (Ed.), *Applied communication in the 21st century* (pp. 147–155). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Craig, R. T. (2006). Communication as a practice. In G. J. Shepherd, J. St. John, & T. Striphos (Eds.), *Communication as . . . : Perspectives on theory* (pp. 38–47). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Craig, R. T., & Tracy, K. (1995). Grounded practical theory: The case of intellectual discussion. *Communication Theory*, 5, 248–272. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.1995.tb00108.x
- Craig, R. T., & Tracy, K. (Eds.). (2014). Building grounded practical theory in applied communication research [Special issue]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 42(3). Contains the following articles:
- Craig, R. T., & Tracy, K. Building grounded practical theory in applied communication research: Introduction to the special issue. 229–243. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.916410
- Christopher J. Koenig, Leah M. Wingard, Christina Sabee, David Olsher & Ilona Vandergriff. Managing patient-centered communication across the Type 2 diabetes illness trajectory: A grounded practical theory of *interactional sensitivity*. 244–267. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.911943
- Bloom, R. Negotiating language in transnational health care: Exploring translingual literacy through grounded practical theory. 268–284. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.911942



- Black, L. W., & Wiederhold, A. Discursive strategies of civil disagreement in public dialogue groups. 285–306. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.911938
- Tracy, K., & Hughes, J. M. F. Democracy-appealing partisanship: A situated ideal of citizenship. 307–324. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.911940
- Muller, H. L. A grounded practical theory reconstruction of the communication practice of instructor-facilitated collegiate classroom discussion. 325–342. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.911941
- Cronen, V. E. (1995). Practical theory and the tasks ahead for social approaches to communication. In W. Leeds-Hurwitz (Ed.), *Social approaches to communication* (pp. 217–242). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Dainton, M., & Zelle, E. (2011). *Applying communication theory for professional life: A practical introduction* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dervin, B. (1983). A theoretic perspective and research approach for generating research helpful to communication practice. *Public Relations Review*, 9(3), 56. doi:10.1016/S0363-811(83)80197-0
- Eadie, W. F. (1982, October). The case for applied communication research. *Spectra*, 18(10), 1–3.
- Eadie, W. F. (Ed.). (1991). Setting the agenda for applied communication research [Special issue]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 19(1–2). Contains the following articles:
- Eadie, W. F. Editor's introduction. 5–6. doi:10.1080/00909889109365288
- Weick, K. E., & Browning, L. D. Fixing with the voice: A research agenda for applied communication. 1–19. doi:10.1080/00909889109365289
- March, J. G. Organizational consultants and organizational research. 20–31. doi:10.1080/00909889109365290
- Browning, L. D., & Hawes, L. C. Style, process, surface, context: Consulting as postmodern art. 32–54. doi:10.1080/00909889109365291
- Plax, T. G. Understanding applied communication inquiry: Researcher as organizational consultant. 55–70. doi:10.1080/00909889109365292
- Kreps, G. L., Frey, L. R., & O'Hair, D. Conceptualizing applied communication research: Scholarship that can make a difference. 71–87. doi:10.1080/00909889109365293
- Ellis, D. G. The oneness of opposites: Applied communication and theory. 116–122. doi:10.1080/00909889109365295
- Ehrenhaus, P. Co-opting the academy: On the urgency of reframing “applied.” 123–128. doi:10.1080/00909889109365296
- Eadie, W. F. (1994). On having an agenda. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 22, 81–85. doi:10.1080/00909889409365388
- Frey, L. R., & Cissna, K. N. (Eds.). (2009). *Routledge handbook of applied communication research*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2004). Narrative ethnography as applied communication research. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 32, 185–194. doi:10.1080/009098804200024013
- Gordon, R. (1982, October). Practical theory. *Spectra*, 18(9), 1–2.
- Harris, T. E., & Nelson, M. D. (2008). *Applied organizational communication: Theory and practice in a global environment* (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

- Heath, R. G., Fletcher, C. V., & Munoz, R. (Eds.). (2013). *Understanding Occupy from Wall Street to Portland: Applied studies in communication theory*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Hartwig, R. T. (2014). Ethnographic facilitation as a complementary methodology for conducting applied communication scholarship. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 42, 60–84. doi:10.1080/00909882.2013.874567
- Hickson, M., III. (1973). Applied communications research: A beginning point for social relevance. *Journal of Applied Communications Research*, 1, 1–5. doi:10.1080/00909887309365170
- Johnson, J. (1991). Some critical attributes of applied communication research. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 19, 340–346. doi:10.1080/00909889109365313
- Keyton, J. (2005). Letter from the editor. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 33, 285–293. doi:10.1080/00909880500278145
- Leiss, W. (1991). On the vitality of our discipline—New applications of communications theory: The 1990 Southam Lecture. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 16, 291–305. Retrieved from <http://www.cjc-online.ca/index.php/journal>
- Maras, S. (2005). The problem of theory and practice: Towards a constitutive analysis. *Journal of Media Practice*, 6, 93–103. doi:10.1386/jmpr.6.2.93/1
- Miller, G. R. (1995). “I think my schizophrenia is better today,” said the communication researcher unanimously: Some thoughts on the dysfunctional dichotomy between pure and applied communication research. In K. N. Cissna (Ed.), *Applied communication in the 21st century* (pp. 47–55). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Miller, G. R., & Sunnafrank, M. J. (1984). Theoretical dimensions of applied communication research. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 70, 255–263. doi:10.1080/00335638409383695
- O’Hair, D. (Ed). (2000). Defining applied communication scholarship [Special section]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 28, 164–191. Contains the following articles:
- O’Hair, D. Editor’s introduction to the forum on defining applied communication scholarship. 164–165. doi:10.1080/00909880009365561
- Keyton, J. Applied communication research should be practical. 166–168. doi:10.1080/00909880009365562
- Cissna, K. N. Applied communication research in the 21st century. 169–173. doi:10.1080/00909880009365563
- Eadie, W. F. Changing boundaries, changing expectations, changing results. 164–165. doi:10.1080/00909880009365564
- Frey, L. R. To be applied or not to be applied, that isn’t even the question; but wherefore art thou, applied communication researcher? Reclaiming applied communication research and redefining the role of the researcher. 178–182. doi:10.1080/00909880009365565
- Seibold, D. R. Applied communication scholarship: Less a matter of boundaries than of emphases. 183–187. doi:10.1080/00909880009365566
- Wood, J. T. Applied communication research: Unbounded and for good reason. 188–191. doi:10.1080/00909880009365567

- Schiavo, R. (2014). Bridging the gap between communication research and practice. How we see our role. *Journal of Communication in Healthcare*, 7, 1–2. doi:10.1179/1753806814Z.00000000060
- O’Hair, D., & Kreps, G. L. (Eds.). (1990). *Applied communication theory and research*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Orbe, M. P., & Allen, B. J. (2008). “Race matters” in the *Journal of Applied Communication Research*. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 19, 201–220. doi:10.1080/10646170802218155
- Pearce, W. B. (1997). “Do no harm” or “make it better”? Some implications of transcending the false dichotomy between theory and practice. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 325–350). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Penman, R. (1992). Good theory and good practice: An argument in progress. *Communication Theory*, 2, 234–250. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1992.tb00041.x
- Petronio, S. (1999). Translating scholarship into practice: An alternative metaphor. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 27, 87–91. doi:10.1080/00909889909365527
- Petronio, S. (2002). The new world and scholarship translation practices: Necessary changes in defining evidence. *Western Journal of Communication*, 66, 507–512. doi:10.1080/10570310209374752
- Petronio, S. (2007). JACR commentaries on translating research into practice: Introduction. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 35, 215–217. doi:10.1080/00909880701434190
- Porter, D. T. (1986). The challenge of applied communication research and the *Journal of Applied Communication Research*. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 14, 67–68. doi:10.1080/00909888609360305
- Seibold, D. R. (1995). *Theoria and praxis: Means and ends in applied communication research*. In K. N. Cissna (Ed.), *Applied communication in the 21st century* (pp. 23–38). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Seibold, D. R. (2008). Applied communication research. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 1., pp. 189–194). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Steimel, S. (2014). Mapping a history of applied communication research: Themes and concepts in the *Journal of Applied Communication Research*. *Review of Communication*, 14, 19–35. doi:10.1080/15358593.2014.942353
- Tillmann, L. M. (2009). Speaking into silences: Autoethnography, communication, and applied research. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 37, 94–97. doi:10.1080/00909880802592649
- van Woerkum, C., & Renes, R. J. (2010). Health communication as applied social science: What is applied? *Health Communication*, 25, 572–573. doi:10.1080/10410236.2010.496716
- Witteborn, S., Milburn, T., & Ho, E. (2013). The ethnography of communication as applied methodology: Insights from three case studies. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 41, 188–194. doi:10.1080/00909882.2013.782421

### September 30: Engaged Communication Activist Scholarship for Social Change and Social Justice

- Ackerman, J. M., & Coogan, D. J. (Eds.). (2010). *The public work of rhetoric: Citizens scholars and civic engagement*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press.
- Alexander, B. K. (2010). Critical/performative/pedagogy: Performing possibility as a rehearsal for social justice. In D. L. Fassett & J. T. Warren (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of communication and instruction* (pp. 315–341). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Andersen, P. A. (1993). Beyond criticism: The activist turn in the ideological debate. *Western Journal of Communication*, 57, 247–256. doi:10.1080/10570319309374447
- Anderson, J. A. (2014). Engaged learning, engaged scholarship: A struggle for the soul of higher education. *Northwest Journal of Communication*, 42, 143–166.
- Applegate, J. (2001, November). The tragedy of hate: The need for engaged communication scholars. *Spectra*, pp. 2–3.
- Applegate, J. (2002). Skating to where the puck will be: Engaged research as a funding strategy. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 30, 402–410. doi:10.1080/00909880216597
- Arneson, P. (2014). *Communicative engagement and social liberation: Justice will be made*. Madison, WI: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press.
- Artz, L. (2001). Critical ethnography for communication studies: Dialogue and social justice in service-learning. *Southern Communication Journal*, 66, 239–250. doi:10.1080/10417940109373202
- Artz, L., Macek, S., & Cloud, D. (Eds.). (2006). *Marxism and communication studies: The point is to change it*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Artz, L., Macek, S., & Cloud, D. (Eds.). (2006). *Marxism and communication studies: The point is to change it*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Askanius, T., & Østergaard, L. (Eds.). *Reclaiming the public sphere: Communication, power and social change*. New York, NY: Pelgrave Macmillan.
- Barge, J. K., Simpson, J. L., & Shockley-Zalabak, P. (Eds.). (2008). Toward purposeful and practical models of engaged scholarship [Special section]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 36, 243–297. Contains the following articles:
- Barge, J. K., Simpson, J. L., & Shockley-Zalabak, P. Introduction: Toward purposeful and practical models of engaged scholarship. 243–244. doi:10.1080/00909880802190113
- Barge, J. K., Jones, J. E., Kensler, M., Polok, N., Rianoshek, R., Simpson, J. L., & Shockley-Zalabak, P. A practitioner view toward engaged scholarship. 245–250. doi:10.1080/00909880802172319
- Barge, J. K., & Shockley-Zalabak, P. Engaged scholarship and the creation of useful organizational knowledge. 251–265. doi:10.1080/00909880802172277
- Simpson, J. L., & Seibold, D. R. Practical engagements and co-created research. 266–280. doi:10.1080/00909880802172285
- Cheney, G. Encountering the ethics of engaged scholarship. 281–288. doi:10.1080/00909880802172293
- Deetz, S. Engagement as co-generative theorizing. 289–297. doi:10.1080/00909880802172301

- Barranquero, A. (2011). Rediscovering the Latin American roots of participatory communication for social change. *Westminster Papers in Communication & Culture*, 8, 154–177. Retrieved from <https://www.westminster.ac.uk/camri/publications/wpcc>
- Britt, L. L. (2012). Why we use service-learning: A report outlining a typology of three approaches to this form of communication pedagogy. *Communication Education*, 61, 80–88. doi:10.1080/03634523.2011.632017
- Broome, B. J., Carey, C., De La Garza, S. A., Martin, J., & Morris, R. (2005). In the thick of things: A dialogue about the activist turn in intercultural communication. In W. J. Starosta & G.-M. Chen (Eds.), *Taking stock in intercultural communication: Where to now?* (pp. 145–175). Washington, DC: National Communication Association.
- Carragee, K. M., & Frey, L. R. (2012). Introduction: Communication activism for social justice scholarship. In L. R. Frey & K. M. Carragee (Eds.), *Communication activism. Vol. 3: Struggling for social justice amidst diversity* (pp. 1–68). New York, NY: Hampton Press.
- Cheney, G., Wilhelmsson, M., & Zorn, T. E., Jr. (2002). 10 strategies for engaged scholarship. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 16, 92–100. doi:10.1177/0893318902161006
- Condit, C. M. (2015). Multi-layered trajectories for academic contributions to social change. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 10, 258–270. doi:10.1080/00335630.2015.995436
- Conquergood, D. (1995). Between rigor and relevance: Rethinking applied communication. In K. N. Cissna (Ed.), *Applied communication in the 21st century* (pp. 79–96). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum
- Cushman, E. (1996). The rhetorician as an agent of social change. *College Composition and Communication*, 47, 7–28. doi:10.2307/358271
- Del Gandio, J. (2008). *Rhetoric for radicals: A handbook for 21st century activists*. Gabriola Island, Canada: New Society.
- Del Gandio, J., & Nocella, A. J. II. (2014). *Education for action: Strategies to ignite social justice*. Gabriola, Canada: New Society.
- Demetrius, K. (2013). *Public relations, activism, and social change: Speaking up*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Dempsey, S. E. (2010). Critiquing community engagement. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 24, 359–390. doi:10.1177/0893318909342247
- Dempsey, S. E., & Barge, J. K. (2014). Engaged scholarship and democracy. In L. L. Putnam & D. K. Mumby (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of organizational communication: Advances in theory, research, and methods* (3rd ed., pp. 665–668). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dempsey, S., Dutta, M., Frey, L. R., Goodall, H. L., Madison, D. S., Mercieca, J., & Nakayama, T. (with Miller, K.). (2011). What is the role of the communication discipline in social justice, community engagement, and public scholarship? A visit to the *CM Café*. *Communication Monographs*, 78, 256–271. doi:10.1080/03637751.2011.565062
- DeTurk, D. (2011). Critical andragogy and communication activism: Approaches, tensions, and lessons learned from a senior capstone course. *Communication Teacher*, 25, 48–60. doi:10.1080/17404622.2010.513995
- Dutta, M. J. (2011). *Communicating social change: Structure, change, and agency*. New York, NY: Routledge.

- Dutta, M. J. (2012). *Voices of resistance: Communication and social change*. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- Ervin, E. (2006). Rhetorical situations and the straits of inappropriateness: Teaching feminist activism. *Rhetoric Review*, 25, 316–333. doi:10.1207/s15327981rr2503\_5
- Figueroa, M. E., Kincaid, D. L., & Lewis, G. (2002). *Communication for social change: An integrated model for measuring the process and its outcomes*. New York, NY: Rockefeller Foundation.
- Fisher, A. (2009). Composting the tribulations of activism in academia. *Rocky Mountain Communication Review*, 6(2), 42–46. Retrieved from <http://www.rmcr.utah.edu>
- Fixmer-Oraiz, N., & Murray, B. (2009). Challenging pedagogy: Reflections on communication activism and service-learning. *Rocky Mountain Communication Review*, 6(2), 52–55. Retrieved from <http://www.rmcr.utah.edu>
- Flores, L. A. (2013). Striving for social justice—The excellence of inclusion in education. *Western Journal of Communication*, 77, 645–650. doi:10.1080/10570314.2013.823514
- Frey, L. R. (Ed.). (1998). Communication and social justice research [Special issue]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 26(2). Contains the following articles:
- Frey, L. R. Communication and social justice research: Truth, justice, and the applied communication way. 155–164. doi:10.1080/0090909889809365499
- Ryan, C., Carragee, K. M., & Schwerner, C. Media, movements, and the question for social justice. 165–181. doi:10.1080/00909889809365500
- Crabtree, R. D. (1998). Mutual empowerment in cross-cultural participatory development and service learning: Lessons in communication and social justice from projects in El Salvador and Nicaragua. 182–209. doi:10.1080/00909889809365501
- Artz, L. African-Americans and higher education: An exigence in need of applied communication. 210–231. doi:10.1080/00909889809365502
- Hartnett, S. Lincoln and Douglas meet the abolitionist David Walker as prisoners debate slavery: Empowering education, applied communication, and social justice. 232–253. doi:10.1080/00909889809365503
- Varallo, S. M., Ray, E. B., & Ellis, B. H. Speaking of incest: The research interview as social justice. 254–271. doi:10.1080/00909889809365504
- Pearce, W. B. On putting social justice in the discipline of communication and putting enriched concepts of communication in social justice research and practice. 272–278. doi:10.1080/00909889809365505
- Frey, L. R., & Carragee, K. M. (Eds.). (2007a). *Communication activism: Vol. 1. Communication for social change*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Frey, L. R., & Carragee, K. M. (Eds.). (2007b). *Communication activism: Vol. 2. Media and performance activism*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Frey, L. R., & Carragee, K. M. (Eds.). (2012). *Communication activism: Vol. 3. Struggling for social justice amidst difference*. New York, NY: Hampton Press.
- Frey, L. R., & Palmer, D. L. (Eds.). (2014). *Teaching communication activism: Communication pedagogy for asocial justice*. New York, NY: Hampton Press.
- Frey, L. R., Pearce, W. B., Pollock, M. A., Artz, L., & Murphy, B. A. O. (1996). Looking for justice in all the wrong places: On a communication approach to social justice. *Communication Studies*, 47, 110–127. doi:10.1080/10510979609368467. See the following responses:

- Makau, J. M. (1996). Notes on communication education and social justice. 135–141. doi:10.1080/10510979609368469
- Wood, J. T. (1996). Social justice research: Alive and well in the field of communication. 128–134. doi:10.1080/10510979609368468
- Pollock, M. A., Artz, L., Frey, L. R., Pearce, W. B., & Murphy, B. A. O. (1996). Navigating between Scylla and Charybdis: Continuing the dialogue on communication and social justice. *Communication Studies*, 142–151. doi:10.1080/10510979609368470
- Olson, K. M., & Olson, C. D. (2003). Problems of exclusionary research criteria: The case against the “usable knowledge” litmus test for social justice communication research. *Communication Studies*, 54, 438–450. doi:10.1080/10510970309363302
- Gajjala, R. (2011). Building and practicing theory: Addressing the academic/activist binary in the classroom. *Women & Language*, 34, 67–70.
- Ganesh, S., & Zoller, H. M. (2012). Dialogue, activism, and democratic social change. *Communication Theory*, 22, 66–91. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2011.01396.x
- Girandola, F., & Joule, R.-V. (2013). Binding communication: Social change, practical and theoretical stakes. *Journal of Communications Research*, 5, 209–225.
- Glenn, C. L. (2015). Activism or “slacktivism?”: Digital media and organizing for social change. *Communication Teacher*, 29, 81–85. doi:10.1080/17404622.2014.1003310
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2010). *Counter-narrative: How progressive academics can challenge extremists and promote social justice*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Greiner, K., & Singhal, A. (2009). Communication and invitational social change. *Journal of Development Communication*, 20(2), 31–44.
- Gumucio Dagron, A. (2001). *Making waves: Stories of participatory communication for social change*. New York, NY: Rockefeller Foundation.
- Gunn, J., & Lucaites, J. L. (Eds.). (2010). Engaged scholarship [Special section]. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 96, 404–468. Contains the following articles:
- Gunn, J., & Lucaites, J. L. The contest of faculties: On discerning the politics of social engagement in the academy. 404–412. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521176
- McGowan, J. An immodest proposal. 413–420. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521177
- McLeod, K. Everything is connected. 421–426. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521178
- Young, A. M., Battaglia, A., & Cloud, D. L. (Un)disciplining the scholar activist: Policing the boundaries of political engagement. 427–435. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521179
- Hartelius, E. J., & Cherwitz, R. A. The Dorothy doctrine of engaged scholarship: The rhetorical discipline “had it all along.” 436–442. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521180
- Swift, C. Academic engagement. 443–449. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521181
- Pezzullo, P. C. Tripping over boundary-stones: Reflections on engaged scholarship. 450–454. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521182
- Bowman, M. S., & Bowman, R. L. Telling Katrina stories: Problems and opportunities in engaging disaster. 455–461. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521183
- Pollock, D. Doorjams and the promise of engaged scholarship. 462–468. doi:10.1080/00335630.2010.521184
- Harter, L. M., Dutta, M. J., & Cole, C. (Eds.). (2009). *Communicating for social impact: Engaging communication theory, research, and pedagogy*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

- Hartnett, S. J. (Ed.). (2011). *Challenging the prison-industrial complex: Activism, arts, and educational alternatives*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Hartnett, S. J., Novek, E., & Wood, J. K. (Eds.). (2013). *Working for justice: A handbook of prison education and activism*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Hartnett, S. J., Wood, J. K., & McCann, B. J. (2011). Turning silence into speech and action: Prison activism and the pedagogy of empowered citizenship. *Communication & Critical/Cultural Studies*, 8, 331–352. doi:10.1080/14791420.2011.615334
- Hikins, J. W., & Cherwitz, R. A. (2010). The engaged university: Where rhetorical theory matters. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 38, 115–126. doi:10.1080/00909881003639551
- Holtzhausen, D. R. (2012). *Public relations as activism: Postmodern approaches to theory and practice*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Huffman, T. (2014). Imagining social justice within a communicative framework. *Journal of Social Justice*, 4, Article 1. Retrieved from <http://transformativestudies.org>
- Jacobson, T. L. (2003). Participatory communication for social change: The relevance of the theory of communicative action. In P. J. Kalbfleish (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 27, pp. 87–123). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Johnson, J. R. (2004). Universal instructional design and critical (communication) pedagogy: Strategies for voice, inclusion, and social justice. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 37, 145–153. doi:10.1080/10665680490453995
- Kahn, S., & Lee, J. (Eds.). (2011). *Activism and rhetoric: Theories and contexts for political engagement*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kirby, E. L., Feldner, S. B., Leighter, J., McBride, M. C., Murphy, B. O., Tye-Williams, S., & Turner, L. H. (2009). “Exploring the basement of social justice issues”: A graduate upon graduation. In L. M. Harter, M. J. Dutta, & C. E. Cole (Eds.), *Communicating for social impact: Engaging theory, research and pedagogy* (pp. 63–77). Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Kuypers, J. A. (2000). Must we all be political activists? *American Communication Journal*, 4(1). Retrieved from <http://acjournal.org>
- Lewis, L. K. (2012). Becoming useful: Using engaged scholarship as a means to move NPO scholarship forward. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 26, 186–192. doi:10.1177/0893318911423763
- Manning, J., & Houston, R. (Eds.). (2015). The movement to engaged scholarship [Special issue]. *Women & Language*, 38(1). Contains the following essays:  
 Putnam, L. L., & Dempsey, S. E. The five faces of engaged scholarship: Implications for feminist research. 11–23.  
 Cruz, J. M. Reimagining feminist organizing in global times: Lessons from African feminist communication. 23–42.  
 Guthrie, J. A., & Kunkel, A. Problematizing the uniform application of the formula story: Advocacy for survivors in a domestic violence support group. 43–62.  
 Isgro, K. From a caretaker’s perspective: Mothers of children with Down Syndrome as advocates. 63–82.  
 Stern, D. M. Engaging autoethnography: Feminist voice and narrative intervention. 83–102.



In the Salon:

- Edley, P. P., Hammers, M. L., & Shabazian, A. N. Are we feminist enough? Engaged feminism and invisible labor within everyday university politics. 105–112.
- Young, S. L. I never thought I'd be an advocate: Reflections on LGBT activism and engaged feminist scholarship. 113–120.
- Johnson, B. Discomforted research and teaching: using physical and digital archives in gendered health communication. 121–132.
- Manning, J., & Denker, K. J. Doing feminist interpersonal communication research: A call for action, two methodological approaches, and theoretical potentials. 133–142.
- Houston, R., & Weisz, C. The promise and peril of engaged scholarship. 143–154.
- McAnany, E. (2010). Communication for development and social change: New millennium. *Communication Research Trends*, 29(3), 3–17.
- McGhee, S. (2009). A moment of self-reflexivity: Conducting communication activism. *Rocky Mountain Communication Review*, 6(2), 47–51. Retrieved from <http://www.rmcr.utah.edu>
- Milan, S. (Ed.). (2010). Toward an epistemology of engaged research [Special section]. *International Journal of Communication*, 4, 820–856. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc>. Contains the following articles:
- Milan, S. Introduction: Toward an epistemology of engaged research. 856–858.
- Ryan, C., Salas-Wright, V., Anastario, M., & Cámara, G. Making research matter . . . Matter to whom? 845–855.
- Hintz, A., & Milan, S. Social science is police science: Researching grass-roots activism. 837–844.
- Lewis, P. Scenes from a community radio campaign, 1972–2009: Un/masking objectivity. 828–836.
- Pettit, J. Learning to do action research for social change. 820–827.
- Napoli, P. M., & Aslama, M. (Eds.). (2011). *Communications research in action: Scholar-activist collaborations for a democratic public sphere*. New York, NY: Fordham University Press.
- O'Donnell, P., & Dreher, T. (2002). Teaching students to challenge the war-as-justice rhetoric. *Feminist Media Studies*, 2, 147–149. doi:10.1080/146807702753745455
- Opt, S. K. (2005). Learning activism in the basic public relations course. *Texas Speech Communication Journal*, 30, 47–56.
- Padovani, C., & Calabrese, A. (Eds.). (2014). *Communication rights and social justice: Historical accounts of transnational mobilizations*. New York, NY: Pelgrave Macmillan.
- Palmer, D. L., & Frey, L. R. (2015). Fostering civic resilience and hope through communication activism education. In G. A. Beck & T. J. Socha (Eds.), *Communicating hope and resilience across the lifespan* (pp. 235–258). New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Palmeri, A. J. (1996). Fostering social justice in an electronic age: The teacher as public intellectual. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 20, 3–17.
- Park-Fuller, L. M. (2003). Audiencing the audience: Playback Theatre, performative writing, and social activism. *Text & Performance Quarterly*, 23, 288–310. doi:10.1080/10462930310001635321
- Ransom, L. S. (2009). Sowing the seeds of citizenship and social justice: Service-learning in a public speaking course. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 4, 211–224. doi:10.1177/1746197909340871

- Rentz, K. (2010). Standing up for good teaching: The business communication academic as activist. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 73, 5–15. doi:10.1177/1080569909358677
- Riddell, S. (2009). Social justice, equality and inclusion in Scottish education. *Discourse*, 30, 283–296. doi:10.1080/01596300903036889
- Rodino-Colocino, M. (2011). Getting to “not especially strange”: Embracing participatory advocacy communication research for social justice. *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 1699–1711. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/ojs/index.php/ijoc>
- Rodino-Colocino, M. (2012). Participant activism: Exploring a methodology for scholaractivists through lessons learned as a precarious labor organizer. *Communication, Culture & Critique*, 5, 541–562. doi:10.1111/j.1753-9137.2012.01140.x
- Rogers, E. M., & Singhal, A. (2003). Empowerment and communication: Lessons learned from organizing for social change. In P. J. Kalbfleish (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 27, pp. 67–85). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Servaes, J. (Ed.). (2008). *Communication for development and social change*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Servaes, J. (Ed.). (2014). *Technological determinism and social change: Communication in a tech-mad world*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Servaes, J., Jacobson, T. L., & White, S. A. (1996). *Participatory communication for social change*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Servaes, J., & Lie, R. (2013). Sustainable social change and communication. *Communication Research Trends*, 32(4), 4–30.
- Servaes, J., & Li, R. (2015). New challenges for communication for sustainable development and social change: A review essay. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 10, 124–148. doi:10.1080/17447143.2014.982655
- Simpson, J. S. (2006). Reaching for justice: The pedagogical politics of agency, race, and change. *Review of Education, Pedagogy, & Cultural Studies*, 28, 67–94. doi:10.1080/10714410600552852
- Simpson, J. S. (2014). *Longing for justice: Higher education and democracy's agenda*. Toronto, Canada: University of Toronto Press.
- Singhal, A., & Rogers, E. M. Rogers (1999). *Entertainment–education: A communication strategy for social change*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Stucky, N. (2006). Fieldwork in the performance studies classroom: Learning objectives and the activist curriculum. In D. S. Madison & J. Hamera (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of performance studies* (pp. 261–277). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Swan, S. (2002). Rhetoric, service, and social justice. *Written Communication*, 19, 76–108. doi:10.1177/074108830201900104
- Swartz, O. (Ed.). (2006). *Social justice and communication scholarship*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Tehrani, M. (1991). Communication and theories of social change: A communitarian perspective. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 2, 1–30.
- Thomas, P. N. (Ed.). (2015). Beyond the impasse: Exploring new thinking in communication & social change [Special issue]. *Nordicom Review, Special Issue*. Retrieved from <http://www.nordicom.gu.se/en/publikationer/nordicom-review>. Contains the following articles:
- Carlsson, U. Preface. 7.
- Thomas, P. N. Foreword. 9–10.

- Enghel, F. Towards a political economy of communication in development? 11–24
- Lennie, J., & Tacchi, J. Tensions, challenges and issues in evaluating communication for development: Findings from recent research and strategies for sustainable outcomes. 25–39.
- Noske-Turner, T. 10 years of evaluation practice in media assistance: Who, when, why and how? 41–56.
- Plush, T. Interrogating practitioner tensions for raising citizen voice with participatory video in international development. 57–70.
- Thomas, P. N. Communication for social change, making theory count. 71–78.
- Turnbull, G.-R. Surface tension: Navigating socially engagement documentary photographic practices. 79–95.
- Tacchi, J., & Waisbord, S. Beyond the impasse: Exploring new thinking in communication and social change. 97–110.
- Thomas, P. N., & van de Fliert, E. (2015). *Interrogating the theory and practice of communication for social change: The basis for a renewal*. New York, NY: Pelgrave Macmillan.
- Timmerman, E. (2009). Has communication scholarship made a difference? [Special forum]. *Communication Monographs*, 76, 1–19. doi:10.1080/03637750802684006; (2009). *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 37, 202–224; (2010). *Communication Monographs*, 77, 427–451 [Responses]; (2010). *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 38, 293–315 [Responses]; (2010). *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 38, 435–442 [Response to Respondents].
- CM 76 contains the following articles:
- Timmerman, E. Forum introduction: Has communication scholarship made a difference? 1–2.
- Condit, C. M. You can't study and improve communication with a telescope. 3–12.
- Seeger, M. Does communication make a difference: Reconsidering the impact of our work. 13–19.
- JACR 37 contains the following articles:
- Timmerman, C. E. Introduction: Has communication made a difference? 202–204. doi:10.1080/00909880902792289
- Frey, L. R. What a difference more difference-making communication scholarship might make: Making a difference from and through communication research. 205–214. doi:10.1080/00909880902792321
- Hummert, M. L. Not just preaching to the choir: Communication scholarship does make a difference. 215–224. doi:10.1080/00909880902792313
- CM 77 contains the following responses:
- Timmerman, E. Forum responses: Has communication research made a difference? 427–428. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.520019
- García-Jiménez, L., & Craig, R. T. What kind of difference do we want to make? 429–431. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523591
- Koschmann, M. Communication as a distinct mode of explanation makes a difference. 431–434. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523593
- Kramer, M. W. It depends on your criteria. 434–436. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523594

- Manning, J. There is no agony like bearing an untold story inside you: Communication research as interventive practice. 437–439. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.5235963
- Milburn, T. The relevance of cultural communication: For whom and in what respect? 439–441. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523598
- Sprain, L., Endres, D., & Petersen, T. R. Research as a transdisciplinary networked process: A metaphor of difference-making research. 441–444. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523600
- Berger, C. R. Making a differential difference. 444–451. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523601
- JACR 38* contains the following responses:
- Timmerman, C. E. Forum responses: Has communication research made a difference? 293–295. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490849
- Harwood, J. A difference we can call our own. 295–298. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490843
- Kahl, D. H., Jr. Making a difference: (Re)connecting communication scholarship with pedagogy. 298–302. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490845
- Sherry, J. L. The value of communication science. 302–306. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490847
- Keyton, J., Beck, S. J., Messersmith, A. S., & Bisel, R. S. Ensuring communication research makes a difference. 306–309. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490844
- Rush, E. K., & Tracy, S. J. Wikipedia as public scholarship: Communicating our impact online. 309–315. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490846
- Gouran, D. S. (2010). Has communication research made a difference? A response to the respondents. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 38, 435–442. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.514000
- Waisbord, S. (2015). Three challenges for communication and global social change. *Communication Theory*, 25, 144–165. doi:10.1111/comt.12068
- Westley, B. H. (1971). Communication and social change. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 14, 719–743. doi:10.1177/000276427101400506
- Wilkins, K. G. (Ed.). (2000). *Redeveloping communication for social change: Theory, practice, and power*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Wilkins, K. G., & Servaes, J. (Eds.). Advocacy and social change [Special issue]. *Communication Theory*, 25(2). Contains the following essays:
- Wilkins, K. G. Editorial. 117–122. doi:10.1111/comt.12070
- Dutta, M. J. Decolonizing communication for social change: A culture-centered approach. 123–143. doi:10.1111/comt.12067
- Waisbord, S. Three challenges for communication and global social change. 144–165. doi:10.1111/comt.12068
- Denskus, T., & Esser, D. E. TED Talks on international development: Trans-hegemonic promise and ritualistic constraints. 166–187. doi:10.1111/comt.12066
- Pamment, J. Media influence, ontological transformation, and social change: Conceptual overlaps between *Development Communication* and *Public Diplomacy*. 188–207. doi:10.1111/comt.12064
- Stohr, R. A. Transnational feminism, global governance, and the reimagining of the organization–society relationship: A case study of the Women’s Environment and Development Organization. 208–229. doi:10.1111/comt.12063

- Davis, S. Citizens' media in the Favelas: Finding a place for community-based digital media production in social change processes. 230–243. doi:10.1111/comt.12069
- Lie, R., & Servaes, J. Disciplines in the field of communication for development and social change. 244–258. doi:10.1111/comt.12065
- Wilkens, K. G., Tufte, T., & Obregon, R. (Eds.). (2014). *The handbook of development communication and social change*. Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
- Wittenberg-Lyles, E., & Goldsmith, J. (2015). Trial, error and radical revision as our compass in engaged research. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 43, 131–135. doi:10.1080/00909882.2014.983142
- Yep, G. A. (2008). The dialectics of intervention: Toward a reconceptualization of the theory/activism divide in communication scholarship and beyond. In O. Swartz (Ed.), *Transformative communication studies: Culture, hierarchy and the human condition* (pp. 191–207). Leicester, United Kingdom: Troubador.
- Zoller, H. M. (2005). Health activism: Communication theory and action for social change. *Communication Theory*, 15, 341–364. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2005.tb00339.x
- Zoller, H. (2010). Communicating women's health activism: A social justice agenda. *Women & Language*, 33(2), 73–79.

## October 21

- A. *Communication Graduate School/Education: Everything You Wanted to Know but were Afraid to Ask*
- Allen, M. B., Bourhis, J., Burrell, N., Cole, A. W., Cramer, E., Dilbeck, K., . . . Zymbalski, A. N. (2013). Comparing communication doctoral programs, alumni, and faculty: The use of Google Scholar. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 32, 55–68.
- Allen, R. R. (1958). The graduate assistant looks at himself. *Central States Speech Journal*, 9(2), 47–50. doi:10.1080/10510975809362457
- Allen, M., Maier, M., & Grimes, D. (2012). Evaluating doctoral programs in communication on the basis of citations. *Electronic Journal of Communication*, 22(1–2). Article 2. Retrieved from <http://www.cios.org/www/ejcmmain.htm>
- Aly, B. (1949). Graduate study in speech: Twenty problems. *Southern Speech Journal*, 14, 219–224. doi:10.1080/10417944909371086
- Anapol, M. M. (1967). A survey of graduate study in speech. *Speech Teacher*, 16, 253–258. doi:10.1080/03634526709377619
- Anapol, M. M., & Hurt, H. T. (1973). Graduate study in speech 1966–1971. *Speech Teacher*, 22, 18–26. doi:10.1080/03634527309377977
- Andrews, J. R. (1982). Into the world of woe: Graduate education in speech communication in the 1980s. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 40, 19–21.
- Andrews, P. H. (1983). Creating a supportive climate for teacher growth: Developing graduate students as teachers. *Communication Quarterly*, 31, 259–265. doi:10.1080/01463378309369513
- Barker, L. L., Malandro, L. A., & Wilson, S. (1975). “Communication in life”: A real world approach to speech communication graduate education. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 40, 395–407. doi:10.1080/10417947509372281

- Barnett, G. A., Danowski, J. A., Feeley, T. H., & Stalker, J. (2010). Measuring quality in communication doctoral education using network analysis of faculty-hiring patterns. *Journal of Communication*, *60*, 388–411. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2010.01487.x
- Barnett, G. A., & Feeley, T. H. (2011). Comparing the NRC and the faculty hiring network methods of ranking doctoral programs in communication. *Communication Education*, *60*, 362–370. doi:10.1080/03634523.2011.558202
- Bassett, R. E., & Browning, L. D. (1978). Recommendations for the evaluation and improvement of graduate teaching assistants' instructional effectiveness. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *25*, 42–44.
- Bassett, R. E., & Jeffrey, R. C. (1975). A survey investigation of trends and issues in speech communication PhD programs. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *14*, 6–13.
- Bassett, R. E., & Jeffrey, R. C. (1978). A survey investigation of trends and issues in speech communication MA programs. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *26*, 22–26.
- Berg, D. (1980). Graduate education in communication: A department chairman's view. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *34*, 23–25.
- Berg, D. M. (1982). Adapting to the job market: Graduate programs in speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *39*, 14–17.
- Berg, D. M. (1988). Grade inflation in communication graduate education. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *63*, 59–61.
- Blakenship, J. (1980). Graduate education in speech communication in the 1980's: A former SCA president's view. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *34*, 26–30.
- Brown, S. C., Enos, T., Reamer, D., & Thompson, J. (2008). Portrait of the profession: The 2007 survey of doctoral programs in rhetoric and composition. *Rhetoric Review*, *27*, 331–340. doi:10.1080/07350190802339234
- Brown, W. R. (1980). A graduate director looks at communication graduate study: 1980–90. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *34*, 15–22.
- Buerkel-Rothfuss, N. L., & Gray, P. L. (1990). Graduate teaching assistant training in speech communication and noncommunication departments: A national survey. *Communication Education*, *39*, 292–307. doi:10.1080/03634529009378811
- Cheatham, R., & Jordan, W. J. (1972). An investigation of graduate assistants in teaching the college public speaking course. *Speech Teacher*, *21*, 107–114. doi:10.1080/03634527209377932
- Clark, R. A. (Ed.). (1997). A symposium on graduate education: Reflections on the SCA summer conference and beyond [Special section]. *Communication Education*, *46*, 115–133. Contains the following articles:
- Applegate, J. A., Darling, A., Sprague, J., Nyquist, J., & Andersen, J. F. (1997). An agenda for graduate education in communication: A report from the SCA 1996 summer conference. 115–120. doi:10.1080/03634529709379081
- Andersen, J. F. (1997). Graduate education trends: Implications for the communication discipline. 121–127. doi:10.1080/03634529709379082 Steinfatt,

- T. M. Predicting trends in graduate communication education. 128–133.  
doi:10.1080/03634529709379083
- Cohen, H. (1973). Graduate study and research. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 2, 3–5.
- Coward, F. L., & Miller, P. C. (2010). Navigating the graduate seminar discussion: A qualitative analysis of international students' experiences. *International Journal of Communication*, 4, 1013–1031. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Crocker, L. (1959). The Graduate Record Examination and the small college. *Speech Teacher*, 8, 246–250. doi:10.1080/03634525909377026
- Cronen, V. E. (1976). Course evaluation in the graduate program. *Communication Education*, 25, 138–147. doi:10.1080/03634527609384615
- Dedmon, D. N. (1970). A graduate course, "Teaching the first course in speech in college." A proposal. *Speech Teacher*, 19, 225–228. doi:10.1080/03634527009377824
- Dickens, M. (1950). Graduate study in speech–drama–radio in the western states. *Western Speech*, 14, 17–20. doi:10.1080/10570315009373432
- Downs, C. W., Goldberg, A. A., & Thurber, J. H. (1971). A consideration of graduate degrees in speech communication. *Central States Speech Journal*, 22, 202–206. doi:10.1080/10510977109363071
- Drummond, A. M. (1923). Graduate work in public speaking. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education*, 9, 136–146. doi:10.1080/00335632309379420
- Edwards, R., & Barker, L. (1977). A rating of doctoral programs in speech communication, 1976. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 20, 59–69.
- Edwards, R., & Barker, L. (1979). A rating of doctoral programs in speech communication, 1978: Part I. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 30, 23–34.
- Edwards, R., & Pood, E. (1987). Objective characteristics of graduate programs in speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 60, 18–28.
- Edwards, R., Watson, K. W., & Barker, L. L. (1988). A rating of doctoral programs in selected areas of speech communication: 1987–1988. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 66, 23–36.
- Eubank, W. C. (1952). The status of graduate work in speech–drama–radio in the Western states. *Western Speech*, 16, 181–184.
- Fletcher, J. E. (1988). Graduate education in mass communication: Meaningful goals. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 64, 28–33.
- Forbes, J. D. (1948). Speech and the doctoral candidate. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 34, 159–161. doi:10.1080/00335634809381378
- Freezel, J. D., & Myers, S. A. (1997). Assessing graduate assistant teacher communication concerns. *Communication Quarterly*, 45, 110–124. doi:10.1080/01463379709370055
- Getchell, C. M. (1950a). Southern graduate study in speech and theatre before 1941. *Southern Speech Journal*, 15, 222–229. doi:10.1080/10417945009371131
- Getchell, C. M. (1950b). Southern graduate study in speech and theatre from 1941 to 1950. *Southern Journal of Communication*, 15, 297–306. doi:10.1080/10417945008371144
- Getchell, C. M. (1951). Southern graduate study in speech and theatre: 1950. *Southern Speech Journal*, 16, 218–227. doi:10.1080/10417945109371176

- Getchell, C. M. (1952). Southern graduate study in speech and theatre: 1951. *Southern Speech Journal*, 18, 125–131. doi:10.1080/10417945109371261
- Getchell, C. M. (1955). Southern graduate study in speech and theatre: 1952–1954. *Southern Speech Journal*, 20, 332–344. doi:10.1080/10417945109371377
- Goldberg, A. (1977). Graduate programs in speech communication: A position paper. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 20, 38–39.
- Gray, E. (1981). Speech communication and the Graduate Record Examination at master's level institutions. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 37, 30–33.
- Gray, G. W. (1953). The development of graduate work in speech in the United States. *Speech Teacher*, 2, 173–177. doi:10.1080/03634525309376624
- Gregg, R. B. (1978). Trends in graduate education: The study of rhetoric. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 26, 27–29.
- Gronbeck, B. E. (1972a). The doctor of arts in speech communication. *Bulletin of the Associations of Departments & Administrators in Speech Communication*, 1, 13–16.
- Gronbeck, B. E. (1972b). An inventory of attitudes towards the doctor of arts in speech. *Speech Teacher*, 21, 265–272. doi:10.1080/03634527209377962
- Hart, R. P. (1979). The status of graduate study in communication [Special issue]. *Communication Education*, 28(4). Contains the following articles:
- Hauser, G. A. Searching for a bright tomorrow: Graduate education in rhetoric during the 1960s. 259–270. doi:10.1080/03634527909378366
- Delia, J. G. The future of graduate education in speech communication: A personal perspective. 271–281. doi:10.1080/03634527909378367
- Carey, J. W. Graduate education in mass communication. 282–293. doi:10.1080/03634527909378368
- Eadie, W. F. Earned degree trends in communication studies. 1960–1976, 294–300. doi:10.1080/03634527909378369
- Edwards, R., & Barker, L. Some perceptions of highly regarded doctoral programs in speech communication. 301–305. doi:10.1080/03634527909378370
- Clavier, D., Clevenger, T., Jr., Khair, S. E., & Khair, M. M. Twelve-year employment trends for speech communication graduates. 306–313. doi:10.1080/03634527909378371
- Webb, R. Jr. Admission and appointment problems in speech communication graduate programs. 314–321. doi:10.1080/03634527909378372
- Miller, G. R. The research team concept: An approach to graduate training. 322–327. doi:10.1080/03634527909378373
- DeBoer, K. B. Teacher preparation for graduate assistants. 328–331. doi:10.1080/03634527909378374
- Carleton, W. M. A rhetorical rationale for interdisciplinary graduate study in communication. 332–338. doi:10.1080/03634527909378375
- Phillips, G. M. The peculiar intimacy of graduate study: A conservative view. 339–345. doi:10.1080/03634527909378376
- Redding, W. C. Graduate education and the communication consultant: Playing God for a fee. 346–352. doi:10.1080/03634527909378377
- McCroskey, J. C. Applied graduate education: An alternative for the future. 353–358. doi:10.1080/03634527909378378



- McBath, J. H. Methods of assessing graduate programs in speech communication. 359–363. doi:10.1080/03634527909378379
- Hopper, R. The literature on graduate education: A review-essay. 364–366. doi:10.1080/03634527909378380
- Work, W. ERIC report on graduate study. 373–379. doi:10.1080/03634527909378382
- Hollis, E. V. (1948). Speech and the doctoral candidate. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 34, 373–374. doi:10.1080/00335634809381420
- Kaufman-Everett, I. & Backlund, P. M. (1981). A study of training programs for graduate teaching assistants. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 38, 49–52.
- Knower, F. H. (1937). Some present problems and next steps in graduate work in speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 23, 456–468. doi:10.1080/00335633709380297
- Knower, F. H. (1954). The Graduate Record Examinations advanced speech test. *Speech Teacher*, 3, 199–201. doi:10.1080/03634525409376691
- Lacy, S., & Fico, F. (1994). The need for quantitative research in master's degree programs. *Journalism Education*, 49(2), 29–32.
- La Ban, F. K. (1977). Graduate degree programs in speech communication: Description and assessment. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 20, 40–42.
- Larson, C. U. (1973). A case for the doctor of arts in speech communication. *Central States Speech Journal*, 24, 60–64. doi:10.1080/10510977309363144
- Mattos, S. (2010). Journalism/communication graduate education in Brazil. *Communicatio*, 36, 200–212. doi:10.1080/02500167.2010.485366
- McBath, J. H. (1970). Graduate education in speech-communication. *Speech Teacher*, 19, 21–25. doi:10.1080/03634527009377787
- McBath, J. H. (1974). Beyond Carnegie: Other inquiries into graduate education. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 9, 2–7.
- McBath, J. H. (1980). Evaluating speech communication graduate programs. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 32, 41–44.
- McEuen, V. S., Gordon, R. D., & Todd-Mancillas, W. R. (1990). A survey of doctoral education in communication research ethics. *Communication Quarterly*, 38, 281–290. doi:10.1080/01463379009369764
- Millar, D. P., Wood, D. R., & Allen, R. K. (1980). A model for multidisciplinary graduate programs in speech-communication: The master of science in administration. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 31, 53–56.
- Morreale, S., & Arneson, P. (Eds.). (2008). *Getting the most from your graduate education in communication: A student's handbook*. Washington, DC: National Communication Association.
- Mountford, R., & Reynolds, N. (1996). Rhetoric and graduate study: Teaching in a postmodern age. *Rhetoric Review*, 15, 192–214. doi:10.1080/07350199609359214
- Murray, M. D., & Moore, R. L. (Eds.). (2003). *Mass communication education*. Ames: Iowa State Press.
- Myers, S. A. (1994). The availability and helpfulness of graduate teaching assistant socialization activities. *Communication Research Reports*, 11, 221–228. doi:10.1080/08824099409359960

- Neuendorf, K. A., Skalski, P. D., Atkin, D. J., Kogler-Hill, S. E., & Perloff, R. M. (2007). The view from the Ivory Tower: Evaluating doctoral programs in communication. *Communication Reports*, 20, 24–41. doi:10.1080/08934210601180747
- Newman, J. B. (1954). Undergraduate preparation for graduate study in speech. *Central States Speech Journal*, 5, 18–20. doi:10.1080/10510975409362343
- O'Neill, J. M. (1927). A bibliographical introduction to graduate work in speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education*, 13, 39–48. doi:10.1080/00335632709379661
- Peirce, K. P., & Enos, T. J. (2006). How seriously are we taking professionalization? A report on graduate curricula in rhetoric and composition. *Rhetoric Review*, 25, 204–210. doi:10.1207/s15327981rr2502\_5
- Phillips, D. C. (1958). Graduate study and teacher supply in speech. *Speech Teacher*, 7, 104–109. doi:10.1080/03634525809376933
- Porter, D. T., & Civikly, J. M. (1972). Graduate education in 1984: Bye, bye American pie? *Today's Speech*, 20(3), 27–34. doi:10.1080/01463377209369050
- Reid, L. (1948). Graduate study and teacher placement. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 34, 177–182. doi:10.1080/00335634809381382
- Roach, D. A., & Barker, L. L. (1984a). An evaluation of masters programs in the speech communication discipline. *Communication Education*, 33, 69–71. doi:10.1080/03634528409384719
- Roach, D. A., & Barker, L. L. (1984b). An evaluation of masters programs in speech communication by region. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 47, 67–74.
- Roberts, M. M. (1966). Choosing the time and place for graduate study. *Speech Teacher*, 15, 1–7. doi:10.1080/03634526609377482
- Sayer, J. E. (Ed.). (1976). Problems in speech communication graduate education [Special section]. *Bulletin of the Association of Departments & Administrators in Speech Communication*, 16. Contains the following articles:
- Sayer, J. E. Problems in speech communication graduate education: An introduction. 49–50.
- Kneupper, C. W. Producing the ideal scholar: The challenge of developing defined competencies in an undefined expansionist discipline. 51–52.
- Julien, D. J. Practical vs. theoretical applications in speech communication graduate programs. 53–55.
- Nichols, L. Contemporary problems in speech communication graduate education: The community–junior college level. 56–59.
- Sayer, J. E. (1977). The doctor of arts in speech communication graduate education: A program review. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 22, 46–47.
- Sayer, J. E. (Ed.). (1980). Strengthening speech communication graduate education: The challenges we face in the decades ahead [Special issue]. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 31, 72–74.
- Sayer, J. E., Chase, L. J., & Mills, N. H. (1974). Graduate study in speech communication: Recommendations for equalization of roles and responsibilities. *Bulletin of the Association of Departments & Administrators in Speech Communication*, 7, 19–22.

- Sayer, J. E., Chase, L. J., & Mills, N. H. (1975). Graduate study in speech communication: The post-coursework comprehensive examination—1975. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 13, 40–42.
- Sayer, J. E., Chase, L. J., & Mills, N. H. (1976). Making graduate training marketable: The need for improved teacher-training in speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 15, 18–20.
- Seigfred, E. C. (1940). Analysis of programs of study and demands of teaching positions of M.A. graduates in speech. *Speech Monographs*, 7, 93–100.  
doi:10.1080/03637754009374878
- Shelton, M. W. (1997). Graduate study in the communication discipline: An extension of 1994 directory data. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 27, 69–75.
- Shepherd, G. J. (1983). A graduate student looks at the job market. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 46, 46–47.
- Simon, C. T. (1950). Graduate study in speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 36, 462–470. doi:10.1080/00335635009381596
- Simon, C. T. (1952). The teacher and his graduate work. *Speech Teacher*, 1, 231–236. doi:10.1080/03634526609377482
- Skeffington, J. K. (2011). Situating ourselves: The development of doctoral programs in rhetoric and composition. *Rhetoric Review*, 30, 54–71.  
doi:10.1080/07350198.2011.530114
- Stephen, T. D. (2009). Clustering research activity in communication doctoral programs: Relations of publication productivity and department size to disciplinary reputation and prestige. *Journal of Communication*, 59, 768–787.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2009.01457.x
- Stephen, T. D. (2012). The quest for practical benchmark indicators of communication doctoral program quality and reputation: Relating data from the NCA, CIOS, and NRC. *Electronic Journal of Communication*, 22(1). Retrieved from <http://www.cios.org/www/ejcmmain.htm>
- Swart, C. J. (1965). Graduate study for the public address major: A survey and report. *Speech Teacher*, 14, 319–322. doi:10.1080/03634526509377472
- Thomas, G. L. (1968). Graduate degree practices in speech. *Speech Teacher*, 17, 318–327. doi:10.1080/03634526809377701
- Trott, D., Barker, D. R., & Barker, L. L. (1988). Evaluation of masters programs in the speech communication discipline: 1988. *Communication Education*, 37, 257–262. doi:10.1080/03634528809378726
- Turman, P. D. (2001). Central States outstanding teaching award winners: “Learn to play the game”: Recommendations for being successful as a graduate teaching assistant. *Communication Studies*, 52, 266–271. doi:10.1080/10510970109388562
- Vasquez, G., & Botan, C. (1999). Models for theory-based M.A. and Ph.D. programs. *Public Relations Review*, 25, 113–122. doi: 10.1016/S0363-8111(99)80132-5
- Viner, J. (1954). A modest proposal for some stress on scholarship in graduate training. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 40, 15–23. doi: 10.1080/00335635409381937
- Weaver, R. L. (1982). The status of speech communication: Emerging trends in undergraduate and graduate education. *Communication*, 11(3), 1–5.

- Weber, K., & Martin, M. M. (2006). Pedagogy in practice: Linking the graduate research methods course with communication theory. *Communication Research Reports*, 23, 63–67. doi:10.1080/17464090500535905
- Weiss, D. W. (1991). Choices: Graduate education as preparation for life in the 21st century. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 77, 49–55.
- Whitfield, T. S., & Hickerson, C. A. (2012). Unprepared but confident for service: An exploratory study of future faculty in the area of service to the department. *Communication Research Reports*, 29, 239–249. doi:10.1080/08824096.2012.666770
- Williams, D. E., & Roach, K. D. (1992). Graduate teaching assistant perceptions of training programs. *Communication Research Reports*, 9, 183–192. doi:10.1080/08824099209359910
- Williams, F. (1979). The Annenberg School at the University of Southern California as an experiment in graduate education. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 30, 8–11.
- Witherspoon, P. D. (1979). Graduate students as teachers: Suggested administrative policies. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 30, 54–56.
- Wolfson, L. M. (1954). On selecting subjects for graduate research. *Southern Speech Journal*, 20, 37–41. doi:10.1080/10417945409371336
- B. Communication Education and Teaching: Present–1975*
- Arnold, C. C. (1985). Reflections on teaching communication. *Communication Education*, 34, 69–73. doi:10.1080/03634528509378585
- Ayers, J. (Ed.). (2002). [*Communication Education* anniversary; Special issue]. *Communication Education*, 51(4). Contains the following articles:
- Reid, L. The *Speech Teacher*: Early years. 333–336. doi:10.1080/03634520216529
- Sprague, J. *Communication Education*: The spiral continues. 337–354. doi:10.1080/03634520216532
- Dance, F. Speech and thought: A renewal. 355–359. doi:10.1080/03634520216522
- Roberts, M. M. *Communication Education* and communication education: On editing and teaching. 360–363. doi:10.1080/03634520216530
- Brown, K. From the *Speech Teacher* to *Communication Education*: Some reflections. 364–371. doi:10.1080/03634520216528
- Friedrich, G. The *Communication Education* research agenda. 372–375. doi:10.1080/03634520216523
- Daly, J. After 50: Reflecting on the future. 376–382. doi:10.1080/03634520216524
- McCroskey, L., Richmond, V., & McCroskey, J. The scholarship of teaching and learning: Contributions from the discipline of communication. 383–391. doi:10.1080/03634520216521
- Trank, D. Speech and theater education: A vanishing discipline. 392–395. doi:10.1080/03634520216526
- Clark, R. A. Learning outcomes: The bottom line. 396–404. doi:10.1080/03634520216531
- Crandall, H., & Hazel, M. Issues in *Communication Education*: An interview with Joe Ayres editor 1999–2002. 405–411. doi:10.1080/03634520216520

- Rubin, D. Binocular vision for *Communication Education*. 412–419.  
doi:10.1080/03634520216525
- Backlund, P. M. (2008). Pedagogy, communication in. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 8, pp. 3533–3539). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Bacon, W. A. (1977). Higher education and speech communication: The next decade. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 43, 9–15. doi:10.1080/10417947709372366
- Bate, B. (1976). Assertive speaking: An approach to communication education for the future. *Communication Education*, 25, 53–59. doi:10.1080/03634527609384599
- Bazerman, C. (2005). A response to Anthony Fleury’s “Liberal education and communication against the discipline”: A view from the world of writing. *Communication Education*, 54, 86–91. doi:10.1080/03634520500077099
- Bertelsen, D. A., & Goodboy, A. K. (2009). Curriculum planning: Trends in communication studies, workplace competencies, and current programs at 4-year colleges and universities. *Communication Education*, 58, 262–275.  
doi:10.1080/03634520902755458
- Bettinghaus, E. P. (1985). Future problems for the communication arts and sciences administrator. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 52, 35–36.
- Blanchard, R. O., & Christ, W. G. (1988). Professional and liberal education: An agenda for journalism and mass communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 64, 3–9.
- Book, C. L., & Pappas, E. J. (Eds.). (1985). Establishing a research agenda for communication education [Special section]. *Central States Speech Journal*, 36, 1–38. Contains the following articles:
- Book, C. L., & Pappas, E. J. Establishing a research agenda for communication education: A symposium. 1–3. doi:10.1080/10510978509363193
- Rubin, R. B., & Feezel, J. D. Teacher communication competence: Essential skills and assessment procedures. 4–13. doi:10.1080/10510978509363194
- Trank, D. M. (Ed.). The scholar’s anthology: A research agenda for communication education. 6–13. doi:10.1080/10510978509363102
- Book, C. L. Providing feedback: The research on effective oral and written feedback strategies. 14–23. doi:10.1080/10510978509363195
- Rhodes, S. C. What the communication journals tell us about teaching listening. 24–32. doi:10.1080/10510978509363196
- Van Hoeven, S. A. What we know about the development of communication competence. 33–38. doi:10.1080/10510978509363197
- Borisoff, D. (1995). Sensitizing the educational climate: Communication in the multicultural classroom. *World Communication*, 24(2), 49–58.
- Bradley, B. E., Jr. (1979). Speech communication and liberal education. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, 45, 1–11. doi:10.1080/10417947909372433
- Briggs, N., & Pinola, M. (1982). In quest of an educational philosophy for speech communication. *Florida Communication Journal*, 10(2), 14–30.
- Briggs, N. E., & Pinola, M. (1985). A consideration of five traditional educational philosophies for speech communication. *Central States Speech Journal*, 36, 305–314.  
doi:10.1080/10510978509363227

- Budd, R. W. (1985). It's time to set new directions in communication education. *Journalism Educator*, 40(3), 24–44.
- Burrell, N. (Ed.). Central States outstanding teachers award winners: Recognizing the importance of teaching [Special section]. *Communication Studies*, 52, 257–283. Contains the following articles:
- Burrell, N. Central States outstanding teachers award winners: Recognizing the importance of teaching: An introduction to our very best. 257–259. doi:10.1080/105109709388560
- Simonds, C. J. (2001). Central States Outstanding Teaching Award winners: Reflecting on the relationship between instructional communication theory and teaching practices. 260–265. doi:10.1080/10510970109388561
- Turman, P. D. Central States outstanding teaching award winners “learn to play the game”: Recommendations for being successful as a graduate teaching assistant. 266–271. doi:10.1080/105109701093885612
- Turner, P. K. Central States outstanding teaching award winners: Wisdom, eloquence, and a little bit of yourself: A philosophy for teaching. 272–277. doi:10.1080/105109701093885613
- Worley, D. W. Central States outstanding teacher award winners: A teaching philosophy. 278–283. doi:10.1080/105109701093885614
- Burton, G., & Dimpleby, R. (1990). *Teaching communication*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Christ, W. G. (Ed.). (1994). *Assessing communication education: A handbook for media, speech, and theatre educators*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cooks, L. (2001). Feminist pedagogies and resistance. *Feminist Media Studies*, 1, 380–381. doi:10.1080/14680770152649550
- Cooks, L., & Sun, C. (2002). Constructing gender pedagogies: Desire and resistance in the “alternative” classroom. *Communication Education*, 51, 293–310. doi:10.1080/03634520216517
- Cooper, P. J., & Galvin, K. M. (Eds.). (1988). *The future of speech communication education: Proceedings of the 1988 Speech Communication Association Flagstaff Conference*. Annandale, VA: Speech Communication Association.
- Craig, R. T., & Carlone, D. A. (1998). Growth and transformation of communication studies in U.S. higher education: Toward reinterpretation. *Communication Education*, 47, 67–81. doi:10.1080/0363452980937911
- Dannels, D. P. (2015). Teacher communication concerns revisited: Calling into question the gnawing pull toward equilibrium. *Communication Education*, 64, 83–106. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.978796
- Dannels, D. P., & Housley Gaffney, A. L. (2009). Communication across the curriculum and in the disciplines: A call for scholarly cross-curricular advocacy. *Communication Education*, 58, 124–153. doi:10.1080/03634520802527288
- Darling, A. L. (2003). Scholarship of teaching and learning in communication: New connections, new directions, new possibilities. *Communication Education*, 52, 47–49. doi:10.1080/03634520302458
- Deethardt, J. F. (1985). Communication at the center of future university education: Implications for innovation. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 51, 57–60.

- Droge, D., & Murphy, B. O. (Eds.). *Voices of strong democracy: Concepts and methods for service-learning in communication studies*. Washington, DC: American Association for Higher Education.
- Duff, A. S. (2003). Higher education teaching: A communication perspective. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 4, 256–270. doi:10.1177/14697874030043005
- Eaton, C. (2001). The practice of feminist pedagogy. *Feminist Media Studies*, 1, 390–391. doi:10.1080/14680770152649613
- Emmert, P. (1985). Liberal arts education and the communication arts and sciences discipline. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 53, 29–31.
- Engen, D. E. (2002). The communicative imagination and its cultivation. *Communication Quarterly*, 50, 41–57. doi:10.1080/01463370209385645
- Engleberg, I. N. (1986). Speech communication in the general education curriculum. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 55, 73–75.
- Engleberg, I. N., Emanuel, R. C., Van Horn, T., & Bodary, D. L. (2008). Communication education in U.S. community colleges. *Communication Education*, 57, 241–265. doi:10.1080/03634520701858230
- Evans, A. L., Lamar, O. S., Evans, A. M., & Evans, V. (1998). Speech across the curriculum. *Florida Communication Journal*, 26(2), 63–72.
- Fassett, D. L., & Warren, J. T. (2007). *Critical communication pedagogy*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fassett, D. L., & Warren, J. T. (Eds.). (2010). *The Sage handbook of communication and instruction*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fleury, A. (2005). Liberal education and communication against the disciplines. *Communication Education*, 54, 72–79. doi:10.1080/03634520500077032
- Freudenreich, C. (1960). The future of speech education in New York State. *Today's Speech*, 8(3), 20–22. doi:10.1080/01463376009385146
- Frey, L. R., & Palmer, D. L. (Eds.). (2014). *Teaching communication activism: Communication education for social justice*. New York, NY: Hampton Press.
- Friedrich, G. W. (1982). Speech communication as a required university course. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 42, 7–9.
- Frymier, A. B. (Ed.). (2014). The foundation and future of instructional communication [Special issue]. *Communication Education*, 63(4). Contains the following articles. In memory of James C. McCroskey, 1934–2012. 279–280. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.944816
- Frymier, A. B. Special issue: The foundation and future of instructional communication. 281–282. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.948281
- McCroskey, L. L., Teven, J. J., Minielli, M. C., & Richmond McCroskey, V. P. James C. McCroskey's instructional communication legacy: Collaborations, mentorships, teachers, and students. 283–307. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.911929
- Preiss, R. W., & Wheelless, L. R. Perspectives on instructional communication's historical path to the future. 308–328. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.910605
- Brownell, J. Elwood Murray: Pioneering methodologist in communication. 329–343. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.907436

- Morreale, S., Backlund, P., & Sparks, L. Communication education and instructional communication: genesis and evolution as fields of inquiry. 344–354.  
doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.944926
- Valenzano, J. M., III., Wallace, S. P., & Morreale, S. P. Consistency and change: The (r)evolution of the basic communication course. 355–365.  
doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.911928
- Dannels, D. P., Darling, A., Fassett, D. L., Kerssen-Griep, J., Lane, D., Mottet, T. P., . . . Sellnow, D. Inception: Beginning of new conversation about communication pedagogy and scholarship. 366–382. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.934849
- Horan, S. M., & Afifi, T. D. Advancing instructional communication: Integrating a biosocial approach. 383–404. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.934851
- Hendrix, K. G., & Wilson, C. Virtual invisibility: Race and *Communication Education*. 405–428. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.934852
- Vogl-Bauer, S. When disgruntled students go to extremes: The cyberbullying of instructors. 429–448. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.942331
- Hunt, S., Wright, A., & Simonds, C. Securing the future of communication education: Advancing an advocacy and research agenda for the 21st century. 449–461.  
doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.926016
- Garner, J. T., & Barnes, J. (2013). Connecting classrooms and community: Engaged scholarship, nonacademic voices, and organizational communication curriculum. *Communication Education*, 62, 105–126. doi:10.1080/03634523.2012.734380
- Gayle, B. M., Preiss, R. W. Burrell, N., & Allen, M. (Eds.). (2006). *Classroom communication and instructional processes: Advances through meta-analysis*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gilbert, R. A., & Cornelius, D. L. (1988). The professional communicator: Developing the speech communication core. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 66, 45–52.
- Gilchrist, J. A., & Browning, L. D. (1981). A grounded theory model for developing communication instruction. *Communication Education*, 30, 273–277.  
doi:10.1080/03634528109378479
- Heisler, J. M. (2005). The communication field in the last 70 years: Orienting students to our recent past and present. *Communication Teacher*, 19, 93–97.  
doi:10.1080/14704620500201814
- Hodge, B. (1993). *Teaching as communication*. New York, NY: Longman.
- Hostettler, G. F. (1980). Speech as a liberal study II. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 33, 16–25.
- Hutchings, P. (2003). The scholarship of teaching and learning in communication: A few words from the Carnegie Academy. *Communication Education*, 52, 57–59.  
10.1080/03634520302455
- Jackson, B. (2007). Cultivating Paideweyan pedagogy: Rhetoric education in English and communication studies. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 37, 181–201.  
doi:10.1080/02773940601021213
- Johnstone, C. L. (1990). Academic freedom in the speech communication classroom: Toward an ethics for teaching. *Association for Communication Administration*, 73, 63–70.



- Kahl, D. H., Jr. (2010). Making a difference: (Re)connecting communication scholarship with pedagogy. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 38, 298–302. doi:10.1080/00909882.2010.490845
- Kahl, D. H., Jr. (2011). Autoethnography as pragmatic scholarship: Moving critical communication pedagogy from ideology to praxis. *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 1927–1946. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Kahl, D. H., Jr. (2013). Critical communication pedagogy and assessment: Reconciling two seemingly incongruous ideas. *International Journal of Communication*, 7, 2610–2630. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Kaufman-Everett, I., & Backlund, P. M. (1980). A descriptive study of speech education programs for novice instructors. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 31, 47–52.
- Keith, W. M. (2011). We are speech teachers. *Review of Communication*, 11, 83–92. doi:10.1080/15358593.2010.547589
- Keith, W., & Lundberg, C. (2014). Creating a history for public speaking instruction. *Rhetoric & Public Affairs*, 17, 139–146. doi:10.1353/rap.2014.0004
- Kekke, R. (1999). Advancing the communication discipline in the community college environment. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 38, 151–155.
- Kirk, A. (2008). Embracing ambiguity in a critical/queer pedagogy. *Kaleidoscope*, 7, 1–22.
- Lahtinen, J. (Ed.), & Lahtinen, L. (Asst. Ed.). (1995). *Critical perspectives on communication research and pedagogy*. St. Ingbert, Germany: Röhrig Universitätsverlag.
- Lavender, T., Tufte, B., & Lemish, D. (Eds.). (2003). *Global trends in media education: Policies and practices*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Lederman, L. C. (Ed.). (1992). *Communication pedagogy: Approaches to teaching undergraduate courses in communication*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Luke, C. (1994). Feminist pedagogy and critical media literacy. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 18(2), 30–47.
- Mandziuk, R. (1991). Feminist pedagogy: Report of the 1991 Conference on Research in Gender and Communication. *Women & Language*, 14(2), 18–26.
- Matyash, O. (2015). Perspectives of Russian educators on communication and communication education in Russian universities: A survey analysis. *Russian Journal of Communication*, 7, 1–26. doi:10.1080/19409419.2015.1008941
- Mazer, J. P., & Graham, E. E. (2015). Measurement in instructional communication research: A decade in review. *Communication Education*, 64, 208–240. doi:10.1080/03634523.2014.1002509
- McCorkle, S. (1983). A general education and communication perspective of the future. *Communicator*, 13, 3–8.
- McCroskey, J. C. (Ed.). (1989). Perspectives on the interface on communication and instruction [Special issue]. *Communication Education*, 38(4). Contains the following articles:
- Friedrich, G. W. A view from the office of the SCA president. 297–302. doi:10.1080/03634528909378767
- Burgoon, M. Instruction about communication: On divorcing dame speech. 303–308. doi:10.1080/03634528909378768

- Andersen, J. F. Locating the department chair within the communication/instruction interface. 309–314. doi:10.1080/03634528909378769
- Book, C. L. Communication education: Pedagogical content knowledge needed. 315–321. doi:10.1080/03634528909378770
- Wolvin, D. R., & Engleberg, I. N. Community colleges and communication education. 322–326. doi:10.1080/03634528909378771
- Sorensen, G. Teaching teachers from east to west to look at common myths. 327–333. doi:10.1080/03634528909378772
- Nussbaum, J. F., & Prusank, D. T. The interface between human development and instructional communication. 334–344. doi:10.1080/03634528909378773
- Barker, L. L. Perspectives on communication instruction from a representative of two early doctoral programs in communication education. 345–350. doi:10.1080/03634528909378774
- Pedersen, D. J. The speech communication teacher workshop program at Penn State: Twenty years of service to classroom teachers. 351–355. doi:10.1080/03634528909378775
- Richmond, V. P. A contemporary graduate program in communication in instruction. 356–363. doi:10.1080/03634528909378776
- Staton, A. Q. The interface of communication and instruction: Conceptual considerations and programmatic manifestations. 364–371. doi:10.1080/03634528909378777
- DeWine, S., & Pearson, J. C. Communication competence among teachers: The Ohio solution. 372–376. doi:10.1080/03634528909378778
- Nyquist, J. D., Wulff, D. H., & Abbott, R. D. The interface between communication and instruction: Communication foundations for a university instructional development center. 377–386. doi:10.1080/03634528909378779
- McCroskey, J. C. (1998). The future of communication education: Communication as a content discipline. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 191–194). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- McCroskey, J. C., & Beatty, M. J. (2000). The communibiological perspective: Implications for communication in instruction. *Communication Education, 49*, 1–6. doi:10.1080/03634520009379187
- McCroskey, J. C., Richmond, V. P., & McCroskey, L. L. (2006). *An introduction to communication in the classroom: The role of communication in teaching and training*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- McCroskey, J. C., Valencic, K. M., & Richmond, V. P. (2004). Toward a general model of instructional communication. *Communication Quarterly, 52*, 197–210. doi:10.1080/01463370409370199
- Metts, S. (2014). The future of teaching interpersonal communication. *Communication Studies, 65*, 451–455. doi:10.1080/10510974.2014.927297
- Moffett, T. P., Richmond, V.P., & McCroskey, J. C. (2006). *Handbook of instructional communication: Rhetorical and relational perspectives*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Morreale, S. P., Applegate, J. L., Wolff, D. H., & Sprague, J. (2002). The scholarship of teaching and learning in communication studies, and communication scholarship in the process of teaching and learning. In M. T. Huber, & S. P. Morreale, (Eds.), *Disciplinary styles in the scholarship of teaching and learning: Exploring common*

- ground* (pp. 107–124). Washington, DC: American Association for Higher Education and The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
- Morreale, S. P., & Backlund, P. M. (2002). Communication curricula: History, recommendations, resources. *Communication Education, 51*, 2–18.  
doi:10.1080/03634520216498
- Morse, B. W., & Vogel, R. A. (1977). How can the speech communication discipline best meet the needs of the vocational student? *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 21*, 76–79.
- Mortenson, S. T. (2007). Raising the question #7: Should we teach personal transformation as a part of interpersonal communication? If so, how is it done? *Communication Education, 56*, 401–408. doi:10.1080/03634520701349198
- Novek, E. M. (1999). Service-learning is a feminist issue: Transforming communication pedagogy. *Women's Studies in Communication, 22*, 230–240.
- Nowak, A., Abel, S., & Ross, K. (Eds.). (2007). *Rethinking media education: Critical pedagogy and identity politics*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Peterson, E. E. (1991). Moving towards a gender balanced curriculum in basic speech communication courses. *Communication Education, 40*, 68–80.  
doi:10.1080/03634529109378826
- Pinola, M., & Briggs, N. (1985). Continuing the question for an educational philosophy for speech communication. *Florida Communication Journal, 13*, 22–34.
- Ragsdale, J. D. (1978). The speech communication teacher as spokesman: A rhetorical view of research and teaching. *Southern Speech Communication Journal, 44*, 1–10.  
doi:10.1080/10417947809372398
- Redding, W. C. (1985). Rocking boats, blowing whistles, and teaching speech communication. *Communication Education, 34*, 245–258.  
doi:10.1080/03634528509378613
- Rosenfeld, L. B. (Ed.). (1993). When teaching “works”: Stories of communication in education [Special issue]. *Communication education, 42*(4). Contains the following articles:
- Rosenfeld, L. B. Preface. 277–278. doi:10.1080/03634529309378935 Fisher, W. R. Foreword. 279–281. doi:10.1080/03634529309378936
- Athanases, S. Z. Cross-cultural swapping of mother and grandmother tales in a tenth grade discussion of *The Joy Luck Club*. 282–287.  
doi:10.1080/03634529309378937
- Avery, R. K., A day at Stoney Run. 288–291. doi:10.1080/03634529309378938
- Bacon, W. A. Indirections. 292–295. doi:10.1080/03634529309378939
- Crowell, L. L. Busy with birds in the springtime. 296–299.  
doi:10.1080/03634529309378940
- Downs, B. Lessons in loss and grief. 300–303. doi:10.1080/03634529309378941
- Engleberg, I. N. Frankfurters to forensics. 304–306. doi:10.1080/03634529309378942
- Gura, T. “Engage my words.” 307–309. doi:10.1080/03634529309378943
- Hart, R. P., Teaching, naturally. 310–312. doi:10.1080/03634529309378944
- Madison, D. S. Crossing comfort zones. 313–316. doi:10.1080/03634529309378945
- Pacanowsky, M. Current state of blindness. 317–323.  
doi:10.1080/03634529309378946
- Phillips, G. M. Casey has struck out. 324–328. doi:10.1080/03634529309378947

- Schrag, R. L. But then again, sometimes it works. 329–333.  
doi:10.1080/03634529309378948
- Zalewski, J. W. The *Gilgamesh* cycle. 334–336. doi:10.1080/03634529309378949
- Conquergood, D. Storied worlds and the work of teaching. 337–348.  
doi:10.1080/03634529309378950
- Sprague, J. Why teaching words: The transformative power of pedagogical communication. 349–366. doi:10.1080/03634529309378951
- Strine, M. S. Of boundaries, borders, and contact zones: Author(iz)ing pedagogical practices. 367–376. doi:10.1080/03634529309378952
- Wulff, D. H. Tales of transformation: Applying a teaching effectiveness perspective to stories about teaching. 377–397. doi:10.1080/03634529309378953
- Rubin, R. B. (2008). Educational communication. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 4, pp. 1439–1448). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Rudick, C. K., & Golsan, K. B. (2014). Revisiting the relational communication perspective: Drawing upon relational dialectics theory to map an expanded research agenda for communication and instruction scholarship. *Western Journal of Communication*, 78, 255–273. doi:10.1080/10570314.2014.905796
- Seamon, M. (2009). Ferment in the classroom? How we can benefit from the research direction of the education literature. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 63, 209–302.
- Sellnow, D. F., Limperos, A., Frisby, B. N., Sellnow, T. L., Spence, P. R., & Downs, E. (2015). Expanding the scope of instructional communication research: Looking beyond classroom contexts. *Communication Studies*, 66, 417–432.  
doi:10.1080/10510974.2015.1057750
- Simonds, C. J., & Cooper, P. J. (2011). *Communication for the classroom teacher* (9th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Sprague, J. (1992). Expanding the research agenda for instructional communication: Raising some unasked questions. *Communication Education*, 41, 1–25.  
doi:10.1080/03634529209378867
- Sprague, J. (1993). Retrieving the research agenda for communication education: Asking the pedagogical questions that are “embarrassments to theory.” *Communication Education*, 42, 106–122. doi:10.1080/03634529309378919
- Sprague, J. (1998). On nets, webs, scaffolds, and tightropes: Changing conceptions of instructional communication in the postinformation age. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 195–199). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Sprague, J. (1999). The goals of communication education. In A. L. Vangelisti, J. A. Daly, & G. W. Friedrich (Eds.), *Teaching communication: A handbook of theory, research, and methods* (2nd ed., pp. 15–30). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Sprague, J. (2008). Communication education, goals of. In W. Donsbach (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of communication* (Vol. 2, pp. 666–670). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Staton-Spicer, A. Q., & Wulff, D. H. (1984). Communication and instruction: Categorization and synthesis. *Communication Education*, 33, 377–391.  
doi:10.1080/03634528409384767

- Sullivan, K. R., & Kedrowicz, A. A. (2011). (Re)situating communication in the disciplines: Taking gender into account. *Communication Education, 60*, 389–405. doi:10.1080/03634523.2011.559551
- Taylor, K. P. (1982). Communication and the professions: What speech communication educators need to teach their students. *Florida Communication Journal, 10*, 1–6.
- Thibodeaux, T. M. (1991). *Communication/education: Effective communication for the teacher*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.
- Thomas, D. (1991). Rethinking pedagogy in public speaking and American public address: A feminist alternative. *Women's Studies in Communication, 14*(2), 42–57.
- Thompson, B. (2007). The syllabus as a communication document: Constructing and presenting the syllabus. *Communication Education, 56*, 54–71. doi:10.1080/03634520601011575
- Trank D. M. (1998). Communication education's integrative role: Providing an intersection for multiple constituencies. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 200–203). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Van Kleek, A., & Daly, J. A. (1982). Instructional communication research and theory: Communication development and instructional communication—An overview. In M. Burgoon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 5, pp. 685–715). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Vangelisti, A. L., Daly, J. A., & Friedrich, G. W. (Eds.). (1999). *Teaching communication: A handbook of theory, research, and methods* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Waldeck, J. H., Kearney, P., & Plax, T. G. (2001). Instructional and development communication theory and research in the 1990s: Extending the agenda for the 21st century. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 24, pp. 207–229). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Ward, S. A. (1981). Educating the communication specialist of the future: An interdisciplinary approach. *Communication Education, 30*, 287–296. doi:10.1080/03634528109378483
- Wardrope, W. J. (1999). A curricular profile of U.S. communication departments. *Communication Education, 48*, 256–258. doi:10.1080/03634529909379173
- Wheless, L. R., & Thomas, H. H. (1979). Instructional communication theory and research: An overview of instructional strategies and instructional communication systems. In D. Nimmo (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 3, pp. 525–541). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Winslow, L. (2015). The undeserving professor: Neoliberalism and the reinvention of higher education. *Rhetoric & Public Affairs, 18*, 201–245.
- Witt, P. L. (2012). The future of *Communication Education*. *Communication Education, 61*, 1–3. doi:10.1080/03634523.2012.645391
- Work, W. (1982). Communication education for the twenty-first century. *Communication Education, 30*, 265–269. doi:10.1080/01463378209369460
- Zakel, L. E., & Wynn, D. R. (2000). The future of communication education in the community college. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration, 29*, 120–130.

C. *Communication Education and Teaching: 1975 and Earlier*

- Anderson, B. A. (1939). The place of speech in a “core curriculum.” *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 25, 17–20. doi:10.1080/00335633909380432
- Anderson, H. R. (1943). Rethinking the college speech curriculum. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 29, 354–359. doi:10.1080/00335633909380905
- Auer, J. J. (1961). Teacher supply and demand in the field of speech 1960. *Speech Teacher*, 10, 53–58. doi:10.1080/03634526109377130
- Baird, A. C. (1938). The educational philosophy of the teacher of speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 24, 546–553. doi:10.1080/00335633809380406
- Baird, A. C. (1967). Speech models and liberal education. *Speech Teacher*, 16, 1–10. doi:10.1080/03634526709377559
- Baird, J. E., Jr. (1971). “Thoughts concerning education”: John Locke on teaching speech. *Speech Teacher*, 20, 275–279. doi:10.1080/03634527109377900
- Barnes, H. G. (1952). Basic concepts of speech education. *Speech Teacher*, 1, 14–19. doi:10.1080/036345252093764523
- Berry, M. E. (1946). A liberal education for the teacher of speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 32, 287–291. doi:10.1080/00335634609381204
- Boase, P. H. (1965). Speech in the liberal arts college. *Central States Speech Journal*, 16, 23–27. doi:10.1080/10510976509362767
- Borchers, G. L. (1968). John Dewey and speech education. *Western Speech*, 32, 127–137. doi:10.1080/10570316809389561
- Braden, W. W. (1966). Teachers of speech as communicators. *Speech Teacher*, 15, 91–98. doi:10.1080/03634526609377501
- Braden, W. W. (Ed.). (1969). Advice for the beginning teacher of speech: A symposium. *Speech Teacher*, 18, 259–275. Includes the following articles:  
 Mitchell, W. B. Planning the course. 259–262  
 Kenner, F. Motivating students. 263–264  
 Metcalf, M. P. Discipline. 265–268  
 Collins, B. M. The use of audio-visual aids. 269–272  
 Werrich, D. Q. Participating in community affairs. 273–275
- Brigance, W. N. (1950). What areas of speech should be required or suggested for an undergraduate major? *Central States Speech Journal*, 1, 16–19. doi:10.1080/10510975009362259
- Cable, W. A. (1934). Speech education tomorrow. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 20, 383–402. doi:10.1080/00335633409380039
- Carpenter, R. H. (1970). Toward the ideal teacher teachers of speech. *Speech Teacher*, 19, 229–231. doi:10.1080/03634527009377825
- Clark, D. L. (1950). The place of rhetoric in a liberal education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 36, 291–295. doi:10.1080/00335635009381575
- Coulton, T. E. (1937). Recent trends in college speech curricula. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 23, 603–613. doi:10.1080/00335633709380313
- Crandell, S. J. (1950). Speech and education. *Western Speech*, 14(3), 3–7. doi:10.1080/10570315009373428
- Dance, F. E. X. (1958). Speech education in the university of utopia. *Speech Teacher*, 7, 151–153. doi:10.1080/03634525809376944

- Ecroyd, D. H. (1971). Recommended readings in speech communication education: Three views. *Today's Speech*, 19, 17–27. doi:10.1080/01463377109368960
- Erickson, M. (1965). Shall we have a B.A. degree with work in one field of speech only? *Speech Teacher*, 14, 171–177. doi:10.1080/03634526509377441
- Farma, W. J. (1926). Speech training in progressive education. *American Speech*, 1, 484–489. doi:10.2307/452756
- Gibson, J. W., Gruner, C. R., Brooks, W. D., & Petrie, C. R. (1971). The first course in speech: A survey of U.S. colleges and universities. *Speech Teacher*, 20, 13–15. doi:10.1080/03634527009377786
- Gooch, F. K. (1952). Speech education in the first quarter of the 20th century. *Southern Speech Journal*, 17, 192–199. doi:10.1080/10417945209371222
- Gray, G. W. (1949). Research in the history of speech education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 35, 156–163. doi:10.1080/00335634909361472
- Gray, G. W. (1956). A bibliography of studies in the history of speech education from 1925. *Speech Teacher*, 5, 1–20. doi:10.1080/03634525609376773
- Harrington, E. W. (1955). The role of speech in liberal education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 41, 219–222. doi:10.1080/00335635509382070
- Hayworth, D. (1928). The development of the training of public speakers in America. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 14, 489–502. doi:10.1080/00335632809379763
- Heffner, H. (1947). Speech and a liberal education. *Western Speech*, 11, 10–16.
- Henning, J. H. (1957). The need for speech education. *Today's Speech*, 5, 3. doi:10.1080/01463375709384979
- Hogstrom, H. R. (1961). Old wine in new bottles or a modest proposal for the revision of the speech curriculum. *Speech Teacher*, 10, 194–199. doi:10.1080/03634526109377157
- Hunt, E. L. (1949). Rhetoric and general education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 35, 275–279. doi:10.1080/00335634909381492
- Johnson, J. H., & O'Day Bechtle, D. (1949). Why teach speech? *Education*, 69, 296–298.
- Kalp, E. S. (1937). Some principles of speech education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 23, 296–299. doi:10.1080/00335633709380276
- Kim, J. F., & Taylor, P. M. (1968). A liberal arts approach to speech. *Speech Teacher*, 17, 34–37. doi:10.1080/03634526809377641
- Klapper, P. A., Anderson, P. R., Emmons, L. C., Jones, H. M., Demos, R., . . . Hunt, E. L. (1949). A symposium on rhetoric and general education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 35, 419–426. doi:10.1080/00335634909381514
- Kramer, M. (1948). The role of speech in education: A re-evaluation. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 34, 123–127. doi:10.1080/00335633709381373
- Marshman, J. T. (1955). Trends in speech education in the last half century. *Speech Teacher*, 4, 79–86. doi:10.1080/03634525509376727
- McEwen, R. W., Hollinshead, B. S., Perrin, P. G., Weaver, A. T., Brigance, W. N., Wallace, K. R., . . . Leyden, R. C. (1950). Rhetoric and general education: A symposium continued. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 36, 1–9. doi:10.1080/00335635009381535
- Monroe, R. (1967). Renewal of a public philosophy: Role of teachers of speech. *Speech Teacher*, 16, 38–46. doi:10.1080/03634526709377566

- Murray, J. (1948). The progress of speech instruction in American colleges and universities. *Western Speech*, 12(2), 3–10.
- Norvelle, L. (1935). Fundamental objectives of a teacher of speech in 1935. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 21, 73–81. doi:10.1080/00335633509380077
- Norwood, E. (1975). A rationale for effective teacher education in speech communication. *Speech Teacher*, 24, 169–171. doi:10.1080/03634527509378151
- O’Neill, J. M. (1918). Aims and standards in speech education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education*, 4, 345–365. doi:10.1080/00335631809360693
- O’Neill, J. M. (1936). Speech in the changing curriculum. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 22, 183–186. doi:10.1080/003356330609380182
- Parson, D. W., & Berg, D. M. (1968). The relationship of rhetoric and education: An Aristotelian view. *Southern Speech Journal*, 33, 328–332. doi:10.1080/10417946809371962
- Parsteck, B. J. (1953). Speech at the core of the core curriculum. *Speech Teacher*, 2, 283–286. doi:10.1080/03634525309376646
- Peterson, G. E. (1941). The speech curriculum. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 27, 521–525. doi:10.1080/003356330609380695
- Phelps, W. W. (Ed.). (1957). Teaching speech [Special section]. *Western Speech*, 21, 133–152. Includes the following articles:  
 Grayum, H., S. Speech in the primary grades. 134–137.  
 Dobkin, B. Speech in the sixth grade. 137–141.  
 Crawcroft, J. Speech and English in the junior high school. 142–145.  
 Hanks, L. D. Speech in the history class. 145–149.  
 Carr, M. W. The high school speech course. 150–152.
- Pierce, H. R. (1936). Should speech be taught in our secondary schools? *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 22, 400–404. doi:10.1080/003356330609380212
- Roberts, C. L., & Becker, S. L. (1975). Communication and teaching effectiveness. *Florida Communication Journal*, 3(2), 22–27.
- Robinson, K. F. (1956). What do we mean—Speech education? *Education*, 77, 69–73.
- Root, A. R. (1926). Shaping the curriculum in speech education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech Education*, 12, 129–139. doi:10.1080/00335632609379612
- Schmidt, R. N. (1956). A philosophy to guide us in teaching public speaking. *Speech Teacher*, 5, 1–7. doi:10.1080/03634525609376772
- Seifrit, W. C. (1961). The general speech major: Ten years later. *Speech Teacher*, 10, 35–40. doi:10.1080/03634526109377126
- Skinner, T. (1961). A study of speech major requirements. *Speech Teacher*, 10, 302–303. doi:10.1080/03634526109377178
- Smith, D. K. (1961). What are the contemporary trends in teaching speech? *Speech Teacher*, 10, 87–94. doi:10.1080/03634526109377140
- Sommers, E. (1952). Is the communication approach in teaching anything new? *Journal of Communication*, 2, 53–56. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1952.tb00179.x
- Tauber, A. (1934). A guide to the literature of speech education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 20, 507–524. doi:10.1080/00335633409380052
- Trauernicht, M. M. (1973). Needed: Historical research in speech education. *Speech Teacher*, 22, 318–321. doi:10.1080/03634527309378038



- Vogelsang, R., & Steward, L. (1973). Current trends in speech communication undergraduate education and teaching programs: Report on regional trends. *Bulletin of the Association of Departments & Administrators in Speech Communication*, 5, 11–13.
- Walker, P. A., & Emery, W. B. (1944). Postwar communications and speech education. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 30, 399–402. doi:10.1080/00335634409381025
- Wallace, K. R. (1950). Education and speech education tomorrow. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 36, 177–183. doi:10.1080/00335634409381559
- Wallace, K. R. (Ed.). (1954). *History of speech education in America: Background studies*. New York, NY: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Wallace, K. (1968). Goals, concepts, and the teacher of speech. *Speech Teacher*, 17, 91–100. doi:10.1080/03634526809377654
- Walter, O. M. (1962). On the teaching of speech as a force in Western culture. *Speech Teacher*, 11, 1–9. doi:10.1080/03634526209377186
- Weaver, J. C. (1932). A survey of speech curricula. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 18, 607–642. doi:10.1080/00335633209379908
- West, R. (1925). The modern university and speech. *American Speech*, 1, 167–169. doi:10.2307/452541
- Wiksell, M. J. (1958). Sacred cows in the field of speech. *Speech Teacher*, 7, 236–240. doi:10.1080/03634525809376960
- Williamson, A. (1933). The speech curriculum. *Americana Speech*, 8, 36–41. doi:10.2307/3181902
- Woolbert, C. H. (1923). The teaching of speech as an academic discipline. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 9, 1–18. doi:10.1080/00335632309379407

## November 4

### A. Publishing Research: Publish and/or Perish?

#### 1. Issues

- Adams, R. (2013). *Demystify your thesis*. Unpublished manuscript.
- Alexander, A., & Potter, W. J. (2001). *How to publish your communication research: An insider's guide*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Allen, M. (Ed.). (2003). What constitutes publishable rhetorical scholarship [Special section]. *Communication Studies*, 54, 354–402. Contains the following articles:
- Allen, M. Heavy lies the editor's fingers on the keyboard. 354–358. doi:10.1080/10510970309363291
- Berkowitz, S. J. Originality, conversation and reviewing rhetorical criticism. 359–363. doi:10.1080/10510970309363292
- Brummett, B. Double binds in publishing rhetorical studies. 364–369. doi:10.1080/10510970309363293
- Gunn, J. Publishing peccadilloes and idioms of disposition: Views from the habitus of scholarly adolescence. 370–377. doi:10.1080/10510970309363294
- Hunt, S. B. An essay on publishing standards for rhetorical criticism. 374–384. doi:10.1080/10510970309363295
- Palczewski, C. H. What is “good criticism”? A conversation in progress. 385–391. doi:10.1080/10510970309363296

- Jordan, J. W., Olson, K. M., & Goldzwig, S. R. Continuing the conversation on “what constitutes publishable rhetorical criticism?”: A response. 392–402. doi:10.1080/10510970309363297
- Applegate, J. L. (2001, June). Janus-faced journals and engaged publications: Journals do not fulfill their dual function. *Spectra*, pp. 2–3.
- Arnold, W. E., & Lee, J.-W. (1974). Academic convention as an epistemic community. *Bulletin of the Association of Departments and Administrators in Speech Communication*, 9, 24–31.
- Arrington, P. (1995). Some thoughts on changing the review process for academic journals: A personal exploration. *Rhetoric Review*, 13, 249–253.
- Bârela, P. G. (2015). Academic journals of media and communication—between scientific rigor and compromise. *Romanian Journal of Journalism & Communication*, 10, 43–55.
- Bassett, L. E. (1933). Why conventions? *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 19, 561–566. doi:10.1080/00335633309379985
- Bazerman, C. (1988). Codifying the social scientific style: The APA *Publication Manual* as a behaviorist rhetoric. In C. Bazerman (Ed.), *Shaping written knowledge: The genre and activity of the experimental article in science* (pp. 125–144). Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Benson, T. W. (1990). Academic freedom and scholarly journals in speech communication: An editor’s perspective. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 73, 71–81.
- Benson, T. W. (2012). A scandal in academia: Sextext and CRTNET. *Western Journal of Communication*, 76, 2–16. doi:10.1080/10570314.2012.637464
- Berquist, G. F. (1985). Why publish? *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 51, 27–29.
- Blair, C., Brown, J. R., & Baxter, L. A. (1994). Disciplining the feminine. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 80, 383–409. doi:10.1080/00335639409384084
- Bourhis, J., Adams, C., & Titsworth, S. (2009). *Style manual for communication studies* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Brabham, D. C. (2007). Within reach: Publishing as a graduate student. *Rocky Mountain Communication Review*, 3(2), 42–46.
- Brown, W. R. (1985). Publish what? *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 51, 30–34.
- Calafell, B. M., & Moreman, S. T. (2009). Envisioning an academic readership: Latina/o performatives per the form of publication. *Text & Performance Studies*, 29, 123–130. doi:10.1080/10462930902774833
- Callaghan, J. C. (1960). Why on Earth do people go to conventions? *Today’s Speech*, 8(1), 10–12. doi:10.1080/01463376009385113
- Cheatham, T. R., Edwards, J. R., & Erickson, V. (1982). The doctoral dissertation in speech communication: An inventory of attitudes and experiences. *Communication Education*, 31, 315–323. doi:10.1080/03634528209384699
- Chesebro, J. W. (1993). How to get published. *Communication Quarterly*, 41, 373–382. doi:10.1080/01463379309369898
- Bach, T. E., Blair, C., Nothstine, W. L., & Pym, A. L. (1996). How to read “How to get published.” *Communication Quarterly*, 44, 399–422.

doi:10.1080/01463379609370028

- Dutta, M. J. (2006). The ten commandments of reviewing: The promise of a kinder, gentler discipline! *Journal of Health Communication*, 20, 197–200.  
doi:10.1207/s15327027hc2002\_11
- Ellis, C. (1998). What counts as scholarship in communication? An autoethnographic response. *American Communication Journal*, 1(2). Retrieved from <http://www.acjournal.org>
- Enos, R. L. (1995). How rhetoric journals are shaping our community. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 28, 431–436.
- Farmer, J. D. (1998). Scholarly communities and the discipline of the communication discipline. *Southern Communication Journal*, 63, 169–172.  
doi:10.1080/10417949809373087
- Foss, S. A., & Waters, W. (2008). Writing a thesis/dissertation: Transitioning from reporter to scholar. In S. Morreale & P. Arneson (Eds.), *Getting the most from your graduate education in communication: A student's handbook* (pp. 97–107). Washington, DC: National Communication Association.
- Goggin, M. D. (1997). Composing a discipline: The role of scholarly journals in the disciplinary emergence of rhetoric and composition since 1950. *Rhetoric Review*, 15, 332–348. doi:10.1080/07350199709359222
- Gonzalez, A., & Huang, S. (1994). Expanding the knowledge base: Reconsidering the communication literature. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 3, 160–169.
- Gouran, D. S. (1985). Publish how? *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 51, 35–39.
- Green, L. (1990). Publish and be hired. *Australian Journal of Communication*, 17(2), 1–10.
- Greene, J. O. (2008). Getting published: Four keys to participating in the scholarly dialogue. In S. Morreale & P. Arneson (Eds.), *Getting the most from your graduate education in communication: A student's handbook* (pp. 109–120). Washington, DC: National Communication Association.
- Ha, L., Yang, C., Fang, L., Zhang, T., Chattopadhyay, D., & Wang, F. (2015). How media scholars' attributes affect their ratings of *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 92, 221–234. doi:10.1177/1077688015573269
- Hanitzsch, T. (2013). Writing for *Communication Theory*. *Communication Theory*, 23, 1–9. doi:10.1111/comt.12004
- Hendrix, K. G. (2005). An invitation to dialogue: Do communication journal reviewers mute the race-related research of scholars of color? *Southern Communication Journal*, 70, 329–345. doi:10.1080/10417940509373338
- Hendrix, K. G. (Ed.). (2010). Accepting the invitation to dialogue: The communication discipline, journal review process, and race/ism-related research [Special issue]. *Southern Communication Journal*, 75(2). Contains the following articles:  
Hendrix, G. H. Dialoguing with the “communication chorus”: Mapping the contours of “the morass.” 127–136. doi:10.1080/10417941003613214

- Chang, H.-C., & Holt, R. Expectations and personal cultural knowledge: Redefining Asian scholars' research efforts. 137–149. doi:10.1080/10417941003613222
- Simpson, J. L. Blinded by the White: Challenging the notion of a color-blind meritocracy in the academy. 150–159. doi:10.1080/10417941003613248
- McPhail, M. L. Dark *menexenus*: Black opportunism in an age of racial anxiety. 160–170. doi:10.1080/10417941003613255
- Yep, G. A. Toward the de-subjugation of racially marked knowledges in communication. 171–175. doi:10.1080/10417941003613263
- Starosta, W. J. Reflections on “race” and publications in communication journals: The case of *The Howard Journal of Communications*. 176–179. doi:10.1080/10417941003613271
- Hart, J. L. What's the story? What's the song?: Voices and narratives of the past, present, and future. 180–183. doi:10.1080/10417941003613289
- Orbe, M. P., Smith, D. C., Groscurth, C. R., & Crawley, R. L. Exhaling so that we can catch our breath and sing: Reflections on issues inherent in publishing race-related communication research. 184–194. doi:10.1080/10417941003613305
- Holcomb, C. (2005). Performative stylistics and the question of academic prose. *Rhetoric Review*, 24, 188–206. doi:10.1207/s15327981rr2402\_4
- Hyland, K. (1996). Talking to the academy: Forms of hedging in science research articles. *Written Communication*, 14, 251–281. doi:10.1177/0741088396013002004
- Hylton, C. (1983). The *ICA yearbook*: A critique. *Journal of Communication*, 33(4), 121–122. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02442.x
- Jowett, G. S. (1983). The *ICA yearbook*: A response. *Journal of Communication*, 33(4), 122–123. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02443.x
- Knapp, M. L., & Daly, J. A. (2010). *A guide to publishing in scholarly communication journals* (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Lagoze, C., Edwards, P., Sandvig, C., & Plantin, J.-C. (2015). Should I stay or should I go? Alternative infrastructures in scholarly publishing. *International Journal of Communication*, 9, 1052–1071. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Lauf, E. (2005). National diversity of major international journals in the field of communication. *Journal of Communication*, 55, 139–151. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2005.tb02663.x
- McLuskie, E. (1984). What do some leading journals and yearbooks tell us about the field? *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 18, 12–14.
- Musambira, G., Collins, S., Brown, T., & Voss, K. (2012). From “publish or perish” to “grant or perish”: Examining grantsmanship in communication and the pressures on communication faculty to procure external funding for research. *Journalism & Mass Communication Education*, 67, 234–251. doi:10.1177/1077695812454109
- Nelson, C. K. (2001). The haunting of communication research by dead metaphors: For reflexive analyses of the communication literature. *Language & Communication*, 21, 245–272. doi:10.1016/S0271-5309(01)00003-9

- Neuman, W. R., Davidson, R., Joo, S.-H., Park, Y. J., & Williams, A. E. (2008). The seven deadly sins of communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 58, 220–227. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00382.x
- Nilsen, T. R. (1978). WSCA publications: A retrospective view. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 42, 177–191. doi:10.1080/10570317809373937
- Nuyen, A. T. (1995). The rhetoric of feminist writings. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 28, 69–82.
- Ono, K. A. (1997). A letter/essay I've been longing to write in my personal/academic voice. *Western Journal of Communication*, 61, 114–125. doi:10.1080/10570319709374566
- Orme, S. (2012). Questions surrounding questions: A rhetorical analysis of the use of research questions in academic writing. *National Forensic Journal*, 30, 53–58. Retrieved from <http://www.nationalforensics.org/national-forensic-journal>
- Resolved: The reading of papers at the convention is a poor substitute for publication. (1983). *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 44, 9–11. Contains the following articles: Becker, S. L. The affirmative, 9–11 Trent, J. D. The negative. 12–13.
- Roen, D. H., Villanueva, V., Brown, S., Kirsch, G., Adams, J., Wyche-Smith, S., & Helsley, S. (1995). Revising for publication: Advice to graduate students and other junior scholars. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 25, 237–246. doi:10.1080/02773949509391047
- Rosenfeld, L. B., Stacks, D. W., & Hickson, M., III. (1990). Perceptions of professional associations, II: Role and impact of professional outlets on faculty development. *Communication Education*, 39, 171–180. doi:10.1080/03634529009378800
- Rushing, J. H., & Frenz, T. S. (1999). The gods must be crazy: The denial of descent in academic scholarship. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 85, 229–246. doi:10.1080/00335639909384259
- Ryan, M. (1982). Evaluating scholarly manuscripts in journalism and communication. *Journalism Quarterly*, 59, 273–285. doi:10.1177/107769908205900213
- Schöenbach, K., & Lauf, E. (2006). Are national communication journals still necessary? A case study and some suggestions. *Communications*, 31, 447–454. doi:10.1515/COMMUN.2006.028
- Sefcovic, E. M. I., & Bifano, D. T. (2004). Creating a rhetorical home for feminists in the “master’s house” of the academy: Toward a gendered taxonomy of form and content. *Women & Language*, 27, 53–62.
- Shea, R. H. (Ed.). (2002, March). The future of academic publishing [Special issue]. *Spectra*. Contains the following articles.  
 Shea, R. H. Introduction to special issue. 1.  
 West, R. President’s message. 2.  
 Shockley-Zalabak, P. Tenure and promotion in the 21st century academy: A chancellor’s perspective. 3–7.  
 Armstrong, T. The changing landscape for academic book publishing. 8–12.  
 Beatty, M. J., & Feeley, T. H. Journal impact factors: Uses and misuses. 13–18.  
 Burton, Exploring the landscape of digital publishing. 19–23.
- Smagorinsky, P. (2008). The method section as conceptual epicenter in constructing social science research reports. *Written Communication*, 25, 389–411. doi:10.1177/0741088308317815

- Snyder, L., & LePoire, B. A. (2002). Writing your first successful grant application to conduct communication research. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 30, 321–333. doi:10.1080/00909880216596
- Soley, L., & Feldner, S. B. (2006). Transparency in communication: An examination of communication journals' conflict-of-interest policies. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 30, 209–228.
- Striphas, T. (2010). Acknowledged goods: Cultural studies and the politics of academic journal publishing. *Communication & Critical/Cultural Studies*, 7, 3–25. doi:10.1080/14791420903527798
- Striphas, T. (2012). Performing scholarly communication. *Text & Performance Quarterly*, 32, 78–84. doi:10.1080/10462937.2011.631405
- Taylor, A., & Decapua, A. (1999). What are the responsibilities of feminist academic publishers? *Women & Language*, 22, 4–23.
- Thoney, T. (2012). “That’s beyond the scope of this paper”: Analyzing the functions of a familiar phrase in academic writing. *Rhetoric Review*, 31, 309–326. doi:10.1080/07350198.2012.684002
- Turkiewicz, K. L., Kim, J., Tenzek, K. E., & Herrman, A. R. (2010). Behind the scenes: Life as an editorial assistant. *Communication Monographs*, 77, 452–459. doi:10.1080/03637751.2010.523603
- Waisbord, S. (2015). My vision for the *Journal of Communication*. *Journal of Communication*, 65, 585–588. doi:10.1111/jcom.12169
- Walker, E., & Lucas, S. E. (1971). Toward a limited theory of imaginative reading of academic writing. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 57, 454–458. doi:10.1080/00335637109383091
- Witte, K. (1996). Notes from the field: Does publishing in academic journals make a difference? *Journal of Health Communication*, 1, 221–226. doi:10.1080/108107396128158

## 2. Publication Bibliometrics/Statistics

- Barker, L., Hall, R., Roach, D., & Underberg, L. (1979). An investigation of articles produced in the communication discipline by institution: 1970 through 1978 part I. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 8, 18–22.
- Barker, L., Hall, R., Roach, D., & Underberg, L. (1980). An investigation of articles produced in the communication discipline by institution: A journal by journal, year by year analysis part II. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 9, 37–48.
- Barker, L., Hall, R., Roach, D., & Underberg, L. (1981). An investigation of articles produced in the communication discipline: An institutional analysis on the basis of highest degree conferred—Part III. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 37, 34–39.
- Barker, L. L., Ray, V. O., Watson, K. W., & Hall, R. N. (1988). An investigation of the quantity of articles produced in the communication discipline: An institutional analysis on the basis of highest degree conferred. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 17, 31–38.

- Barnett, G. A., Huh, C., Kim, Y., & Park, H. W. (2011). Citations among communication journals and other disciplines: A network analysis. *Scientometrics*, 88, 449–469. doi:10.1007/s11192-011-0381-2
- Beatty, M. J., Feeley, T. H., & Dodd, M. D. (2012). Journal impact factor or intellectual influence? A content analysis of citation use in *Communication Monographs* and *Human Communication Research* (2007–2009). *Public Relations Review*, 38, 174–176. doi:10.1016/j.pubrev.2011.08.005
- Bodon, J., Powell, L., & Hickson, M., III. (1999a). An analysis of book versus article productivity based on top researchers careers. *Communication Research Reports*, 16, 213–222. doi:10.1080/08824099909388720
- Bodon, J., & Powell, L., & Hickson, M., III (1999b). Critiques of gatekeeping in scholarly journals: An analysis of perceptions and data. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 28, 60–70.
- Bolkan, S., Griffin, D. J., Holmgren, J. L., & Hickson, M., III. (2012). Prolific scholarship in communication studies: Five years in review. *Communication Education*, 61, 380–394. doi:10.1080/03634523.2012.699080
- Bunz, U. (2005). Publish or perish: A limited author analysis of ICA and NCA journals. *Journal of Communication*, 55, 703–720. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2005.tb03018.x
- Burroughs, N. F., Christophel, D., Ady, J. C., & McGreal, E. (1989). Top published authors in communication studies. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 67, 37–45.
- Carpenter, S. (2008). A study of graduate student authorship in journalism and mass communication journals: 1997–2006. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 63, 224–240. doi:10.1177/107769580806300303
- Cherwitz, R. A., & Daly, J. A. (1981). Filtering the field's knowledge: Affiliations and backgrounds of editorial board members in speech communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 37, 49–54.
- Dimitrova, D. V., & Bugeja, M. (2007). The half-life of internet references cited in communication journals. *New Media & Society*, 9, 811–826. doi:10.1177/1461444807081226
- Dupagne, M., & Potter, W. J. (1993). A content analysis of women's published mass communication research, 1965–1989. *Journalism Quarterly*, 70, 815–823. doi:10.1177/107769909307000407
- Erickson, K. V., Fleuriet, C. A., & Hosman, L. A. (1993). Prolific publishing: Professional and administrative concerns. *Southern Communication Journal*, 58, 328–338. doi:10.1080/10417949309372915
- Feeley, T. H. (2008). A bibliometric analysis of communication journals from 2002 to 2005. *Human Communication Research*, 34, 505–521. doi:10.1111/j.14682958.2008.00330.x
- Feeley, T. H., & Moon, S.-II. (2010). Update on journal impact ratings in communication: 2006–2008. *Communication Research Reports*, 27, 355–364. doi:10.1080/08824096.2010.518920
- Feeley, T. H., Moon, S.-II., & Chen, Y. (2012). Does publishing in high-impact journals in communication matter? *Electronic Journal of Communication*, 22(1). Retrieved from <http://www.cios.org/www/ejcmmain.htm>

- Foote, J. B., & Foote, J. S. (2011). A tipping point for electronic journals? A comparison of highly cited journals in six disciplines. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 66, 231–242.
- Funkhouser, E. T. (1996). The evaluative use of citation analysis for communication journals. *Human Communication Research*, 22, 563–574. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1996.tb00379.x
- Hazelton, V., & Cragan, J. (1981). The effect of manuscript characteristics on publication decisions in communication journals. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 37, 60–67.
- Hickson, M., III. (1991). Prolific scholarship in mass communication: 1924–1985. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 75, 75–80.
- Hickson, M., III. (1996). A response to Erickson, Fleuriet, and Hosman’s “Prolific publishing: Professional and administrative concerns.” *Southern Communication Journal*, 61, 265–270. doi:10.1080/10417949609373021
- Hickson, M., III, Bodon, J., & Turner, J. (2004). Research productivity in communication: An analysis, 1915–2001. *Communication Quarterly*, 52, 323–333. doi:10.1080/01463370409370203
- Hickson, M., III, Hill, S. R., Jr., & Fulmer, E. W. (1987). The presentation of convention papers as an indicator of the quantity of faculty productivity. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 60, 29–32.
- Hickson, M., III, Self, W. R., Johnston, J. R., Peacock, C., & Bodon, J. (2009). Prolific research in communication studies: Retrospective and prospective views. *Communication Research Reports*, 26, 337–346. doi:10.1080/08824090903293726
- Hickson, M., III, Stacks, D. W., & Amsbary, J. H. (1989). An analysis of prolific scholarship in speech communication, 1915–1985: Toward a yardstick for measuring research productivity. *Communication Education*, 38, 230–236. doi:10.1080/03634528909378759
- Hickson, M., III, Stacks, D. W., & Amsbary, J. H. (1992a). Active prolific female scholars in communication: An analysis of research productivity, II. *Communication Quarterly*, 40, 350–356. doi:10.1080/01463379209369851
- Hickson, M., III, Stacks, D. W., & Amsbary, J. H. (1992b). Administrator-scholars in speech communication: An analysis of research productivity, II. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 79, 72–80.
- Hickson, M., III, Stacks, D. W., & Amsbary, J. H. (1993). Active prolific scholars in communication studies: Analysis of research productivity, II. *Communication Education*, 42, 224–233. doi:10.1080/03634529309378930
- Hickson, M., III, Stacks, D. W., & Bodon, J. (1999). The status of research productivity in communication: 1915–1995. *Communication Monographs*, 66, 178–197. doi:10.1080/03637759909376471
- Hickson, M., III, Stacks, D. W., Scott, R. L., & Amsbary, J. H. (1992). Scholarship in mass communication, 1915–1990: An analysis of active researchers’ productivity. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 82, 13–17.
- Hickson, M., III, Turner, J., & Bodon, J. (2003). Research productivity in communication: An analysis, 1996–2001. *Communication Research Reports*, 4, 308–319. doi:10.1080/08824090309388830



- Knobloch-Westerwick, S., & Glynn, C. J. (2013). The Matilda effect—role congruity effects on scholarly communication: A citation analysis of *Communication Research* and *Journal of Communication* articles. *Communication Research*, *40*, 3–26. doi:10.1177/0093650211418337
- Kramer, M. W., Hess, J. A., & Reid, L. D. (2007). Trends in communication scholarship: An analysis of four representative NCA and ICA journals over the last 70 years. *Review of Communication*, *7*, 299–240. doi:10.1080/15358590701482024
- Levine, T. R. (2010). Rankings and trends in citation patterns of communication journals. *Communication Education*, *59*, 41–51. doi:10.1080/03634520903296825
- McCallum, K. (1984). Research/publication productivity of speech communication departments. *Southern Speech Communication Journal*, *49*, 135–142. doi:10.1080/10417948409372595
- Milojević, A., Kleu, J., & Ninković, D. (2013). Methodological approaches to study of interactivity in communication journals. *Comunicar*, *41*, 93–102. doi:10.2916/C412013-09
- Musambira, G. W. (2000a). Top convention paper productivity in the U.S.: Analysis of National Communication Association (NCA) and International Communication Association (ICA) awards, 1994–1998. *Communication Education*, *49*, 284–296. doi:10.1080/03634520009379216
- Musambira, G. (2000b). Towards establishing a yardstick for measuring student research article productivity in communication studies. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, *29*, 213–221.
- Musambira, G. W., & Hastings, S. O. (2008). Editorial board membership as scholarly productivity: An analysis of selected ICA and NCA journals 1997–2006. *Review of Communication*, *8*, 356–373. doi:10.1080/15358590802020707
- Nadler, L. B., & Nadler, M. K. (2001). Publication patterns of male and female faculty members in the communication discipline. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, *30*, 118–127.
- Paisley, W. (1989). Bibliometrics, scholarly communication, and communication research. *Communication Research*, *16*, 707–717. doi:10.1177/009365089016005010
- Park, H. W., & Leydesdorff, L. (2009). Knowledge linkage structures in communication studies using citation analysis among communication journals. *Scientometrics*, *81*, 157–175. doi:10.1007/s11192-009-2119-y
- Parry-Giles, T. (2014). Journal impact factors and communication journals: A report from the National Communication Association. *AEJMC News*, *47*(3), 8–9.
- Poor, N. (2009). Global citation patterns of open access communication studies journals: Pushing beyond the social science citation index. *International Journal of Communication*, *3*, 853–859. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Ray, V. O., Watson, K. W., Barker, L. L., & Hall, R. N. (1988). An investigation of articles produced in the communication discipline by institution: A journal by journal, year by year analysis. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, *66*, 53–62.
- Reeves, B., & Borgman, C. L. (1983). A bibliometric evaluation of core journals in communication research. *Human Communication Research*, *10*, 119–136. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2957.1983.tb00007.x

- Rice, R. E., Borgman, C. L., & Reeves, R. (1988). Citation networks of communication journals, 1977–1985: Cliques and positions, citations made and citations received. *Human Communication Research, 15*, 256–283. doi:10.1111/j.14682958.1988.tb000184.x
- Riffe, D., & Freitag, A. (1997). A content analysis of content analyses: Twenty-five years of *Journalism Quarterly*. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 74*, 515–524. doi:10.1177/107769909707400414
- Salomón, Y. P. (2010). The scientific field of communication: Examining its intellectual structure through cocitation analysis. *Revista Latina de Comunicación Social, 13*, 1–9. doi:10.4185/RLCS-2010-893-204-213-EN
- So, C. Y. K. (1988). Citation patterns of core communication journals: An assessment of the developmental status of communication. *Human Communication Research, 15*, 236–255. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1988.tb00183.x
- So, C. Y. K. (2010). The rise of Asian communication research: A citation study of SSCI journals. *Asian Journal of Communication, 20*, 230–247. doi:10.1080/01292981003693419
- Stephen, T. D. (2008). Measuring the reputation and productivity of communication programs. *Communication Education, 57*, 297–311. doi:10.1080/03634520801905600
- Stephen, T. D. (2015). The scholarly communication of communication scholars: Centennial trends in a surging conversation. In P. J. Gehrke & W. M. Keith (Eds.), *A century of communication studies: The unfinished conversation* (pp. 109–127). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Stephen, T., & Geel, R. (2007). Normative publication productivity of communication scholars at selected career milestones. *Human Communication Research, 33*, 103–118. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2007.00291.x
- Yates, S. D., & Chapman, K. (2007). An examination of the use of monographs in the communication journal literature. *Behavioral & Social Sciences Librarian, 26*, 39–51. doi:10.1300/J103v26n01\_03

#### B. *Communication Research Methods*

- Altman, K. E. (1988). Rhetorical and historical inquiry in the feminist reconstruction of knowledge. *Journal of Communication Inquiry, 12*(2), 24–38. doi:10.1177/019685998801200203
- Anderson, J. A. (1987). *Communication research: Issues and methods*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Becker, S. L. (1965). Methodological analysis in communication research. *Quarterly Journal of Speech, 51*, 382–391. doi:10.1080/00335636509382737
- Bell, E. (2010). Operationalizing feminism: Two challenges for feminist research. *Women & Language, 33*, 97–102.
- Berger, A. (2014). *Media and communication research methods: An introduction to qualitative and quantitative approaches* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Bizzell, P. (2000). Feminist methods of research in the history of rhetoric: What difference do they make? *Rhetoric Society Quarterly, 39*(4), 5–17. doi:10.1080/02773940009391186

- Bowers, J. W., & Courtright, J. A. (1984). *Communication research methods*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- Cappella, J. N. (1978). Research methodology in communication: Review and commentary. In B. D. Rueben (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 37–53). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books.
- Cooper, R., Potter, W. J., & Dupagne, M. (1994). A status report on methods used in mass communication research. *Journalism Education*, 48, 54–61.  
doi:10.1177/107769589304800408
- Cronkhite, G., & Liska, J. (Eds.). (1977). What criteria should be used to judge the admissibility of evidence to support theoretical propositions in communication research? [Special issue]. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 41(1). Contains the following articles:  
Cronkhite, G., & Liska, J. Introduction. 3–8. doi:10.1080/10570317709389589  
Fisher, B. A. Evidence varies with theoretical perspective. 9–19.  
doi:10.1080/10570317709389590  
Scheidel, T. M. Evidence varies with phases of inquiry. 20–31.  
doi:10.1080/10570317709389591  
Delia, J. G., & Grossberg, L. Interpretation and evidence. 32–42.  
doi:10.1080/10570317709389592  
Fisher, B. A. In retrospect. 43–49. doi:10.1080/10570317709389593  
Scheidel, T. M. Afterthoughts. 50–52. doi:10.1080/10570317709389594  
Grossberg, L., & Delia, J. G. In response. 53–56. doi:10.1080/10570317709389595  
Liska, J., & Cronkhite, G. Epilogue for apologia: On the convergent validation of epistemologies. 57–65. doi:10.1080/10570317709389596
- Croucher, S. M., & Cronn-Mills, D. (2015). *Understanding communication research methods: A theoretical and practical approach*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Dallimore, E. J. (2000). A feminist response to issues of validity in research. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 23, 157–181.
- Derry, J. O., & Knapp, M. L. (1971). An experimental design for field studies in speech. *Central States Speech Journal*, 22, 43–47. doi:10.1080/10510977109363043
- Emmert, P., & Brooks, W. D. (Eds.). (1970). *Methods of research in communication*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Eveland, W. P., Jr. (1997). Interactions and nonlinearity in mass communication: Connecting theory and methodology. *Journalism and Mass Communication Review*, 74, 400–416. doi:10.1177/107769909707400211
- Fessenden, S. A. (1951). Methodologies in communication. *Journal of Communication*, 1(2), 71–73. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1951.tb00124.x
- Fisher, W. (Ed.). (1978). What criteria should be used to judge the admissibility of evidence to support theoretical propositions in communication research: Part II [Special issue]. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 42(1). Contains the following articles:  
Fisher, W. R. Editor's note. 2. doi:10.1080/10570317809373915  
Brockriede, W. The research process. 3–11. doi:10.1080/10570317809373916  
Hawes, L. C. The reflexivity of communication research. 12–20.  
doi:10.1080/10570317809373917

- Simons, H. W. In praise of muddleheaded anecdotalism. 21–28.  
doi:10.1080/10570317809373918
- Scott, R. L. Evidence in communication research: We are such stuff. 29–36.  
doi:10.1080/10570317809373919
- Simons, H. W. The rhetoric of science and the science of rhetoric. 37–43.  
doi:10.1080/10570317809373920
- Miller, G. R., & Berger, C. R. On keeping the faith in matters scientific. 44–57.  
doi:10.1080/10570317809373921
- Pearce, W. B. (1978). The “ecumenical spirit”: A reply to Miller and Berger. *Western Journal of Speech Communication*, 42, 276–281.  
doi:10.1080/10570317809373947
- Frey, L. R., & Botan, C. H. (1988). The status of instruction in introductory undergraduate communication research methods. *Communication Education*, 37, 249–256.  
doi:10.1080/03634528809378725
- Frey, L. R., Botan, C. H., & Kreps, G. L. (2000). *Investigating communication: An introduction to research methods* (2nd ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Frey, L. R., Botan, C. H., Friedman, P. G., & Kreps, G. L. (1992). *Interpreting communication research: A case study approach*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Fu, W. W. (2011). Some reflections on quantitative modeling of communication processes. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 35, pp. 410–418). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gebremedhin, T. G., & Tweeten, L. G. (1994). *Research methods and communication in the social sciences*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Haight, T. R. (1983). The critical researcher’s dilemma. *Journal of Communication*, 33(3), 226–236. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02423.x
- Hallenbeck, S. (2012). Toward a posthuman perspective: Feminist rhetorical methodologies and everyday practices. *Advances in the History of Rhetoric*, 15, 9–27.  
doi:10.1080/15362426.2012.657044
- Hansen, A., & Machin, D. (2013). *Media and communication research methods*. Basingstoke, United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hayes, A. F., Slater, M. D., & Snyder, L. B. (Eds.). (2008). *The Sage sourcebook of advanced data analysis methods for communication*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Jensen, K. B. (Ed.). (2012). *A handbook of media and communication research: Qualitative and quantitative methodologies*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Karpf, D. (2012). Social science research methods in internet time. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15, 639–661. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2012.665468
- Leslie, L. Z. (2010). *Communication research methods in postmodern culture: A revisionist approach*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Merrigan, G., & Huston, C. L. (2014). *Communication research methods* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Middleton, M. L., Senda-Cook, S., & Endres, D. (2011). Articulating rhetorical field methods: Challenges and tensions. *Western Journal of Communication*, 75, 386–406.  
doi:10.1080/10570314.2011.586969
- Parks, M. R., Faw, M., & Goldsmith, D. (2011). Undergraduate instruction in empirical research methods in communication: Assessment and recommendations. *Communication Education*, 60, 406–421. doi:10.1080/03634523.2011.562909

- Pearce, W. B., Cronen, V. E., & Harris, L. M. (1982). Methodological considerations in building human communication theory. In F. E. X. Dance (Ed.), *Human communication theory: Comparative essays* (pp. 1–39). New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Petronio, S. (Ed.). (1984). The dialogue of evidence: A topic revisited [Special issue]. *Western Journal of Communication*, 58(1). Contains the following articles:  
 Petronio, S. Editor's introduction: Special issue on the dialogue of evidence. 1–2. doi:10.1080/10570319409374475  
 Fisher, W. R. Genesis of the conversation. 3–4. doi:10.1080/10570319409374476  
 Hawes, L. C. Revisiting reflexivity. 5–10. doi:10.1080/10570319409374477  
 Berger, C. R. Evidence? For what? 11–19. doi:10.1080/10570319409374478  
 Carlson, A. C. How one uses evidence determines its value. 20–24. doi:10.1080/10570319409374479  
 Wilson, B. J. A challenge to communication empiricists: Let's be more forthcoming about what we do. 25–31. doi:10.1080/10570319409374480  
 Fitch, K. L. Criteria for evidence in qualitative research. 32–38. doi:10.1080/10570319409374481  
 Foss, K. A., & Foss, S. J. Personal experience as evidence in feminist scholarship. 39–43. doi:10.1080/10570319409374482  
 Tompkins, P. K. Principles of rigor for assessing evidence in “qualitative” communication research. 44–50. doi:10.1080/10570319409374483  
 Beach, W. A. Relevance and consequentiality. 51–57. doi:10.1080/10570319409374484  
 Liska, J., & Cronkhite, G. On the death, dismemberment, or disestablishment of the dominant paradigms. 58–65. doi:10.1080/10570319409374485  
 Scheidel, T. M. On evidence. 66–71. doi:10.1080/10570319409374486
- Roskos-Ewoldsen, D., Aakhus, M., Hayes, A. F., Heider, D., & Levine, T. (2007). It's about time: The need for a journal devoted to communication research methodologies. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 1, 1–5. doi:10.1080/19312450709336657
- Rubin, R. B., Rubin, A. M., Haridakis, P. M., & Piele, L. J. (2010). *Communication research: Strategies and sources* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Wadsworth.
- Smith, M. J. (1988). *Contemporary communication research methods*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Sotorin, P. (Ed.). (2011). In the salon [Special section]. *Women & Language*, 34(2), 99–132. Contains the following articles:  
 Golden. Our research participants/our selves. 99–102.  
 Ellingson, L. L. Representing participants in feminist research. 103–108.  
 Medved, C. E., & Turner, L. H. Qualitative research: Practices and practicing reflexivity. 109–114.  
 Jorgenson, J. Reflexivity in feminist research practice: Hearing the unsaid. 115–120.  
 Norander, S. Complicating empowerment and privilege. 121–125.  
 Allen, B. J. Reflections on personal benefits of feminist research. 125–132.
- Springhorn, R. G. (1976). The establishment of criteria for evaluating speech communication research: A need for consensus. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 19, 40–41.

- Sutherland, C. M. (2002). Feminist historiography: Research methods in rhetoric. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 32, 109–122. doi:10.1080/02773940209391224
- Tasker, E., & Holt-Underwood, F. B. (2008). Feminist research methodologies in historic rhetoric and composition: An overview of scholarship from the 1970s to the present. *Rhetoric Review*, 27, 54–71. doi:10.1080/07350190701738833
- Thayer, L. (1983). On “doing” research and “explaining” things. *Journal of Communication*, 33, 80–91. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1983.tb02409.x
- Tracy, K., Martínez-Guillem, S. Robles, J. S., & Casteline, K. E. (2011). Critical discourse analysis and (U.S.) communication scholarship: Recovering old connections, envisioning new ones. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.). *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 35, pp. 241–286). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Treadwell, D. (2014). *Introducing communication research: Paths of inquiry* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Trent, J. S. (1975). A synthesis of methodologies used in studying political communication. *Central States Speech Journal*, 26, 287–298. doi:10.1080/10510977509367855
- Trumbo, C. W. (2004). Research methods in mass communication research: A census of eight journals, 1990–2000. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 81, 417–436. doi:10.1177/1077699000408100212
- Waite, C. (2007). On the evolution of a discipline. *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, 8, 15–19. doi:10.1080/17459430701617853
- Watt, J. H., & van den Berg, S. A. (1995). *Research methods for communication science*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Wimmer, R. D., & Dominick, J. R. (2014). *Mass media research: An introduction* (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Wadsworth.
- Woolbert, C. H. (1917). Suggestions as to methods in research. *Quarterly Journal of Public Speaking*, 3, 12–26. doi:10.1080/00335631709360575
- C. *Quantitative and/vs. Qualitative Communication Research*
1. *Quantitative vs. Qualitative Communication Research*
- Allen, M., Bruflat, R., Fucilla, R., Kramer, M., McKellips, S., Ryan, D. J., & Spiegelhoff, M. (2000). Testing the persuasiveness of evidence: Combining narrative and statistical forms. *Communication Research Reports*, 17, 331–336. doi:10.1080/08824090009388781
- Allen, M., & Preiss, R. W. (1997). Comparing the persuasiveness of narrative and statistical evidence using meta-analysis. *Communication Research Reports*, 14, 125–131. doi:10.1080/08824099709388654
- Arnold, N. S. (1980). Research methods and the evaluation of hypotheses: A reply to Kinney. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 10, 149–155. doi:10.1080/02773948009390572
- Baesler, E. J. (1997). Persuasive effects of story and statistical evidence. *Argumentation & Advocacy*, 33, 170–175.
- Baesler, E. J., & Burgoon, J. K. (1994). The temporal effects of story and statistical evidence on belief change. *Communication Research*, 21, 582–602. doi:10.1177/009365094021005002

- Bavelas, J. B. (2006). Quantitative versus qualitative? In W. Leeds-Hurwitz (Ed.), *Social approaches to communication* (pp. 217–242). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Benoit, W. L., & Holbert, R. L. (2008). Empirical investigations in communication research: Replication, quantitative methods, and bridging the quantitative–qualitative divide. *Journal of Communication*, *58*, 615–628.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2008.00404.x
- Boster, F. J., Cameron, K. A., Campo, S., Iiu, W.-Y., lillie, J. K, Baker, E. M., & Yun, K. A. (2000). The persuasive effects of statistical evidence in the presence of exemplars. *Communication Studies*, *51*, 296–306.  
doi:10.1080/10510970009388525
- Bybee, M. D. (1994). Quantitative and qualitative abductive inquiry. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, *27*, 415–417.
- DeCoster, J., & Lichtenstein, B. (2007). Integrating quantitative and qualitative methods in communication research. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *1*, 227–242.  
doi:10.1080/19312450701636599
- Della Carpini, M. X. (2013). Breaking boundaries: Can we bridge the quantitative versus qualitative divide through the study of entertainment and politics? *International Journal of Communication*, *7*, 531–551. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc>
- Dennis, M. R., & Babrow, A. S. (2005). Effects of narrative and paradigmatic judgmental orientations on the use of qualitative and quantitative evidence in health-related inference. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, *33*, 328–347. doi:10.1080/00909880500278137
- Feeley, T. H., Marshall, H. M., & Reinhart, A. M. (2006). Reactions to narrative and statistical written messages promoting organ donation. *Communication Reports*, *19*, 89–100. doi:10.1080/08934210600918758
- Green, K., & Brinn, L. S. (2003). Messages influence college women’s tanning bed use: Statistical versus narrative evidence format and a self-assessment to increase perceived susceptibility. *Journal of Health Communication*, *8*, 443–461.  
doi:10.1080/10810730390233271
- Greene, K., Campo, S., & Banjeree, S. C. (2010). Comparing normative, anecdotal, and statistical risk evidence to discourage tanning bed use. *Communication Quarterly*, *58*, 111–132. doi:10.1080/01463371003773366
- Han, B., & Fink, E. L. (2012). How do statistical and narrative evidence affect persuasion?: The role of evidentiary features. *Argumentation & Advocacy*, *49*, 39–58.
- Hoeken, H. (2001). Anecdotal, statistical, and causal evidence: Their perceived and actual persuasiveness. *Argumentation*, *15*, 425–437. Retrieved from <http://link.springer.com/journal/10503>
- Hoeken, H., & Hustinz, L. (2009). When is statistical evidence superior to anecdotal evidence in supporting probability claims? The role of argument type. *Human Communication Research*, *35*, 491–510. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2009.01360.x
- Jensen, K. B. (2002). The complementarity of qualitative and quantitative methodologies in media and communication research. In K. B. Jensen (Ed.), *A*

- handbook of media and communication research: Qualitative and quantitative methodologies* (pp. 254–272). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kazoleas, D. C. (1993). A comparison of the persuasive effectiveness of qualitative versus quantitative evidence: A test of explanatory hypotheses. *Communication Quarterly, 41*, 40–50. doi:10.1080/01463379309369866
- Kopfman, J. E., Smith, S. W., Ah Yun, J. K., & Hodges, A. (1998). Affective and cognitive reactions to narrative versus statistical evidence organ donation messages. *Journal of Applied Communication Research, 26*, 279–300. doi:10.1080/00909889809365508
- Limon, M. S., & Kazoleas, D. C. (2004). A comparison of exemplar and statistical evidence in reducing counterarguments and responses to a message. *Communication Research Reports, 21*, 291–298. doi:10.1080/08824090409359991
- Parrott, R., Silk, K., Dorgan, K., Condit, C., & Harris, T. (2005). Risk comprehension and judgments of statistical evidentiary appeals: When a picture is not worth a thousand words. *Human Communication Research, 31*, 423–452. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2005.tb00878.x
- Slater, M. D., & Rouner, D. (1996). Value-affirmative and value-protective processing of alcohol education messages that include statistical evidence or anecdotes. *Communication Research, 23*, 210–235. doi:10.1177/009365096023002003
- Tracy, K. (Ed.). (2007). The role (or not) for numbers and statistics in qualitative research [Special section]. *Communication Methods and Measures, 1*, 31–75. Contains the following articles:
- Tracy, K. The role (or not) for numbers and statistics in qualitative research: An introduction. 31–35. doi:10.1080/19312450709336659
- Hoover, S. M. Numbers, interpretations, and meta-analysis: Research paradigms in dialogue. 37–45. doi:10.1080/19312450709336660
- Fairhurst, G. T. “Standing by” numbers and statistics in organizational discourse analysis. 47–54. doi:10.1080/19312450709336661
- Rawlins, W. K. Living scholarship: A field report. 55–63. doi:10.1080/19312450709336662
- Robinson, J. D. The role of numbers and statistics within conversation analysis. 65–75. doi:10.1080/19312450709336663
- Waitkin, H. (1993). Interpretive analysis of spoken discourse: Dealing with the limitations of quantitative and qualitative methods. *Southern Communication Journal, 58*, 128–146. doi:10.1080/10417949309372898
- Zebregs, S., van den Putte, B., Neijens, P., & Graaf, A. de (2015). The differential impact of statistical and narrative evidence on beliefs, attitudes, and intentions: A meta-analysis. *Health Communication, 30*, 282–289. doi:10.1080/10410236.2013.842528

## 2. *Qualitative Communication Research Methods*

- Anderson, J. A. (1996). Thinking qualitatively: Hermeneutics in science. In M. B. Salwen & D. W. Stacks (Eds.), *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (pp. 45–59). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. A. (2011). *Media research methods: Understanding media and interpretive approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.



- Arnett, R. C. (2007). Interpretive inquiry as qualitative communication research. *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, 8, 29–35.  
doi:10.1080/17459430701617887
- Baird, A. C., & Thonssen, L. W. (1933). Methodology in the criticism of public address. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 33, 134–138.  
doi:10.1080/00335634709381280
- Bantz, C. R. (1983). Naturalistic research traditions. In L. L. Putnam & M. E. Pacanowsky (Eds.), *Communication and organizations: An interpretive approach* (pp. 55–72). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Becker, H. S. (2009). How to find out how to do qualitative research. *International Journal of Communication*, 3, 545–553. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Benson, T. W. (Ed.). (1977). Naturalistic study of communication: A symposium [Special issue]. *Communication Quarterly*, 25(3). Contains the following articles:  
Littan-Hawes, E. M. A foundation for the study of everyday talk. 2–11.  
doi:10.1080/01463377709369258  
Nofsinger, R. E., Jr. A peek at conversation analysis. 12–20.  
doi:10.1080/01463377709369259  
Jurick, D. M. The enactment of returning: A naturalistic study of talk. 21–29.  
doi:10.1080/01463377709369260  
Hawes, L. C. Toward a hermeneutic phenomenology of communication. 30–41.  
doi:10.1080/01463377709369261  
Philipsen, G. Linearity of research design in ethnographic studies of speaking. 42–50. doi:10.1080/01463377709369262  
Pearce, W. B. Naturalistic study of communication: Its function and form. 51–56.  
doi:10.1080/01463377709369263
- Berry, K. (2008). Promise in peril: Ellis and Pelias and the subjective dimensions of ethnography. *Review of Communication*, 8, 154–173.  
doi:10.1080/15358590701772218
- Bisel, R. S., Barge, J. K., Dougherty, D. S., Lucas, K., & Tracy, S. J. (2014). A roundtable discussion of “big” data in qualitative organizational communication research. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 28, 625–629.  
doi:10.1177/0893318914549952
- Bochner, A. P., & Ellis, C. (2002). *Ethnographically speaking: Autoethnography, literature, and aesthetics*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- Boje, D. M. (2001). *Narrative methods for organizational and communication research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Braithwaite, D. O. (2014). “Opening the door”: The history and future of qualitative scholarship in interpersonal communication. *Communication Studies*, 65, 441–445.  
doi:10.1080/10510974.2014.927295
- Brodkey, L. (1987). Writing ethnographic narratives. *Written Communication*, 4, 25–50.  
doi:10.1177/0741088387004001002
- Carter, K., & Presnell, M. (Eds.). (1994). *Interpretive approaches to validity in interpersonal communication research*. Albany: State University of New York Press.

- Chen, S.-m. (2002). Exploring the Chinese ethnography for communication studies. *Mass Communication Research, 71*, 1–16.
- Chesebro, J. W., & Borisoff, D. J. (2007). What makes qualitative research qualitative? *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication, 8*, 3–14. doi:10.1080/17459430701617846
- Cissna, K. N. (2010). Qualitative research in communication. *Southern Communication Journal, 75*, 299–305. doi:10.1080/1041794x.2010.504615
- Claire, R. P. (Ed.). (2003). *Expressions of ethnography: Novel approaches to qualitative methods*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Cochran, D. S., & Dolan, J. A. (1984). Qualitative research: An alternative to quantitative research in communication. *Journal of Business Communication, 21*, 25–32. doi:10.1177/002194368402100403
- Conquergood, D. (1991). Rethinking ethnography: Towards a critical cultural politics. *Communication Monographs, 58*, 179–194. doi:10.1080/03637759109376222
- Crawford, L. (1996). Personal ethnography. *Communication Monographs, 63*, 158–170. doi:10.1080/03637759609376384
- Cross, G. A. (2004). Protecting the voices of our research: Appropriately verifying qualitative data. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication, 18*, 491–504. doi:10.1177/1050651904267119
- Davis, C. S. (2014). *Conversations about qualitative communication research: Behind the scenes with leading scholars*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- de Beer, A. S. (1993). Qualitative research in journalism: The quest for validity and objectivity. *Communicatio, 19*, 80–87. doi:10.1080/02500169308537728
- Deetz, S., & Kersten, A. (1983). Critical models of interpretive research. In L. L. Putnam & M. E. Pacanowsky (Eds.), *Communication and organizations: An interpretive approach* (pp. 147–171). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Denzin, N. K. (1989). *Interpretive interactionism*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Denzin, N. (1996). *Interpretive ethnography*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Denzin, N., & Lincoln, Y. (2005). *The handbook of qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dollar, N. J., & Merrigan, G. (2002). Ethnographic practices in group communication research. In L. R. Frey (Ed.), *New directions in group communication* (pp. 59–78). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ellingson, L. L. (2009). *Engaging crystallization in qualitative research: An introduction*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ellis, C. (2004). *The ethnographic I: A Methodological novel about autoethnography*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira.
- Ellis, C., & Adams, T. (2014). The purposes, practices, and principles of autoethnographic research. In P. Leavy (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 254–276). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, C., & Bochner, A. P. (Eds.). (1996). *Composing ethnography: Alternative forms of qualitative writing*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira.
- Ethnography and critique. [Special section]. (1989). *Research on Language and Social Interaction, 1–4*, 243–311. Includes the following articles:  
 Cushman, D. P. The role of critique in the ethnographic study of human communication practices. 243–249. doi:10.1080/08351818909389322

- Philipsen, G. Some initial thoughts on the perils of “critique” in the ethnographic study of communication practices. 251–260. doi:10.1080/08351818909389323
- Carbaugh, D. The critical voice in ethnography of communication research. 261–281. doi:10.1080/08351818909389324
- Sigman, S. J. Some notes toward ethnographic responsibility and critique of contemporary communication theory. 281–291. doi:10.1080/08351818909389325
- Huspek, M. The idea of ethnography and its relation to cultural critique. 293–311. doi:10.1080/08351818909389326
- Farah, I. (1997). Ethnography of communication. In N. H. Hornberger & D. Corson (Eds.), *Research methods in language and education* (pp. 125–134). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Ferdinand, R. (2009). What’s in a story? Using auto-ethnography to advance communication research. *American Communication Journal*, 11(3), Article 3. Retrieved from <http://www.ac-journal.org>
- Fitch, K. L. (1998). Text and context: A problematic distinction for ethnography. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 31, 91–107. doi:10.1207/s15327973rlsi3101\_6
- Frey, L. R., Anderson, S. L., & Friedman, P. G. (1998). The status of instruction in qualitative communication research methods. *Communication Education*, 47, 246–260. doi:10.1080/03634529809379129
- Gee, J. P. (2014a). *How to do discourse analysis: A toolkit* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gee, J. P. (2014b). *An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gee, J. P., & Handford, M. (Eds.). (2012). *The Routledge handbook of discourse analysis*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2000a). Transforming communication studies through ethnography. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 363–367). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2000b). *Writing the new ethnography*. Lanham, MD: Rowman Littlefield.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2008). *Writing qualitative inquiry: Self, stories, and academic life*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Gronbeck, B. E. (1981). Qualitative communication theory and rhetorical studies in the 1980s. *Central States Speech Journal*, 32, 243–253. doi:10.1080/10510978109368103
- Guney, S. (2010). New significance for an old method: CAS theory and ethnography. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 4, 273–289. doi:10.1080/19312458.2010.505499
- Hallenbeck, S. (2012). Toward a posthuman perspective: Feminist rhetorical methodologies and everyday practices. *Advances in the History of Rhetoric*, 15, 9–27. doi:10.1080/15362426.2012.657044
- Herndon, S. L. & Kreps, G. L. (Eds.). (1993). *Qualitative research: Applications in organizational communication*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

- Höijer, B. (2008). Ontological assumptions and generalizations in qualitative (audience) research. *European Journal of Communication*, 23, 275–294.  
doi:10.1177/0267323108092536
- Hopper, R., Koch, S., & Mandelbaum, J. (1986). Conversation analysis methods. In D. G. Ellis & W. A. Donohue (Eds.), *Contemporary issues in language and discourse processes* (pp. 169–186). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hymes, D. (1962). The ethnography of speaking. In T. Gladwin & W. Sturtevant (Eds.), *Anthropology and human behavior* (pp. 15–53). Washington, DC: Anthropological Society of Washington.
- Jackson, R. L., II, Drummond, D. K., & Camara, S. (2007). What is qualitative research? *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, 8, 21–28.  
doi:10.1080/17459430701617879
- Jacobs, S. (1988). Evidence and inference in conversation analysis. In J. A. Anderson (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 11, pp. 433–443). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Jensen, K. B., & Jankowski, K. W. (Eds.). (1991). *A handbook of qualitative methodologies for mass communication research*. London, England: Routledge.
- Jensen, R. E., Christy, K., Gettings, P. E., & Lareau, L. (2013). Interview and focus group research: A content analysis of scholarship published in ranked journals. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 7, 126–133.  
doi:10.1080/19312458.2013.789838
- Jones, R. (2012). *Discourse analysis: A resource book for students*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Jones, S. H., Adams, T. E., & Ellis, C. (Eds.). (2013). *Handbook of autoethnography*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Karpf, D., Kreiss, D., Nielsen, R. K., & Powers, M. (Eds.). (2015). Qualitative political communication [Special section]. *International Journal of Communication*, 9, 1888–2091. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Kaufman, B. J. (1992). Feminist facts: Interview strategies and political subjects in ethnography. *Communication Theory*, 2, 187–206.  
doi:10.1111/j.14682885.1992.tb00038.x
- Keating, E. (2001). The ethnography of communication. In P. Atkinson, A. Coffey, S. Delamont, J. Lofland, & L. Lofland (Eds.), *Handbook of ethnography* (pp. 285–301). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Krzyżanowski, M. (Ed.). (2011). Ethnography and critical discourse analysis [Special issue]. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 8(4), Contains the following articles:
- Krzyżanowski, M. Ethnography and critical discourse analysis: Towards a problem-oriented research dialogue. 231–238.  
doi:10.1080/17405904.2011.601630
- Rogers, R. The sounds of silence in educational tracking: A longitudinal, ethnographic case study. 239–252. doi:10.1080/17405904.2011.601632
- Galasiński, D. The patient's world: Discourse analysis and ethnography. 253–265.  
doi:10.1080/17405904.2011.601634
- Johnson, D. C. Critical discourse analysis and the ethnography of language policy. 267–279. doi:10.1080/17405904.2011.601636

- Krzużanowski, M. Political communication, institutional cultures and linearities of organizational practice. A discourse–ethnographic approach to institutional change in the European Union. 281–296. doi:10.1080/17405904.2011.601638
- Barkho, L. The role of internal guidelines in shaping news narratives: Ethnographic insights into the discursive rhetoric of Middle East reporting by the BBC and Al-Jazeera English. 297–2309. doi:10.1080/17405904.2011.601642
- Lazar, M. M. (2007). Feminist critical discourse analysis: Articulating a feminist discourse praxis. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 4, 141–164. doi:10.1080/17405900701464816
- LeGreco, M., & Tracy, S. J. (2009). Discourse tracing as qualitative practice. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 15, 1516–1543. doi:10.1177/1077800409343064
- Lemish, P. S., & Lemish, D. (1982). A guide to the literature of qualitative research. *Journal of Broadcasting*, 26, 839–846. doi:10.1080/08838158209364052
- Lengel, L. B. (1998). Researcher the “other,” transforming ourselves: Methodological considerations of feminist ethnography. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 22, 229–250. doi:10.1177/019685999802203001
- Liddicoat, A. J. (2011). *An introduction to conversation analysis*. New York, NY: Continuum.
- Lillis, T. (2008). Ethnography as method, methodology, and “deep theorizing”: Closing the gap between text and context in academic writing research. *Written Communication*, 25, 352–388. doi:10.1177/0741088308319229
- Lindlof, T. R., & Taylor, B. C. (2011). *Qualitative communication research methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Machin, D., & Mayr, A. (2012). *How to do critical discourse analysis: A multimodal introduction*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Madison, D. S. (2011). *Critical ethnography: Method, ethics, and performance* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Manning, J., & Kunkel, A. (2013). *Researching interpersonal relationships: Qualitative methods, studies, and analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Markee, N. (2000). *Conversation analysis*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Martínez, D. F. (2007). From theory to method: A methodological approach within critical discourse analysis. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 4, 125–140. doi:10.1080/17405900701464790
- Moreman, M. (1988). *Talking culture: Ethnography and conversation analysis*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Nightingale, V. (1989). What’s “ethnographic” about ethnographic audience research? *Australian Journal of Communication*, 16, 50–63.
- Novak, D. R. (2010). Democratizing qualitative research: Photovoice and the study of human communication. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 4, 291–310. doi:10.1080/19312458.2010.527870
- Paccagnella, L. (1997). Getting the seats of your pants dirty: Strategies for ethnographic research on virtual communities. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 3(1). doi:10.1111/j.1083-6101.1997.tb00065.x
- Paltridge, B. (2012). *Discourse analysis: An introduction* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Continuum.

- Pardun, C. J. (2000). Theory into practice: An analysis of qualitative research in the *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 1978–1998. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 44, 529–534. doi:10.1207/s15506878jobem4403\_12
- Peterson, E. E., & Langellier, K. M. (1997). The politics of personal narrative methodology. *Text & Performance Quarterly*, 17, 135–152. doi:10.1080/10462939709366178
- Potter, W. J. (1996). *An analysis of thinking and research about qualitative methods*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Psathas, G. (1995). *Conversation analysis: The study of talk-in-interaction*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Saville-Troike, M. (2003). *The ethnography of communication: An introduction*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Schreier, M. (2012). *Qualitative content analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Seedhouse, P. (2004). Conversation analysis methodology. *Language Learning*, 54, 1–54. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9922.2004.00268.x
- Shi-xu. (2012). Expand methodologies of discourse research. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 7, 209–211. doi:10.1080/17447143.2012.724565
- Sidnell, J. (2010). *Conversation analysis: An introduction*. Malden, MA: WileyBlackwell.
- Sidnell, J., & Stivers, T. (Eds.). (2013). *The handbook of conversation analysis*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Sigman, S. J. (1997). A matter of time: The case for ethnographies of communication. In J. S. Trent (Ed.), *Communication: Views from the helm for the 21st century* (pp. 354–358). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Solomon, M. (Ed.). (1991). Writing ethnographies [Special section]. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 77, 327–342. Contains the following articles:  
 Philipsen, G. Two issues in the evaluation of ethnography studies of communicative practices. 327–329. doi:10.1080/00335639109383963  
 Fiske, J. Writing ethnographies: Contribution to a dialogue. 330–335. doi:10.1080/00335639109383964  
 Carbaugh, D. Communication and cultural interpretation. 336–342. doi:10.1080/00335639109383965
- Spry, T. (2011). *Body, paper, stage: Writing and performing autoethnography*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Stewart, J. (1991). Philosophy of qualitative inquiry: Hermeneutic phenomenology and communication research. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 67, 109–120. doi:10.1080/00335638109383555
- Strauss, S., & Feiz, P. (2014). *Discourse analysis: Putting our worlds into words*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Tasker, E., & Holt-Underwood, F. B. (2008). Feminist research methodologies in historic rhetoric and composition: An overview of scholarship from the 1970s to the present. *Rhetoric Review*, 27, 54–71. doi:10.1080/07350190701738833
- Taylor, B. C., & Trujillo, N. (2000). Qualitative research methods. In F. M. Jablin & L. L. Putnam (Eds.), *The new handbook of organizational communication: Advances in theory, research, and methods* (pp. 161–194). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Tracy, S. J. (2012). The toxic and mythical combination of a deductive writing logic for inductive qualitative research. *Qualitative Communication Research, 1*, 109–142.
- Tracy, S. J. (2013). *Qualitative research methods: Collecting evidence, crafting analysis, communicating impact*. Chichester, United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Tucker, M. L., Powell, K. S., & Meyer, G. D. (1995). Qualitative research in business communication: A review and analysis. *Journal of Business Communication, 32*, 383–399. doi:10.1177/002194369503200405
- Warren, C. A. B. (1984). Toward a cooptive model of qualitative research. *Communication Quarterly, 32*, 104–112. doi:10.1080/01463378409369541
- Wieder, D. I. (1999). Ethnomethodology, conversation analysis, microanalysis, and the ethnography of speaking (EM-CA-MA-ES): Resonances and basic issues. *Research on Language and Social Interaction, 32*, 163–171. doi:10.1080/08351813.1999.9683620
- Wodak, R. (Ed.). (2013). *Critical discourse analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yates, J. (1993). The opportunity of qualitative research. *Journal of Business Communication, 30*, 199–200. doi:10.1177/002194369303000205

### 3. *Quantitative Communication Research Methods*

- Allen, M. (2009). Meta-analysis. *Communication Monographs, 76*, 398–407. doi:10.1080/03637750903310386
- Allen, M., Titsworth, S., & Hunt, S. K. (2009). *Quantitative research in communication*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Anker, A. E., Reinhart, A. M., & Feeley, T. H. (2010). Meta-analysis of meta-analyses in communication: Comparing fixed effects and random effects analysis models. *Communication Quarterly, 58*, 257–278. doi:10.1080/01463373.2010.503154
- Beatty, M. J. (1996). Thinking quantitatively. In M. B. Salwen & D. W. Stacks (Eds.), *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (pp. 33–43). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Beatty, M., & Kitchens, T. (1977). A rationale for Bayesian statistics in communication research. *Communicator, 7*(2), 25–31.
- Berelson, B. (1952). *Content analysis in communication research*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.
- Boster, F. J., & Sherry, J. L. (2010). Alternative methodological approaches to communication science. In C. R. Berger, M. E. Roloff, & D. R. Roskos-Ewoldsen (Eds.), *The handbook of communication science* (pp. 55–71). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Burgoon, J. K. (Ed.). (1991). Issues in meta-analysis [Special section]. *Communication Monographs, 58*, 437–481. Contains the following articles:
- Hall, J. A., & Rosenthal, R. Testing for moderator variables in meta-analysis: Issues and methods. 437–448. doi:10.1080/03637759109376240
- Jackson, S. Meta-analysis for primary and secondary data analysis: The super-experiment metaphor. 449–462. doi:10.1080/03637759109376241
- Hale, J. L., & Dillard, J. P. The uses of meta-analysis: Making knowledge claims and setting research agendas. 463–471. doi:10.1080/03637759109376242

- O'Keefe, D. J. Extracting dependable generalizations from the persuasion effects literature: Some issues in meta-analytic reviews. 472–481.  
doi:10.1080/03637759109376243
- Callison, C., Gibson, R., & Zillmann, D. (2009). How to report quantitative information in news stories. *Newspaper Research Journal*, 30(2), 43–55.
- Card, N. A. (2012). *Applied meta-analysis for social science research*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Chase, L. J., & Baran, S. J. (1976). An assessment of quantitative research in mass communication. *Journalism Quarterly*, 53, 308–311.  
doi:10.1177/107769907605300217
- Chase, L. J., & Simpson, T. J. (1979). Significance and substance: An examination of experimental effects. *Human Communication Research*, 5, 351–354.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1979.tb00648.x
- Crandall, H., & Busselle, R. (2009). A quantitative research methods introductory exercise. *Communication Teacher*, 23, 121–125. doi:10.1080/17404620902974816
- Denham, B. E. (2002). Advanced categorical statistics: Issues and applications in communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 52, 162–176.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2002.tb02537.x
- Derry, J. O., & Knapp, M. L. (1971). An experimental design for field studies in speech. *Central States Speech Journal*, 22, 43–47. doi:10.1080/10510977109363043
- Dickens, M. (1960). Laws of experimental research. *Western Speech*, 24, 197–200.
- Fico, F. G., Lacy, S., & Riffe, D. (2008). A content analysis guide for media economics scholars. *Journal of Media Economics*, 21, 114–130.  
doi:10.1080/08997760802069994
- Franzosi, R. (Ed.). (2008). *Content analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fu, W. W. (2012). Some reflections on quantitative modeling of communication processes. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 36, pp. 410416). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Garrison, J. P., & Andersen, P. A. (1979). A reassessment of statistical power analysis in human communication research. *Human Communication Research*, 5, 343–350.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1979.tb00647.x
- Ha, L., Hu, X., Fang, L., Heinze, S., Park, S., Stana, A., & Zhang, X. (2015). Use of survey research in top mass communication journals 2001–2010 and the total survey error paradigm. *Review of Communication*, 15, 39–59.  
doi:10.1080/15358593.2015.1014401
- Holsti, O. R. (1969). *Content analysis for the social sciences and humanities*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Hoover, S. M. (2007). Numbers, interpretations, and meta-analysis: Research paradigms in dialogue. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 1, 37–45.  
doi:10.1080/19312450709336660
- Hullett, C. R., & Levine, T. R. (2003). The overestimation of effect sizes from F values in meta-analysis: The cause and a solution. *Communication Monographs*, 70, 62–67. doi:10.1080/715114664
- Janowitz, M. (1976). Content analysis and the study of sociopolitical change. *Journal of Communication*, 26(4), 10–21. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1976.tb01930.x



- Katzer, J. S. (1973). An analysis of the use of statistical testing in communication research. *Journal of Communication*, 23, 251–265.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1973.tb00947.x
- Kelly, C. W., Chase, L. J., & Tucker, R. K. (1979). Replication in experimental communication research: An analysis. *Human Communication Research*, 5, 338–342. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1979.tb00646.x
- Krippendorff, K. (2013). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lacy, S. R., & Riffe, D. (1993). Sins of omission and commission in mass communication quantitative research. *Journalism Quarterly*, 70, 126–132.  
doi:10.1177/107769909307000114
- Leetaru, K. H. (2012). *Data mining methods for the content analyst: An introduction to the computational analysis of content*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Level, D. A., & Waters, G. R. (1976). Experimental design in communication research. *Journal of Business Communication*, 14, 7–22. doi:10.1177/002194367601400102
- Levine, T. R., Weber, R., Hullett, C., Park, H. S., Lindsey, L. L. M. (2008). A critical assessment of null hypothesis significance testing in quantitative communication research. *Human Communication research*, 34, 171–187.  
doi:10.1111/j.14682958.2008.00317.x
- Lewis, S. C., Zamith, R., & Hermida, A. (2013). Content analysis in an era of big data: A hybrid approach to computational and manual methods. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 57, 34–52. doi:10.1080/08838151.2012.761702
- Lombard, M., Snyder-Duch, J., & Campanella Bracken, C. (2002). Content analysis in mass communication: Assessment and reporting of intercoder reliability. *Human Communication Research*, 28, 587–604. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2002.tb00826.x
- Krippendorff, K. (2004). Reliability in content analysis: Some common misconceptions and recommendations. *Human Communication Research*, 30, 411–433. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2004.tb00738.x
- Lombard, M., Snyder-Duch, J., & Campanella Bracken, C. (2004). A call for standardization in content analysis reliability. *Human Communication Research*, 30, 433–437. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2004.tb00739.x
- Lubke, B. F. (1992). No more content analyses. *Newspaper Research Journal*, 13(1–2), 2–9.
- Joliffe, L. (1993). Yes! More content analyses! *Newspaper Research Journal*, 14(3–4), 93–98.
- Lynch, M. D., & Merrill, J. C. (1968). Content analysis—A probabilistic approach. *Journal of Communication*, 18, 151–159. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-2466.1968.tb00066.x
- Marcias, W., Springston, J. K., Lariscy Weaver, R. A., & Neustifter, B. (2008). A 13year content analysis of survey methodology in communication related journals. *Journal of Current Issues & Research in Advertising*, 30, 79–94.  
doi:10.1080/10641734.2008.10505240
- McCormack, T. (1982). Content analysis: The social history of the method. *Studies in Communications*, 2, 143–178.
- McCroskey, J. C., & Young, T. J. (1979). The use and abuse of factor analysis in communication research. *Human Communication Research*, 5, 375–382.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1979.tb00651.x

- Miller, V. D., Poole, M. S., Seibold, D. R., Myers, K. K., Park, H. S., Monge, P., . . . Shumate, M. (2011). Advancing research in organizational communication through quantitative methodology. *Management Communication Quarterly*, *25*, 4–58. doi:10.1177/0893318910390193
- Monge, P. R., & Cappella, J. N. (Eds.). (1980). *Multivariate techniques in human communication research*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Morley, D. D. (1988). Meta-analytic techniques: When generalizing to populations is not possible. *Human Communication Research*, *15*, 112–126. doi:10.1111/j.14682958.1988.tb00173.x
- Morris, R. L. (1975). Building experimental models. *Journal of Communication*, *25*(1), 117–125. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1975.tb00561.x
- Nebergall, R. E. (1965). A critique of experimental design in communication. *Central States Speech Journal*, *16*, 13–16. doi:10.1080/10510976509362765
- O’Keefe, D. J. (2011). The asymmetry of predictive and descriptive capabilities in quantitative communication research: Implications for hypothesis development and testing. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *5*, 113–125. doi:10.1080/19312458.2011.568375
- Peters, J. D. (2001). “The only proper scale of representation”: The politics of statistics and stories. *Political Communication*, *18*, 433–449. doi:10.1080/10584600152647147
- Pigott, T. D. (2012). *Advances in meta-analysis*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Potter, W. J., & Levine-Donnerstein, D. (1999). Rethinking validity and reliability in content analysis. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, *27*, 258–274. doi:10.1080/00909889909365539
- Reinard, J. C. (2006). *Communication research statistics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Riffe, D., Lacy, S., & Fico, F. (2014). *Analyzing media messages: Using quantitative content analysis in research* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rosengren, K. E. (Ed.). (1981). *Advances in content analysis*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (2015). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Shanahan, J. (1999). Meta-analysis and mass communication criticism. *Critical Studies in Mass Communication*, *16*, 370–373. doi:10.1080/15295039909367101
- Shepard, D. W. (1955). Reliability in a content analysis. *Western Speech*, *19*, 9–14.
- Sherblom, J. C., Sullivan, C. F., & Sherblom, E. C. (1993). The what, the whom, and the hows of survey research. *Bulletin of the Association for Business Communication*, *56*(4), 58–64.
- Simpson, R. H. (1963). Stability in meanings for quantitative terms: A comparison over 20 years. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, *49*, 146–151. doi:10.1080/00335636309382600
- Slater, M. D. (2013). Content analysis as a foundation for programmatic research in communication. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *7*, 85–93. doi:10.1080/19312458.2013.789836
- Slater, M. D., & Gleason, L. S. (2012). Contributing to theory and knowledge in quantitative communication science. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *6*, 215–236. doi:10.1080/19312458.2012.732626

- Smith, R. A., Levine, T. R., Lachlan, K. A., & Feduiuk, T. A. (2002). The high cost of complexity in experimental design and data analysis: Type I and Type II error rates in multiway ANOVA. *Human Communication Research*, 28, 515–530. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.2002.tb00821.x
- Steinfatt, T. M. (1979). The alpha percentage and experimentwise error rates in communication research. *Human Communication Research*, 5, 366–374. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2958.1979.tb00650.x
- Stempel, G. H., III. (1955). Increasing reliability in content analysis. *Journalism Quarterly*, 32, 449–455. doi:10.1177/107769905503200406
- Stephen, T. D. (1985). Q-methodology in communication science: An introduction. *Communication Quarterly*, 33, 193–208. doi:10.1080/01463378509369598
- Stevenson, R. L., & Cole, R. R. (1982). Some thoughts on the future of content analysis. *Gazette*, 30, 167–176. doi:10.1177/001654928203000303
- Thompson, W. N. (1969) An assessment of quantitative research in speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 55, 61–68. doi:10.1080/00335636909382929
- Thorson, E., Wicks, R., & Leshner, G. (2012). Experimental methodology in journalism and mass communication research. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 89, 112–124. doi:10.1177/1077699011430066
- Weber, R. P. (1990). *Basic content analysis* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- West, M. D. (Ed.). (2001). *Theory, method, and practice in computer content analysis*. Westport, CT: Ablex.
- Wrench, J. S., Thomas-Maddox, C., Richmond, V. P., & McCroskey, J. C. (2013). *Quantitative research methods for communication: A hands-on approach* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Wulff, S., & Wulff, D. H. (2004). “Of course I’m communicating; I lecture every day”: Enhancing teaching and learning in introductory statistics. *Communication Education*, 53, 92–103. doi:10.1080/0363452032000135797

## November 11

### A. *Life in the Academy: So you want to be a College Professor?*

- Aldrich, R. S. (2009). “They’re supportive, BUT . . .”: Female graduate students and their parents’ reactions to graduate education. *Kaleidoscope*, 8, 55–71. Retrieved from <http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/kaleidoscope>
- Anderson, K. V. (2005). Voice about choices: The role of female networks in affirming life choices in the academy. *Women’s Studies in Communication*, 27, 88–110.
- Anonymous. (2011). Confessions of a reluctant manager in the academic labor system. *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 1803–1807. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/index>
- Aubrey, J. S., Clark, M. A., Dougherty, D. S., Fine, M. A., Kramer, M. W., Meisenbach, R. J., . . . Smythe, M.-J. (2008). We do babies! The trials, tribulations, and triumphs of pregnancy and parenting in the academy. *Women’s Studies in Communication*, 31, 186–195.
- Blachford, L. (2014). Reflections on the recent history and near future of LGBTQ scholarship. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 38, 269–272. doi:10.1177/0196859914552361

- Bodle, J. T., Burriss, L., Farwell, T., Hammaker, S., & Joshi, J. (2011). Gender and journal scholarship in mass communication: How well are women doing? A twenty-year content analysis. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, 66, 118–133. doi:10.1177/107769581106600202
- Botero, I. C. (2012). Enhancing our understanding of work–life balance from a communication perspective. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 36, pp. 231–235). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Brown, D. R. (1975). Self-disclosure and identification: Dyadic communications of the new Black assistant professor on a White campus. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 13, 22–26.
- Buzzanell, P. M. (2004). Revisiting sexual harassment in the academy: Using feminist ethical and sense making approaches to analyze macrodiscourses and micropractices of sexual harassment. In P. M. Buzzanell, H. Sterk, & L. H. Turner (Eds.), *Gender in applied communication contexts* (pp. 25–46). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Calafell, B. M. (2007). Mentoring and love: An open letter. *Cultural Studies & Critical Methodologies*, 7, 424–441. doi:10.1177/1532708607305123
- Calafell, B. M. (2010a). Notes from an “angry woman of color”: Academic policing and disciplining women of color in a post (fill in the blank) era. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 34, 240–245. doi:10.1177/0196859910371375
- Calafell, B. M. (2010b). When will we all matter? Exploring race, pedagogy and sustained hope for the academy. In D. L. Fassett & J. T. Warren (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of communication and instruction* (pp. 343–359). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Calafell, B. M. (2012). Monstrous femininity: Constructions of women of color in the academy. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 36, 111–130. doi:10.1177/0196859912443382
- Chandler, C. (1996). Mentoring and women in academia: Reevaluating the traditional model. *NWSA Journal*, 8(3), 79–86. doi:10.2979.NWS.1996.8.3.79
- Churchman, D. (2005). A study of sessional academic workers’ experiences of language and community. *Australian Journal of Communication*, 32, 109–126.
- Davis, O. I. (1999). In the kitchen: Transforming the academy through safe spaces of resistance. *Western Journal of Communication*, 63, 364–381. doi:10.1080/10570319909374647
- Davis, O. I. (2008). A visitation from the foremothers: Black women’s healing through a “performance of care”—From African diaspora to the American academy. *Women’s Studies in Communication*, 31, 175–185.
- Delgado, F. (2009). Reflections on being/performing Latino identity in the academy. *Text and Performance Quarterly*, 29, 149–164. doi:10.1080/10462930902774858
- D’Enbeau, S., & Buzzanell, P. (2010). Caregiving and female embodiment: Scrutinizing (professional) female bodies in media, academe, and the neighborhood bar. *Women & Language*, 33, 29–52.
- Denker, K. (2009). Doing gender in the academy: The challenges for women in the academic organization. *Women & Language*, 32, 103–112.
- Dillon, P. J. (2012). Unbalanced: An autobiography of fatherhood in academe. *Journal of Family Communication*, 12, 284–299. doi:10.1080/15267431.2012.686945
- Dow, B. J. (2008). Does it take a department to raise a child? *Women’s Studies in Communication*, 31, 158–165. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162528

- Egan, K. S. (1995). Women who succeed in broadcast communications academe: A feminist success story. *Journalism Quarterly*, 71, 960–972.  
doi:10.1177/107769909407100419
- Ellingson, L. L., & Sotirin, P. (2008). Academic aunting: Reimagining feminist (wo)mentoring, teaching, and relationships. *Women & Language*, 31, 35–42.
- Ellis, C. (2009). *Revision: Autoethnographic reflections on life and work*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Ellis, C. (2011). Jumping on and off the runaway train of success: Stress and committed intensity in an academic life. *Symbolic Interaction*, 34, 158–172.  
doi:10.1525/si.2011.34.2.158
- Fallon, J. L. (1991). Planning for the year 2000: Women in academe. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 76, 32–38.
- Foss, S. K. (1984). A female perspective on the research process. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 7, 73–76.
- Fox, R. (2013). “Homo”-work: Queering academic communication and communicating queer in academia. *Text & Performance Quarterly*, 33, 58–76.  
doi:10.1080/10462937.2012.744462
- Geist, P. (Ed.). (1999). Surreal illusions, genuine realities: Disenchantment and renewal in the academy [Special issue]. *Communication Theory*, 9(4). Contains the following articles:
- Geist, P. Surreal illusions, genuine realities: Disenchantment and renewal in the academy—Introduction. 365–376. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1999.tb00364.x
- Boyd, R. Compromising positions: Or, the unhappy transformations of a “transformative intellectual.” 377–401. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1999.tb00205.x
- Allen, B. J., Orbe, M. P., & Oliva, M. R. The complexity of our tears: Dis/enchantment and (in)difference in the academy. 402–429.  
doi:10.1111/j.14682885.1999.tb00206.x
- Nicotera, A. M. The woman academic as subject/object/self: Dismantling the illusion of duality. 430–464. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.1999.tb00207.x
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. Casing the academy for community. 465–494.  
doi:10.1111/j.14682885.1999.tb00208.x
- Gilbert, J. (2008). Why I feel guilty all the time: Performing academic motherhood. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 203–208.  
doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162533
- Glascok, J., & Ruggiero, T. E. (2006). The relationship of ethnicity and sex to professor credibility at a culturally diverse university. *Communication Education*, 55, 197–207.  
doi:10.1080/03634520600566165
- Goins, M. N. (2012). But I am superwoman. I think. *Women & Language*, 35, 87–90.
- Goodall, H. L., Jr. (2008). *Writing qualitative inquiry: Self, stories, and academic life*. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.
- Gordon, N. S. (2012). To talk or not to talk, should that be the question? How the double jeopardy of race and nationality influence my experiences in academia. *Women & Language*, 35, 81–85.
- Gregg, M. (2009). Banal bohemia: Blogging from the ivory tower hot-desk. *Convergence*, 15, 470–483. doi:10.1177/1354856509342345

- Griffin, R. A. (2012a). I AM an angry Black woman: Black feminist autoethnography, voice, and resistance. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 35, 138–157. doi:10.1080/07491409.2012.724524
- Griffin, R. A. (2012b). Black female faculty “talking back” to the academy. *Women & Language*, 35, 75–79.
- Harris, T. M. (2012). Flying solo: Negotiating the matrix of racism and sexism in higher education. *Women & Language*, 35, 103–107.
- Hawkins, K. (1994). Analyzing the pure case: Women's narratives of academic life. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 17, 1–25.
- Heinz, B. (2002). Enga(y)ging the discipline: Sexual minorities and communication studies. *Communication Education*, 51, 95–104. doi:10.1080/03634520216503
- Hendrix, K. G. (2002). “Did being Black” introduce bias into your study?: Attempting to mute the race-related research of Black scholars. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 13, 153–171. doi:10.1080/10646170290089935
- Herbert, S. S. (2012). What have you done for me lately?: Black female faculty and “talking back” to the tenure process at PWIs. *Women & Language*, 35, 99–102.
- Holling, M. A., & Rodriguez, A. (2006). Negotiating our way through the gates of academe. *Journal of Latinos and Education*, 5, 49–64. doi:10.1207/s1532771xjle0501\_4
- Houston, M. (2002). Seeking difference: African Americans in interpersonal communication research, 1975–2000. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 13, 25–41. doi:10.1080/106461702753555021
- Jackson, J. (2004). The story is not in the numbers: Academic socialization and diversifying the faculty. *NWSA Journal*, 16, 172–185.
- Jackson, L. D. (2008). Reflections on obstacles and opportunities: Suggestions for improving the retention of female faculty. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 226–232. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162537
- Jackson, R. L., II. (2000). So real illusions of Black intellectualism: Exploring race, roles, and gender in the academy. *Communication Theory*, 10, 48–63. doi:10.1111/j.14682885.2000.tb00178.x
- Johnson, F. L. (1988). Feminist theory, cultural diversity, and women's communication. *Howard Journal of Communications*, 1(2), 33–41. doi:10.1080/10646178809359677
- Kramer, M. W. (2008). The year of the newborns: A department chair's reflections. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 196–202. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162532
- Kroløkke, C. (1998). Women professors' assertive-empathic and non-assertive communication in sexual harassment situations. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 21, 91–104. doi:10.1080/07491409.1998.10162415
- Labrie, N., Amati, R., Camerini, A.-L., Zampa, M., & Zanini, C. (2015). “What's in it for us?” Six dyadic networking strategies in academia. *Studies in Communication Sciences*, 15, 158–160. doi:10.1016/j.scoms.2015.03.012
- Mai, B., Liu, J., & González-Bailón, S. (2015). Network effects in the academic market: Mechanisms for hiring and placing PhDs in communication (2007–2014). *Journal of Communication*, 65, 558–583. doi:10.1111/jcom.12158
- Makay, J. J. (1990). Strategies for recruiting women and minority faculty in communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 71, 81–88.

- Manning, L. D. (2008). Parenting and professing in cancer's shadows. *Women's Studies in Communication, 31*, 240–248. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162539
- McAlister, J. F. (2008). Lives of the mind/body: Alarming notes on the tenure and biological clocks. *Women's Studies in Communication, 31*, 218–225. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162536
- Meehan, D. M. (1976). Workshop on women in academia: A report. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 18*, 52–53.
- Meyers, M. (2013). The war on academic women: Reflections on postfeminism in the neoliberal academy. *Journal of Communication Inquiry, 4*, 274–283. doi:10.1177/0196859913505619
- Miller, A. N., Deeter, C., Trelstad, A., Hawk, M., Ingram, G., & Ramirez, A. (2013). Still the dark continent: A content analysis of research about Africa and by African scholars in 18 major communication-related journals. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication, 6*, 317–313. doi:10.1080/17513057.2013.787112
- Mills, M. B. (2008). Intersections between work and family: When a playpen can become office furniture. *Women's Studies in Communication, 31*, 213–217. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162535
- Minge, J. M. (2011). Not exactly the same parenting story: Or, how do we live in the academy? *Text and Performance Quarterly, 31*, 207–210. doi:10.1080/10462937.2011.552469
- Myers, M. T. (1984). The outsiders: Women in the academy. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 50*, 60–63.
- Patton, T. O. (2004). Reflections of a Black woman professor: Racism and sexism in academia. *Howard Journal of Communications, 15*, 185–200. doi:10.1080/10646170490483629
- Plopper, B. L., & Rollberg, J. N. (1994). How senior professors have coped with changes in academic life. *Journalism Educator, 49*, 23–31. doi:10.1177/107769589404900104
- Samek, A. A., & Donofrio, T. A. (2013). “Academic drag” and the performance of the critical personae: An exchange on sexuality, politics, and identity in the academy. *Women's Studies in Communication, 36*, 28–55. doi:10.1080/07491409.2012.754388
- Sandler, B. R. (1991). Women faculty at work in the classroom, or, why it still hurts to be a woman in labor. *Communication Education, 40*, 6–15. doi:10.1080/03634529109378821
- Scarduzio, J. A., & Geist-Martin, P. (2008). Making sense of fractured identities: Male professors' narratives of sexual harassment. *Communication Monographs, 75*, 369–395. doi:10.1080/03637750802512363
- Scarduzio, J. A., & Geist-Martin, P. (2010). Accounting for victimization: Male professors' ideological positioning in stories of sexual harassment. *Management Communication Quarterly, 24*, 419–445. doi:10.1177/0893318909358746
- Self, L. S. (Ed.). (1988). What distinguishes/ought to distinguish feminist scholarship in communication studies? [Special issue]. *Women's Studies in Communication, 11*. Contains the following articles:
- Self, L. S. What distinguishes/ought to distinguish feminist scholarship in communication studies?: Progress toward engendering a feminist academic practice. 1–3.

- Campbell, K. K. What really distinguishes and/or ought to distinguish feminist scholarship in communication studies. 4–5.
- Condit, C. M. What makes our scholarship feminist? A radical/liberal view. 6–8.
- Foss, S. K., & Foss, K. A. What distinguishes feminist scholarship in communication studies? 9–11.
- Steeves, H. L. What distinguishes feminist scholarship in communication studies? 12–17.
- Fine, M. G. What makes it feminist? 18–19.
- Wood, J. T. Feminist scholarship in communication: Consensus, diversity, and conversation among researchers. 22–27.
- Stanback, M. H. What makes scholarship about Black women and communication feminist communication scholarship? 28–31.
- Spitzack, C., & Carter, K. Feminist communication: Rethinking the politics of exclusion. 32–36.
- Shuler, S. (2007). Autoethnographic emotion: Studying and living emotional labor in the scholarly life. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 30, 255–283.
- Signorielli, N. (Ed.). (1996). *Women in communication: A biographical sourcebook*. Santa Barbara, CA: Greenwood.
- Sotirin, P. (2008). Academic momhood: In for the long haul. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 258–267. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162541
- Spitzack, C., & Carter, K. (1987). Women in communication studies: A typology for revision. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 73, 401–423. doi:10.1080/00335638709383816
- Thrash, A., & Jensen, K. (1981). A survey profile of women in communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 36, 78–81.
- Tindall, N. T. J. (2009). The double bind of race and gender: Understanding the roles and perceptions of Black female public relations faculty. *Southwestern Mass Communication Journal*, 25, 1–16.
- Tonn, M. B. (2004). Fighting feminism: Exploring triumphs and obstacles in feminist politics and scholarship. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 27, 377–395. doi:10.1080/07491409.2004.10162481
- Townsley, N. C., & Broadfoot, K. J. (2008). Care, career, and academe: Heeding the calls of a new professoriate. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 133–142. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162525
- Trent, J. S., & Andrews, P. H. (1984). Achieving upward mobility in academia: Priorities and strategies *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 50, 64–68.
- Varallo, S. M. (2008). Motherhood in academe: Intensive caring for the millennial student. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 151–157. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162527
- Verdi, G. G., & Ebsworth, M. E. (2009). Working-class women academics: Four sociolinguistic journeys. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 4, 183–204. doi:10.1080/17447140802372788
- Waggoner, C. E. (2008). Academic adultery: Surreptitious performances of the professor/mother. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 31, 209–157. doi:10.1080/07491409.2008.10162534



- Webb, L. M., Bourgerie, A. J., Schaper, M. W., Johnson, K. B., Dubbs, K. L., Mountain, K. N., . . . Walker, A. M. (2004). Gender and diversity in family communication: A content analysis of the four undergraduate textbooks. *Journal of Family Communication, 4*, 35–52. doi:10.1207/s15327698jfc0401\_3
- White, S. B. (2012). “Talking back”: The danger of silence and risk of speaking in Black and White. *Women & Language, 35*, 95–98.
- Wood, J. T. (1992). “Telling our stories”: Sexual harassment in the communication discipline [Special section]. *Journal of Applied Communication Research, 20*, 349–418. Contains the following articles:  
 Eadie, W. F. Editorial, 5–6. doi:10.1080/00909889209365342  
 Wood, J. T. Telling our stories: Narrative as a basis for theorizing sexual harassment. 349–362. doi:10.1080/00909889209365343  
 “Our stories: Communication professionals narratives of sexual harassment. 363–390. doi:10.1080/00909889209365344  
 Strine, M. S. Understanding “how things work”: Sexual harassment and academic culture. 391–400. doi:10.1080/00909889209365345  
 Taylor, B., & Conrad, C. Narratives of sexual harassment: Organizational dimensions. 401–418. doi:10.1080/00909889209365346
- Wood, J. T. (1993). Diversity & commonality: Sustaining their tension in communication courses. *Western Journal of Communication, 57*, 368–380. doi:10.1080/10570319309374459
- Wood, J. T. (1998). Celebrating diversity in the communication field. *Communication Studies, 49*, 172–178. doi:10.1080/10510979809368527
- Yowell, B. (1987). Making it in academe: Advise to young faculty. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration, 60*, 67–68.

## **December 2: The Future of Communication and Academic Life**

- Andersen, P. A. (1999). 1999 WSCA presidential address. *Western Journal of Communication, 63*, 539–543. doi:10.1080/10570319909374658
- Applegate, J. L. (1992). Theoretical choices that clarify the present and define the future. In S. A. Deetz (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 15, pp. 621–632). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Arnold, C. C. (1990). What doth the future hold? In G. M. Phillips & J. T. Wood (Ed.), *Speech communication: Essays to commemorate the 75th anniversary of the Speech Communication Association* (pp. 324–340). Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Asuncion-Lande, N. C. (1977). Chicano studies: Current status and future directions. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration, 22*, 36–40.
- Becker, S. L. (1989). Communication studies: Visions of the future. In B. Dervin, L. Grossberg, B. J. O’Keefe, & E. Wartella (Eds.), *Rethinking communication: Vol. 1. Paradigm issues* (pp. 125–129). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Berger, C. R. (2005). Interpersonal communication: Theoretical perspectives, future prospects. *Journal of Communication, 55*, 415–447. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2005.tb02680.x
- Bettinghaus, E. P. (1987). A prognosis for the field of communication. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 59*, 19–21.

- Blachford, L. (2014). Reflections on the recent history and near future of LGBTQ scholarship. *Journal of Communication Inquiry, 38*, 269–272.
- Boromisza-Habashi, D. (2013). Which way is forward in communication theorizing? An interview with Robert T. Craig. *Communication Theory, 23*, 417–432. doi:10.1111/comt.12025
- Braden, W. W. (1961). Speech, science, and the future. *Speech Teacher, 10*, 184–188. doi:10.1080/03634526109377155
- Cameron, K. (2013). Risk communication in context: Theories, models, research, and future endeavors. In C. T. Salmon (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 36, pp. 363–370). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Canary, H. E., Blevins, M., & Ghorbani, S. S. (2015). Organizational policy communication research: Challenges, discoveries, and future directions. *Communication Reports, 28*, 48–64. doi: 10.1080/08934215.2013.865063
- Carlsson, U. (2007). Media and mass communication research past, present and future: Reflections from a Nordic horizon. *Nordicom Review, 28*, 223–229. Retrieved from <http://www.nordicom.gu.se/en>
- Carter, R. F. (1990). Our future research agenda: Confronting challenges . . . or our dying gasp? *Journalism Quarterly, 67*, 282–285.
- Chen, G.-M. (2006). Asian communication studies: What and where to now. *Review of Communication, 6*, 295–311. doi:10.1080/15358590601014596
- Chesebro, J. W. (1991). Preparing for the future: Faculty development issues in the year 2000. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin, 76*, 11–24.
- Conville, R. L. (1978). Change, process, and the future of communication education. *Southern Speech Communication Journal, 43*, 265–282. doi:10.1080/10417947809372385
- Deethardt, J. F. (1982). A future for speech communication. *Communication Quarterly, 30*, 274–281. doi:10.1080/01463378209369462
- Deetz, S. A., & Putnam, L. L. (2001). Thinking about the future of communication studies. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 24, pp. 1–14). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dickey, D. C. (1943). What directions should future research in American public address take? *Quarterly Journal of Speech, 29*, 300–304. doi:10.1080/00335634309380894
- Dorer, J., & Hipfl, B. (2013). Current perspectives and future challenges in feminism and media studies. *International Journal of Media and Cultural Politics, 9*, 305–313. doi:10.1386/macp.9.3.305\_3
- Dovre, P. J. (1969). The future of speech in the private college. *Western Speech, 33*, 81–91. doi:10.1080/10570316909384565
- Duck, S. (2008). Silver anniversary essay: A past and a future for relationship research. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 25*, 198–200. doi:10.1177/0265407507086812
- Duck, S. (2014). Onward and upward: Some reflections on the past and the future of interpersonal communication. *Communication Studies, 64*, 460–464. doi:10.1080/10510974.2014.927299
- D’Urso, S. C. (Ed.). (2009). The past, present, and future of human communication and technology research [Special section]. *Journal of Computer-mediated Communication, 14*, 708–770.

- Dutta, M. J., & de Souza, R. (2008). The past, present, and future of health development campaigns: Reflexivity and the critical-cultural approach. *Health Communication, 23*, 326–339. doi:10.1080/10410230802229704
- Frey, L. R. (Ed.). (1994). Revitalizing the study of small group communication [Special issue]. *Communication Studies, 45*(1). Includes the following articles
- Frey, L. R. Introduction: Revitalizing the study of small group communication. 1–6. doi:10.1080/10510979409368404
- Propp, K. M., & Kreps, G. L. A rose by any other name: The vitality of group communication research. 7–19. doi:10.1080/10510979409368405
- Poole, M. S. Breaking the isolation of small group communication studies. 20–28. doi:10.1080/10510979409368406
- Gouran, D. S. The future of small group communication research: Revitalization or continued good health? 29–39. doi:10.1080/10510979409368407
- Keyton, J. Going forward in group communication research may mean going back: Studying the groups of children. 40–51. doi:10.1080/10510979409368408
- Barge, J. K. On interlinking language games: New opportunities for group communication research. 52–67. doi:10.1080/10510979409368409
- Meyers, R. A., & Brashers, D. E. Expanding the boundaries of small group communication research: Exploring a feminist perspective. 68–85. doi:10.1080/10510979409368410
- Bormann, E. G. Response to “Revitalizing the study of small group communication.” 86–91. doi:10.1080/10510979409368411
- Cragan, J. F., Shields, D. C., & Wright, D. W. Revitalizing the study of small group communication: A thematic critique. 92–96. doi:10.1080/10510979409368412
- Putnam, L. L. Revitalizing small group communication: Lessons learned from a bona fide group perspective. 97–102. doi:10.1080/10510979409368413
- Seibold, D. R. More reflection or more research: To (re)vitalize small group communication research, let’s “just do it.” 103–110. doi:10.1080/10510979409368414
- Friedrich, G. W. (1995). The future of theory and research in communication: Human communication. In M. B. Salwen & D. W. Stacks (Eds.), *An integrated approach to communication theory and research* (pp. 547–549). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Fulton, J., & McIntyre, P. (2013). Futures of communication: Communication studies, creativity. *Review of Communication, 13*, 269–289. doi:10.1080/15358593.2013.872805
- Galvin, M. (2002). Communication futures and the future of communication. *Australian Journal of Communication, 29*(2), 15–32.
- Gordon, R. D. (2007). The Asian communication scholar for the 21st century. *China Media Research, 3*(4), 50–59.
- Gouran, D. S. (1973). Group communication: Perspectives and priorities for future research. *Quarterly Journal of Speech, 59*, 22–29. doi:10.1080/00335637309383150
- Graham, E. E., & Shue, C. K. (2001). Reflections on the past, directions for the future: A template for the study and instruction of interpersonal communication. *Communication Research Reports, 18*, 337–348. doi:10.1080/08824090009388782
- Gronbeck, B. E. (1999). *Paradigms of speech communication studies: Looking back toward the future*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

- Gross, L. (2005). The past and the future of gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender studies. *Journal of Communication, 55*, 508–528. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2005.tb02683.x
- Hasian, M., Jr. (2001). Rhetorical studies and the future of postcolonial theories and practices. *Rhetoric Review, 20*, 22–28.
- Hefferin, D. (1997). The communication discipline: How will we face the next twenty-five years? *Florida Communication Journal, 25*, 6–10.
- Herbst, S. (2008). Disciplines, intersections, and the future of communication research. *Journal of Communication, 58*, 603–614. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00402.x
- Hirokawa, R. Y., & Gouran, D. S. (1989). Facilitation of group communication: A critique of prior research and an agenda for future research. *Management Communication Quarterly, 3*, 71–92. doi:10.1177/0893318989003001005
- Hoover, J. D. (2011). Dialogue: Our past, our present, our future. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 40*, 203–218. doi:10.1080/17475759.2011.617771
- Koester, J. (1996). On disciplining ourselves. *Western Journal of Communication, 60*, 285–289. doi:10.1080/10570319609374548
- Kreps, G. L. (1989). Setting the agenda for health communication research and development: Scholarship that can make a difference. *Health Communication, 1*, 11–15. doi:10.1207/s15327027hc0101\_2
- Kreps, G. L. (2008). Qualitative inquiry and the future of health communication research. *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication, 9*, 2–12. doi:10.1080/17459430802440817
- Kuo, E. C. K. (Ed.). (2010). Asian communication research—The past 20 years and the next [Special issue]. *Asian Journal of Communication, 20*(2).
- Ledbetter, A. M. (2014). The past and future of technology in interpersonal communication theory and research. *Communication Studies, 64*, 456–459. doi:10.1080/10510974.2014.927298
- Levy, M. R., & Gurevitch, M. (Eds.). (1993). The future of the field—Between fragmentation and cohesion [Special issue]. *Journal of Communication, 43*(3). Contains the following articles:
- Levy, M. R., & Gurevitch, M. Editor's note. 4–5. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01270.x
- Rosengren, K. E. From field to frog ponds. 6–17. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01271.x
- Beniger, J. R. Communication—Embrace the subject, not the field. 18–25. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01272.x
- Craig, R. T. Why are there so many communication theories? 26–33. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01273.x
- Krippendorf, K. The past of communication's hoped-for future. 34–44. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01274.x
- Dervin, B. Verbing communication mandate for disciplinary invention. 45–54. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01275.x
- Meyrowitz, J. Images of media: Hidden ferment—and harmony—in the field. 55–66. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01276.x
- Jensen, J. The consequences of vocabularies. 67–74. doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01277.x
- O'Keefe, B. Against theory. 75–82. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01278.x

- Shepherd, G. J. Building a discipline of communication. 83–91.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01279.x
- Lang, K., & Lang, G. E. Perspectives on communication. 92–96.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01280.x
- Mancini, P. The legitimacy gap: A problem of mass media research in Europe and the United States. 100–109. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01281.x
- Babrow, A. S. The advent of multiple-process theories of communication. 110–118.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01282.x
- Fitzpatrick, M. A. Communication and the new world of relationships. 119–126.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01283.x
- Newcomb, H. Target practice: A Batesonian “field” guide for communication studies. 127–132. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01284.x
- Braman, S. Harmonization of systems: The third stage of the information society. 133–140. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01285.x
- Davis, D. K., & Jasinski, J. Beyond the culture wars: An agenda for research on communication and culture. 141–149. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01286.x
- Monahan, J. L., & Collins-Jarvis, L. The hierarchy of institutional values in the communication discipline. 150–157. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01287.x
- Rothenbuhler, E. W. Argument for a Durkheimian theory of the communicative. 158–163. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01288.x
- Grunig, J. E. Implications of public relations for other domains of communication. 164–173. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01289.x
- Avery, R. K., & Eadie, W. F. Making a difference in the real world. 174–179.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01290.x
- Bennett, W. L. A policy research paradigm for news media and democracy. 180–189.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01291.x
- Gomery, D. The centrality of media economics. 190–198.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01292.x
- Noam, E. Reconnecting communications studies with communications policy. 199–206.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01293.x
- Rowland, W. D., Jr. The traditions of communication research and their implications for telecommunications study. 207–217. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01294.x
- Steeves, H. L. Creating imagined communities: Development communication and the challenge of feminism. 218–229. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01295.x
- Docherty, D., Morrison, D., & Tracey, M. Scholarship as silence. 230–238.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01296.x
- Levy, M. R. (Ed.). (1993). The future of the field II—Between fragmentation and cohesion [Special issue]. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4). Contains the following articles:
- Livingstone, S. M. The rise and fall of audience research: An old story with a new ending. 5–12. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01298.x
- Morley, D. Active audience theory: Pendulums and pitfalls. 13–19.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01299.x
- Jensen, K. B. The past in the future: Problems and potentials of historical reception studies. 20–28. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01300.x
- Gans, H. J. Reopening the black box: Toward a limited effects theory. 29–35.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01301.x

- Tuchman, G. Realism and romance: The study of media effects. 36–41.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01302.x
- Geiger, S., & Newhagen, J. Revealing the black box: Information processing and media effects. 42–50. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01303.x
- Entman, R. M. Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm, 51–58.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x
- Biocca, F. Communication research in the design of communication interfaces and systems. 59–68, doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01305.x
- Youichi, I. The future of political communication research: A Japanese perspective, 69–79. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01306.x
- Zelizer, B. Had communication explained journalism. 80–88,  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01307.x
- Grossberg, L. Can cultural studies find true happiness in communication? 89–97.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01308.x
- McChesney, R. W. Critical communication research at the crossroads, 98–104.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01309.x
- Meehan, E. R., Mosco, V., & Wasko, J. Rethinking political economy: Change and continuity, 105–116. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01310.x
- Schiller, D. Back to the future: Prospects for study of communication as a social force, 117–124. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01311.x
- Rogers, E. M., & Chaffee, S. H. The past and the future of communication study: Convergence or divergence? 125–131. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01312.x
- Peters, J. D. Genealogical notes on “the field,” 132–139.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01313.x
- Herbst, S. History, philosophy, and public opinion research, 140–145.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01314.x
- Shoemaker, P. J. Communication in crisis: Theory, curricula, and power, 146–153.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01315.x
- Rakow, L. F. The curriculum is the future, 154–162.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01316.x
- Swanson, D. L. Fragmentation, the field, and the future. 163–173.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.1993.tb01317.x
- Kavoori, A. P., & Guerevitch, M. The purebred and the platypus: Disciplinarity and site in mass communication research, 173–181. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01318.x
- De Melo, J. M. Communication research: New challenges of the Latin American school, 182–190. doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01319.x
- Levy, M. R., & Gurevitch, M. (Eds.). (1994). *Defining media studies: Reflections on the future of the field*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Mao, L. (2013). Beyond bias, binary, and border: Mapping out the future of comparative rhetoric. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 43, 206–225. doi:10.1080/02773945.2013.792690
- Mao, L., Wang, B., Lyon, A., Jarratt, S. C., Swearingen, C. J., Romano, S., Simonson, . . . Lu, X. (2015). Manifesting a future for comparative rhetoric. *Rhetoric Review*, 34, 239–274. doi:10.1080/07350198.2015.1040105
- McChesney, R. W. (2000). The political economy of communication and the future of the field. *Media, Culture & Society*, 22, 109–117. doi:10.1177/016344300022001006

- McKerrow, R. R. (2010). Research in rhetoric: A glance at our recent past, present, and potential future. *Review of Communication, 10*, 197–210.  
doi:10.1080/15358590903536478
- McLeod, J. M. (2001). Steven Chaffee and the future of political communication research. *Political Communication, 18*, 215–224. doi:10.1080/105846001750322989
- McLuskie, E., Hegbloom, M., & Woodfin, F. (2004). In the company of Hanno Hardt: A Festschrift on the future of critical communication studies. *Journalism, 5*, 227–241.  
doi:10.1177/146488490452005
- Meisenbach, R. J., & McMillan, J. J. (2006). Blurring the boundaries: Historical developments and future directions in organization rhetoric. In C. S. Beck (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (30, pp. 99–141). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Meyer, M. D. R. (2007). Women speak(ing): Forty years of feminist contributions to rhetoric and an agenda for feminist rhetorical studies. *Communication Quarterly, 55*, 1–17.  
doi:10.1080/01463370800998293
- Mollen, S., Rimal, R. N., & Lapinski, M. K. (2010). What is normative in health communication research on norms? A review and recommendations for future scholarship. *Health Communication, 25*, 544–547. doi:10.1080/10410236.2010.496704
- Nerone, J. (2006). The future of communication history. *Critical Studies in Media Communication, 23*, 254–262. doi:10.1080/07393180600801856
- Nussbaum, J. F., & Friedrich, G. (2005). Instructional/developmental communication: Current theory, research, and future trends. *Journal of Communication, 55*, 578–593.  
doi:10.1111/j.1460-2466.2005.tb02686.x
- O’Hair, D. (1988). Relational communication in applied contexts: Current status and future directions. *Southern Speech Communication Journal, 53*, 315–330.  
doi:10.1080/10417948809372733
- Oliver, R. T. (1972). A view ahead: The speech profession in 1984. *Today’s Speech, 20*(3), 9–13. doi:10.1080/01463377209369045
- Palomares, N. A., & Flanagan, A. J. (2005). The potential of electronic communication and information technologies as research tools: Promise and perils for the future of communication research. In P. J. Kalbfleisch (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 29, pp. 147–185). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Pearce, W. B., & Foss, K. A. (1987). The future of interpersonal communication. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration, 61*, 93–105.
- Poe, P. Z. (Ed.). (2012). Health communication—Future directions, seeds of change [Special issue]. *Atlantic Journal of Communication, 20*(1).
- Porter, C. E. (2004). A typology of virtual communities: A multi-disciplinary foundation for future research. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 10*.  
doi:10.1111/j.10836101.2004.tb00228.x
- Punathambekar, A., & Scanell, P. (2013). Back to the future: Media and communication studies in the 21st century. *Media, Culture & Society, 35*, 3–8.  
doi:10.1177/0163443712465316
- Putnam, L. L. (2001). Shifting voices, oppositional discourse, and new visions for communication studies. *Communication Theory, 51*, 38–51.  
doi:10.1111/j.14602466.2001.tb02871.x
- Rakow, L. F. (1987). Looking to the future: Five questions for gender research. *Women’s Studies in Communication, 10*, 79–86. doi:10.1080/07491409.1987.11089708

- Rantanen, T. (2000). The future of Nordic media and communication studies: The end of splendid isolation? *Nordicom Review*, 21(2), 37–41. Retrieved from <http://www.nordicom.gu.se/en>
- Reinsch, N. Lamar, Kr. (1996). Business communication: Present, past, and future. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 10, 27–49. doi:10.1177/0893318996010001003
- Rosenberg, J., & Kunkel, A. (2014). Interpersonal communication's past, present, and bright future. *Communication Studies*, 64, 426–428. doi:10.1080/10510974.2013.835273
- Sanders, G. N. (1987). Rhetoric and public address: A future in the communication discipline. *Association for Communication Administration Bulletin*, 61, 106–111.
- Schultze, Q. J. (2010). The nature and future of religious communication scholarship. *Journal of Communication and Religion*, 33, 190–225.
- Seibert, D. R., & Page, P. A. (1983). Forward to basics: The future of speech communication in higher education. *Communicator*, 13, 9–14.
- Sherry, J. L. (2004). Media effects theory and the nature/nurture debate: A historical overview and directions for future research. *Media Psychology*, 8, 83–109. doi:10.1207/s1532785xmep0601\_4
- Silvestri, L. (2013). A rhetorical forecast. *Review of Communication*, 13, 127–142. doi:10.1080/15358593.2013.789121
- Simons, H. W. (1972). Persuasion in social conflicts: A critique of prevailing conceptions and a framework for future research. *Speech Monographs*, 39, 227–247. doi:10.1080/03637757209375763
- Slack, J. D. (2005). Hope for the future: Cultural studies in the enclave. *Communication Review*, 8, 393–404. doi:10.1080/10714420500297540
- Smith, D. (1989). Studying health communication: An agenda for the future. *Health Communication*, 1, 17–27. doi:10.1207/s15327027hc0101\_3
- Sonaik, A. (1987). Going back to basics: Some ideas on the future direction of Third World communication research. *Gazette*, 40(2), 79–99. doi:10.1177/001654928704000201
- Suchan, J., & Charles, M. (2006). Business communication research: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Business Communication*, 43, 389–397. doi:10.1177/0893318996010001003
- Taylor, J. R., Flanagan, A. J., Cheney, G., & Seibold, D. R. (2001). Organizational communication research: Key moments, central concerns, and future challenges. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Communication yearbook* (Vol. 24, pp. 99–137). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Tompkins, P. (1987). The future of organizational communication: An outline of postmodernism. *Journal of the Association for Communication Administration*, 61, 112–117.
- Valdivia, A. N. (2010). Feminist scholarship in the new decade: Three challenges to steer us through turbulent times. *Women & Language*, 33, 109–112.
- Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2013). Five challenges for the future of media-effects research. *International Journal of Communication*, 7, 197–215. Retrieved from <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc>
- Vine, I. (1986). Does nonverbal communication have a future? *Semiotica*, 60, 297–313.
- Wallace, K. R. (1944). A glance ahead at the field of speech. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 30, 383–387. doi:10.1080/00335634409381022
- White, E. E. (1974). Prospectus for the future: Changing continuity. *Speech Teacher*, 23, 139–143. doi:10.1080/03634527409378073



- Winterovd, W. R. (1972). The prospect (and the future) of rhetoric. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 2, 4–5. doi:10.1080/02773947209390349
- Zoller, H. M. (2006). Suitcases and swimsuits: On the future of organizational communication. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 19, 661–666. doi:10.1177/0893318905285391